STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD				
In the Matter of:)			
CINAGRO FARMS, INC.) Case Nos.: 2017-CE-008-SAL			
Respondent,)			
and)			
MARISOL JIMENEZ,)))			
Charging Party	<u>. </u>			
	VOLUME 4			
	HEARING			
	Remote via WebEx			
	Friday, February 26, 2021			
Location:	ALRB Oxnard Sub-Regional Office 1901 North Rice Avenue Suite 300 Oxnard, California 93030			
Reported by:				
Martha Nelson				

APPEARANCES

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE MARK SOBLE,

Administrative Law Judge

On behalf of Respondent:

Robert P. Roy, President

Ventura County Agricultural Association

916 W. Ventura Blvd. Camarillo, CA 93010 rob-bcaa@pacbell.net

On behalf of Charging Party:

Franchesca Herrera, Regional Director ALRB Salinas Regional Office 342 Pajaro Street Salinas, CA 93901 jherrera@alrb.ca.gov

Jessica Arciniega, Assistant General Counsel ALRB Salinas Regional Office 1901 North Rice Avenue, Suite 300 Oxnard, CA 93030 jarciniega@alrb.ca.gov

Amisha De Young-Dominguez, ALRB Salinas Regional Office 1901 North Rice Avenue, Suite 300 Oxnard, CA 93030 Amisha.deyoung-dominguez@alrb.ca.gov

Julia Montgomery, General Counsel Agricultural Labor Relations Board 1325 J Street Suite 1900-A Sacramento, CA 95814 Julia.montgomery@alrb.ca.gov

Gabriella Vega, Compliance Officer

Spanish-To-English Interpreter: Rosario Lucas

3

I N D E X

WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	VOIR DIRE
Charging Party:			
Maria Santiago	5 33	10 38	29
	51 60	57 60	58 63
Victor Mendoza	75		143

EXHIBITS

ADMITTED

Charging Party:

GC-00002 120

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 8:38 A.M. 3 CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2021 4 (Spanish Interpreter is present and has been 5 previously sworn.) 6 (Witness is present and has been previously 7 sworn.) 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Let's go back on 9 the record. 10 Good morning, Ms. Angelica. 11 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Do you 13 understand that your testimony is still under oath? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And I trust none

THE WITNESS: No.

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

p.m.?

19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

of the attorneys spoke with you since yesterday at 5:00

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I believe, if my memory is correct, the Assistant General Counsel had one or two questions left but I could be wrong.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor, I have a few more questions.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You can proceed.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

Q. Ms. Angelica, when we stopped talking yesterday we were talking about your last day of work at Cinagro. I just have a few more questions.

You told us about a conversation you had with Rene and Tony after you cut yourself. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

- Q. Was that conversation in English or Spanish?
- 13 A. In Spanish.
 - Q. Did Rene speak or did Tony speak?
- 15 A. Rene spoke.
 - Q. What did you hear Rene say?
- A. That I should take the days that I needed for my limits finger to heal. And that they were going to give me some creams to use at home.
 - Q. Did you hear Tony say anything?
- MR. ROY: Objection. She said that -- objection;
 misstates the evidence. Tony was not present. Rene and
 Victor were.
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Just a moment.
- 25 That is more information than I would like on an objection

until I've indicated anything.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

21

22

Did the Interpreter finish the translation of the question?

> THE INTERPRETER: Yes. The question?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: Tony spoke with Rene in English and Rene told me in Spanish.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

- When Rene told you to stay at home to heal your Q. finger, was that because Tony told Rene to tell you that?
- 12 I don't know what they said because they spoke in 13 English and then Rene spoke to me.
- 14 Okay. So it wasn't clear to you if Tony was Q. 15 trying to speak to you directly?

16 MR. ROY: Objection.

17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: I'll rephrase the question, Your Honor.

20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I wasn't even --I was deciding whether it was because it was calling for speculation or leading, and I would say it's a little bit 23 of both.

24 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: I'll change the question, 25 Your Honor. Thank you.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

- Q. Who said that they did not want to take you to the emergency room?
- 4 MR. ROY: Your Honor, objection; relevance.

5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled. But

I'll sustain the objection as to leading.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

- Q. Who gave you the creams to take care of your wound?
- 10 A. Rene.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

14

- 11 Q. Did Rene tell you anything else?
- 12 A. No, that's all he said.
- Q. Did you go back to work the next day?
 - A. No. I came back, like on a Tuesday.
- 15 Q. You came back to work?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Where did you go?
- A. I went to the field to drop off the paper that the doctor had given me.
- Q. When you say you went to the field, do you mean the office at Cinagro?
- 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'll object to 23 that as leading.
- 24 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:
 - Q. When you say you went back to the field, where

did you go exactly?

- A. In Fillmore.
- Q. And what did you do in Fillmore?
- A. Excuse me?
- Q. Who did you give the paper to in Fillmore?
- A. When I go there, there was nobody except a guy that worked with the forklifts. And he said he -- I could drop off the paper and he would give it to Rene.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know if Rene got that paper?

 MR. ROY: Objection; calls for speculation.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: The objection is overruled.

Ms. Angelica, you can answer the question if at some point, for example, Rene told you that he received the paper or you have some other reason to know but, please, do not guess.

THE WITNESS: I left the paper with the forklift driver. I don't know if he gave it to him or he received it. I don't know.

20 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

Q. Did you speak with Rene about returning to work?

MR. ROY: Objection; vague as to time.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained.

After you gave the piece of paper to the forklift driver did you have a conversation with Rene as to going

back to work?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: When he called -- when two weeks had passed and he called me so that I could go pick up the check for the week that they were paying me, that's when I asked him if I was going to be able to come back.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

- Q. What did he say?
- A. Right now -- he said, "Right now we're not working. I don't know if there's going to be work down the line but right now there is no work."

So I told him, "So -- so now what?"

- 12 Q. Did you respond?
- A. So I asked him, "So there's not going to be work down the line?"
- And he said, "We don't know. I wouldn't be able to tell you."
- Q. Did anyone from Cinagro ever call you to tell you there was work available?

MR. ROY: Objection; vague as to time.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: After the conversation with Rene that you just told us about, after that did anyone from Cinagro ever call you to say that work was available?

THE WITNESS: No.

25 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

1 Q. Did you quit your job? 2 Α. No. 3 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: One moment, Your Honor. 4 That's all the questions I have at this point. Thank you. 5 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And does the 7 General Counsel have any written statements or declarations 8 for this witness? 9 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Sorry, Your Honor. One 10 more time. You cut out for me. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Does the General 12 Counsel have any declarations or written statements for this witness? 13 14 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: No, we don't, Your Honor. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Mr. Roy, 16 would you like a minute or two or would you like to 17 proceed? 18 MR. ROY: I'm ready, Your Honor. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. ROY: 22 Q. Good morning, Ms. Duarte [sic]. 23 Let me ask you, after your last work -- day of Α. 24 work --25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Mr. Roy, this is

1 not Ms. Duarte. This is Maria Angelica Santiago. 2 MR. ROY: Santiago; right? 3 BY MR. ROY: 4 Ms. Santiago, the last day you worked for Cinagro Q. 5 was Saturday, March 4th; is that right? 6 Yes. Α. 7 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. 8 BY MR. ROY: 9 Q. Okay. And you testified that you returned --10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Hold up. 11 MR. ROY: -- to the ranch --12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Mr. Roy? Mr. 13 Roy, there was an objection. 14 What is the objection? 15 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: My objection is that this 16 is misstating Ms. Santiago's previous testimony. She never 17 said which --18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled. 19 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: -- day. 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And I think -- I 21 don't know if I heard an answer or not. 22 THE INTERPRETER: There was no answer. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 23 The 24 witness can answer the question. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. And then the following Tuesday, three days later on March 7th, you returned to the field to drop off your doctor's note; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. At that time, did you see any crews working?
 - A. Where I went on the field, no.
 - Q. It was just the forklift driver; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember what date you received your theck? Was it on March 10th, 2017, on Friday?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And on that day you received your check, who gave it to you?
- 15 A. Rene.
- 16 Q. Was Victor also present?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. And did you have a conversation with Rene about when there might be available work?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And is it fair to say that they didn't know at that time when there would be available work?
- A. They didn't know when there was going to be work.
- Q. Did you seek work elsewhere following your last day of work at Cinagro?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

- Q. Was that at the blueberry ranch called Silent Springs?
 - A. Yes. Blueberries? Yes.
- Q. And do you remember what day you applied for work there after your last day at Cinagro?
 - A. It was three weeks later.
 - Q. Three weeks after your last day at Cinagro?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. After you received your check the following week, what did you do?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor;
 13 it's vague as to time.
- MR. ROY: Your Honor, may I be heard.
 - ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, it sort of calls for a narrative to ask every single thing she did. I mean, I suppose she could say, well, she went to sleep, she got up in the morning, she got dressed, she brushed her teeth. So maybe make --
- MR. ROY: I'll clarify it.
- 21 BY MR. ROY:
- Q. Ms. Santiago, in the week following your receipt
 of your check on March 10, 2017, did you seek work at
 another place the following week?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Was that at Silent Springs, the blueberry ranch?
- A. Yes.

1

- Q. Did you have to wait after applying in order to get your work?
- THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, I'm sorry, you cut in and out.
- 7 MR. ROY: Okay. All right.
- 8 BY MR. ROY:
- 9 Q. Did you obtain employment at Silent Springs on 10 the same day you applied?
- 11 A. No, not the same day.
- 12 Q. How many days thereafter?
- A. We had to be checking in every day to see what day they were going to give us.
- Q. Okay. But it's fair to say that you intended to work for Silent Springs; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And at Silent Springs, did you get payroll wagering statements?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; relevance.
- 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: What is the question?
- 24 BY MR. ROY:

25

Q. When you employed -- when you were employed at

Silent Springs, did you receive paycheck statements with your checks?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And were you also registered for health insurance?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And did you also have available drinking water each day?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And these were, according to your testimony, all unavailable while you worked at Cinagro; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you were at -- picking blueberries, did you make more money each week?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; relevance.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: What is the question?
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Of the two
- 20 companies, was there one where you made more, either the
- 21 blueberry farm or Cinagro?
- THE WITNESS: The blueberries.
- MR. ROY: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
- 25 BY MR. ROY:

- Q. At any time after your last day of work at Cinagro, did Victor tell you you were terminated?
- A. Victor told us that there was no work. And he told us, "Until further notice."
- Q. That is a no. I will take that as a no; is that correct? He did not advise you you were discharged?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. I just want to make a standing objection. I know Your Honor has ruled on this already but I do, at this point, want to make a standing objection as to the relevance of this line of questioning for the record.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay, and the objection is noted but it's overruled.

MR. ROY: Can the witness answer the question?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I think she

needs to hear the question again.

MR. ROY: All right, Your Honor.

18 BY MR. ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

- Q. Let me ask this again, Ms. Santiago. At no time did Victor tell you you were discharged; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And at no time after your last day of work did
 Rene Macias ever tell you you were discharged; is that
 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Yes, meaning he did not tell you?
- A. No.

MR. ROY: Let me clarify that, Your Honor.

BY MR. ROY:

- Q. Did Rene ever tell you you were terminated; yes or no?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Thank you.

Did Tony Cinagro ever tell you you were terminated; yes or no?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to object to that question as confusing. I have yet to hear of any person with the name Tony Cinagro. But at least you're being consistent by calling your client and not just the other witnesses by a different name.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I believe she testified earlier this morning that she had a meeting with Victor, Tony and Macias, inquiring about some issues, and that there was a conversation in English between Tony and Macias. So, clearly, she met.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I did not hear the witness refer to anyone as Tony Cinagro. And I have yet to hear anyone refer to a Tony Cinagro. There is a Tony with a different last name. And that's the gentleman who sat near you the other day.

MR. ROY: That's Tony Cinagro, Your Honor, my client.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I'm not going to spend time further discussing it but I've heard him referred to with a different last name.

MR. ROY: Okay. His name is Tony Dighera. I'm sorry. All right. Let me ask the question.

8 BY MR. ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

- Q. At any time after your last day at Cinagro Farms, did Tony Dighera, the owner of the company, tell you you were terminated; yes or no?
- 12 A. No.
 - Q. You said earlier in your testimony that the company, did not bring water for the crew; is that your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Isn't it true that your foreman Victor would bring a couple of water jugs each day on his truck with potable drinking water; isn't that true?
 - A. If he brought some?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. He would bring some.
- Q. Let's go back before you worked for Cinagro, back to 2016. Did you work for Art Vasquez Labor in November of
- 25 2016?

1 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. 2 This is going outside the scope of my direct examination. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 4 objection is overruled. If Mr. Roy is representing that 5 he's not going to be calling back Ms. Angelica Santiago, he 6 can ask the question. 7 And so that's correct, Mr. Roy, you don't intend 8 to call this witness back? 9 MR. ROY: No, Your Honor. This is purely cross. 10 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor, may I --11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I didn't hear 12 Mr. Roy's word after purely us. 13 Did you say cross or something different? 14 MR. ROY: Cross. I'm only going to be engaging 15 in cross-examination, no recall. 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 17 whether I construe this as cross-examination or if I 18 construe it instead as Respondent's direct examination 19 really doesn't matter because he could be doing either at 20 this juncture. 21 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: May I be heard? 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Briefly. 23 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: I would just -- can I 24 have a standing objection for the record in regards to this

line of questioning being outside the scope?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I suppose. But, I mean, I don't think there's any confusion here. I indicated at the pre-hearing conference that the Respondent unquestionably could ask questions outside of the scope of cross if they were not going to call the witness back themselves. So while I might or might not agree with you whether this would be outside the scope of cross, it's completely unnecessary for me to think about it because either way I would be permitting the question.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: For the record, the worker is not under subpoena for the Respondent. And that is why I would like to make this standing objection. Thank you, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That makes no difference for my purposes. One, if this was an in-person hearing, he would be able to hand something to the witness while you had them on the stand. But more importantly, nobody is requiring someone to subpoena a witness. If I had said that someone needed to do that, I would have said that at the pre-hearing conference. The same is true throughout this hearing. I'm not going to -- so without any further comment on that, the objection is overruled.

To the extent that you see a reason to have a standing objection to questions that are outside of cross-examination, my ruling would consistently be that I would

be permitting that if I have a representation from Counsel that they're not calling the witness back.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Your Honor.

MR. ROY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

21

- Q. Ms. Santiago, did you work for Art's Labor Service at any time during 2016?
 - A. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. Do you remember working with Marisol and 10 Rigoberto, along with Victor?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to object to that question as being vague because it doesn't specify a time period or a place, so I don't know whether that would include, for example, the time she was directly employed by Cinagro.

16 BY MR. ROY:

- Q. Ms. Santiago, when did you first start working for Cinagro as a direct-hire employee?
- 19 A. I don't remember the date exactly but it was in 20 2016.
 - Q. At the time was Mr. Victor your foreman?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you have a meeting with Mr. Rene about coming over to work directly --
- 25 THE INTERPRETER: I lost you --

1 MR. ROY: -- for --

THE INTERPRETER: -- Counsel.

MR. ROY: -- Cinagro Farms?

BY MR. ROY:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- Q. Did you have a meeting with Mr. Macias when you came to work for Cinagro Farms?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did he tell you that the company was just starting up?
- A. I don't remember him saying that.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember you and Marisol and others asking about payroll stubs (indiscernible)?
 - A. The paychecks or the paycheck stubs?
 - Q. Paycheck stubs, Ms. Santiago.
- A. We always complained about the paycheck stubs because they didn't give them to us.
- Q. So does that mean, yes, you spoke to him about the (speaking Spanish)?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. And did he respond that they were working on it?
- A. That they were working on it. But I'm not really sure how they worked with the office on that.
- THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, just to let
- 24 everybody know, I've lost Mr. Roy's video. I can still
- 25 hear him but just giving you a heads-up on that.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And right now I do not see Mr. Roy. I do not see Ms. Vega. And I do not see the Court Reporter. Ms. Vega just came back.

MR. ROY: Well, Your Honor, I've always been here in the bottom right, Your Honor. I advised you at the beginning and you said it was okay as long you could hear me.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I don't know that everybody's screen on WebEx shows everyone in the same physical location. For example, on my monitor, I see myself on the bottom right. So it could be that WebEx puts the user in the bottom right intentionally.

MR. ROY: Right. Well, in any event, you can hear me; correct?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I have heard you the entire time. And I have seen you at times flicker in and flicker out. And so I could tell from what I saw on the participant box that you had your video feed going the entire time. So it would appear to be either something with your computer processor or your Wi-Fi, one or the other, why it's going. And I didn't see the need to stop it so long as the Interpreter was able to still interpret what you were saying.

If anybody wishes, we can wait while Mr. Roy tries to resolve that. Otherwise, I am fine with

proceeding the way that we were.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor, may I suggest, maybe he just try once to log off and back on? I know that helps me sometimes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Well, since he's back right now -- well, he was and now he's not.

MR. ROY: I'm done with my cross. I don't see the need for seeing me if I'm being heard.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, I think it's appropriate for the witness and the Interpreter to be able to see you. And sometimes it makes interpreting easier.

Are you almost done with your cross-examination?

MR. ROY: Yes, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: So --

MR. ROY: Do you want me to proceed?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm just waiting to see if it resolves itself on its own and so far it hasn't. Right now I just see, for you, a gray rectangle with a circle spinning. I don't know why that's happening with you and not happening with my boxes for other people. And now I'm thoroughly confused because where I saw Mr.

Roy, I saw someone other than Mr. Roy sitting at his

computer for a split second and then it went away.

MR. ROY: That's not me. It's only me.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, I definitely see no one there with respect to Mr. Roy.

Yeah, now where it says Mr. Roy, I see someone who is not Mr. Roy. Is there someone else in your office, Mr. Roy?

MR. ROY: Your Honor, it's just me, I swear on the bible.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I believe,
because I see like something yellow hanging to the right of
the person, that the person who I saw in Mr. Roy's box was
actually Ms. DeYoung-Dominguez. Now it's back to a
rectangle for Mr. Roy. So, yeah, right now for some reason
I -- it's flashing between nothing and Ms. Dominguez in
Robert Roy's box. So I can't explain that other than
everybody else is where they should be.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, if you recall, this happened to me yesterday. And I logged in and did everything that was appropriate this morning. And I'm still on the bottom right of the screen. But you can see me. I can see all of you. And you can all hear me.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: No, I cannot see you and I can see everyone else. And the momentary split second where I saw Ms. DeYoung-Dominguez in your box was just for a second or so. She is in her box now. But your box is black, not gray, an no longer spinning.

1 MR. ROY: (Indiscernible?) 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm sorry? 3 MR. ROY: Can you allow me to enter the meeting? 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I can have you 5 leave the meeting and reenter it, sure. 6 MR. ROY: Look, I just have a couple of 7 questions, Your Honor. May we --8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Why don't we go 9 ahead and try to have you exit and reenter before doing the 10 last couple of questions? 11 MR. ROY: Okay. Whatever. I lost everyone for a 12 moment. Can anyone hear me? 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We can hear you 14 but not see you. 15 MR. ROY: All right. May we finish the last 16 couple of questions and then try to resolve this during 17 break? I'll log off and log back in. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, we're not 19 going to have our break for a while. Certainly, I don't 20 expect us to break until after we've started the next 21 witness. And so --22 MR. ROY: All right. Would you allow me the 23 opportunity right now to log off and log back in and see if 24 that works? 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes.

1 MR. ROY: Thank you. 2 (Colloguy between Administrative Law Judge Soble and 3 the Court Reporter.) 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: If it's easier 5 for you, we can go off the record right now. 6 (Off the record at 9:18 a.m.) 7 (On the record at 9:20 a.m.) 8 (Spanish Interpreter is present.) 9 (Witness is present.) 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We'll go back on 11 the record. 12 We had about a two-minute gap during which Mr. Roy reconnected to the WebEx meeting. And we also 13 14 requested the witness to wave her hand so the light would 15 go back on in the room that she's sitting in that has a motion detector. 16 17 Mr. Roy indicated he has a few more questions and 18 he can proceed when he's ready. 19 MR. ROY: Thank you. 20 BY MR. ROY: 21 At any time during the fall of 2016, did you work Q. 22 in a crew where Art was your foreman? 23 Α. Yes. Yes. 24 Okay. Was that while you were working for Q.

25

Cinagro Farms?

THE INTERPRETER: Was that why you were working at Cinagro Farms?

MR. ROY: While. While she was working.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. ROY: Okay.

BY MR. ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

25

- Q. And at any time during January and February until the first week of March 2017, was there another crew that would work at the same ranch with you?
- A. I'm sorry, the question, I couldn't hear it.
 - Q. Yes. I'll repeat it.

At any time during January, February, and the first of March 2017, while you were working, did you ever see another harvest crew at the same field?

- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. And when was that, in January, February or March?
- 17 A. In January and February.
 - Q. Um-hmm. Isn't it true it was only the last couple of weeks before your last day at Cinagro?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.

21 This question has been asked and she's already answered.

22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: What is the question please?

24 BY MR. ROY:

Q. Isn't it true that you only saw that other crew

during the two weeks before you no longer worked at Cinagro?

- A. The last two weeks?
- Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. Yes.
- Q. So, yes, you did see the other crew there the last two weeks before you were no longer at Cinagro; correct?
- A. Yes.
- MR. ROY: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Ms. Angelica, I
 13 have a couple of questions for you.
- 14 VOIR DIRE
- 15 BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:
- Q. How long was the gap or time period from your last day of work at Cinagro until your first day of work at the blueberry ranch?
- A. What is the question? I didn't understand. I can't hear you very well.
- Q. How many days or weeks took place between your last day of work at Cinagro and your first day of work at the ranch with blueberries?
- A. After three weeks.
- 25 Q. Do you know if anybody in your crew at Cinagro

started working at the blueberry farm before you did?

A. From our group?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

- Q. Correct. Your crew with Victor Mendoza at Cinagro, did anybody from that crew start working at the blueberry farm before you?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody from your crew with Victor Mendoza at Cinagro ever worked for the blueberry farm?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if Marisol Jimenez ever worked for the blueberry farm?
- 13 A. Yes, I believe she did.
 - Q. She was not in the same crew as you at the blueberry farm?
- 16 A. In the same crew? Yes.
- Q. Ms. Jimenez was in the same crew as you at the blueberry farm or she was not in the same crew as you at the blueberry farm?
- A. She wasn't in the same crew but she was in the field, yes.
- Q. And at the blueberry farm, were you in the field or did you do something different?
- A. In the field, picking the blueberries.
- 25 Q. So your job at the blueberry farm was the same as

Marisol Jimenez's job at the blueberry farm?

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

19

23

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor, I --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes? 4

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: -- I would just say that this calls for speculation.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm about to ask how she knows that, so we'll find out.

BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:

- 10 How do you know if the job you had at the Q. blueberry farm was the same as that for Marisol Jimenez?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Did you ever see Marisol Jimenez picking 0. 14 blueberries?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 Do you know if Marisol Jimenez started 0. Okav. 17 working at the blueberry farm on the same day that you did 18 or do you not know when she started?
 - No, I don't know when she started working.
- 20 Q. Okay. Were there any other members of your crew 21 at Cinagro who also worked in your crew at the blueberry 22 farm?
 - Α. I don't remember.
- 24 Okay. You told us a little bit about when you 0. 25 cut your finger at Cinagro; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you told us that you provided a document to Cinagro from a doctor; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you still have that document?
 - A. No, I don't have it.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Thank you very much, Ms. Angelica. I don't have any additional questions.

Does the Assistant General Counsel have some more questions for Mr. Angelica?

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Yes, Your Honor, I do have some follow-up questions.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Before you ask them, I realized I had one question that I forgot. BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:

- Q. The company's attorney asked if you worked for Cinagro Farms with a person named Art as your foreman; do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And was Art a different person than Victor?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. When you worked at Cinagro, did you have the same foreman the whole time?

- 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Do you recall what the name of that foreman was? 3 Victor. Α. 4 And when was the first time you met Victor? Q. 5 It was in 2016. Α. 6 Okay. What company did you work for, if you Q. 7 remember, when you first met Victor? 8 I don't remember the name right now but to was Α. 9 something like Gustavo. 10 Do you recall if you ever worked for a labor Q. 11 supplier? 12 Α. Yes, with a contractor. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 14 I have no further questions now for Ms. Angelica. 15 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: May I begin with my 16 questions, Your Honor? 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes. 18 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:
 - Q. Ms. Angelica, I want to ask you some questions about when you talked to Rene after your last day of work.
- 23 A. Yes.

21

22

Q. Did Rene ask you anything about how he treated the workers?

MR. ROY: Objection; leading.

THE INTERPRETER: How he treated the workers? (Speaking Spanish).

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained as to leading.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

Q. When you spoke with Rene to pick up the check, did he say anything else to you, besides what you just shared with us earlier?

MR. ROY: Objection; vague.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled. The witness can answer the question if she understands it.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

Q. Did he ask you anything about a lawsuit?

MR. ROY: Objection; leading, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'll allow it for the following reason. Because some of your questions were outside of the scope of the General Counsel's exam, those questions I treat more like they were direct examination questions by you. So if I view the General Counsel's question to be more an examination or more analogous to a cross-examination of the testimony that you elicited, then I may, on occasion, allow them to ask a leading question, so --

1 MR. ROY: May I be heard, Your Honor? ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: 2 3 significantly in this case, I think it's going to save us 4 time to get to the -- that will be appropriate here. 5 Depending upon the witness's answer, we can then see what 6 the next question is. 7 MR. ROY: Your Honor, may I be heard? 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: 9 MR. ROY: It's vague. We don't know what a 10 lawsuit is that Rene was referring to. It was leading, 11 asking if Rene asked about a lawsuit. And none of the 12 prior witnesses have ever testified --13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 14 MR. ROY: -- about any lawsuit. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, there's --16 I have a variety of responses but I don't want to put words 17 into the witness's mouth, other than to say that if Counsel 18 made the question less vague, then it might be more 19 leading, so that's where I'm trying to balance. So -- but 20 there has been some testimony that could at least elicit a 21 possibility of why Rene could have asked that question of 2.2. this witness but not of other witnesses. 23 So with that in mind, I'm not going to say 24 anything more specific and I'll allow the question.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. ROY: Thank the Lord. 1 2 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: 3 Based on what Rene told you, that there was no 0. 4 work, did you believe that Cinagro was going to call you 5 back to work? 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I heard Mr. Roy 7 object but I did not hear what his objection was. 8 MR. ROY: It calls for speculation. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 10 Sustained. 11 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: 12 Q. What Rene told you about there not being work, 13 did you wait for Cinagro to call you back? 14 THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, I missed the first 15 part of your question. Can you please repeat that? BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: 16 17 Q. Based on what Rene told you, that there was no 18 work, did you wait for Cinagro to call you back to work? 19 Α. Yes. 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I need to pause 21 for a moment, so we're going to take a one-minute break. 22 (Pause) 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We're going to 24 take our 20-minute break now.

MR. ROY: Okay, Your Honor.

```
1
              ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.
2
         (Off the record at 9:45 a.m.)
3
         (On the record at 10:17 a.m.)
4
    (Spanish Interpreter is present.)
5
    (Witness is present.)
6
              ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We'll go back on
7
   the record.
8
             MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor, may I
9
   continue with my questions?
10
              ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm sorry?
11
             MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: May I continue with my
12
   questions?
13
              ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I am just
14
   looking at my notes to see where we were on the last
15
   question and, yes, I believe that, yes, you can proceed.
16
             MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
   BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:
18
             Ms. Santiago, you saw the other crew working with
        Q.
19
   your crew at Cinagro; do you recall that?
20
        Α.
             Yes.
21
             Did you see that crew in January 2017?
        Q.
22
             I don't remember that.
        Α.
23
             You testified earlier that you worked for a
        Q.
24
   contractor before; do you recall that?
25
        Α.
             Yes.
```

- Q. Do you know the contractor's name?
- A. The contractor? No.
- Q. So the contractor that you worked with before Cinagro, you don't recall that name?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

Q. Okay. After you cut your finger, how long did it take you to heal your cut?

MR. ROY: Objection; relevance.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: It was two to three weeks.

- BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:
- Q. Once your cut healed were you ready to return to work at Cinagro?
 - A. Yes.
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 16 Those are my questions.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Does
- 18 Respondent's Counsel have some additional questions?
- 19 MR. ROY: Yes. I have a number. Thank you.
- 20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. ROY:
- Q. Ms. Santiago, you testified to a question raised by the Administrative Law Judge that you went to work at
- 24 the blueberry farm approximately three weeks after your
- 25 last day of work; is that your testimony?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And how many days after your last day of work at Cinagro, did you apply for work at the blueberry ranch?
 - A. How many days?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Two to three days.
- Q. Okay. So when you went to seek employment at the blueberry farm, they didn't need workers at that moment; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you had to wait --
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection. Objection,
 14 Your Honor. That calls for speculation.
- 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled, but I
 16 certainly allow you, on redirect, to ask how she would know
 17 that.
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. ROY:
- Q. How many days after you applied did you actually start working?
- 22 A. I don't remember that, how many days after.
- Q. Okay. But after you applied you had to wait a certain period to get called to work; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. I know that because Marisol testified that not everyone got work right away because there were long lines waiting to get employed; do you recall that?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; argumentative.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I didn't hear your objection but I'm sustaining it because the Attorney's question is whether or not the witness knows how Mr. Roy knew something, and so that question needs to be rephrased. BY MR. ROY:

- 11 How did you find out about the job at the Ο. 12 blueberry ranch, Ms. Santiago?
 - When we go by the different fields, that's when Α. we go in and check to see if they give us work.
- 15 Did Marisol or anyone from your crew tell you Q. that there was work available there? 16
- 17 Yes. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- 18 Is that why you went to work at the blueberry Q. 19 ranch?
- 20 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; relevance.
- 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. 23
- 24 MR. ROY: Thank you.
- 25 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

BY MR. ROY:

- Q. Notwithstanding the fact that you were working at another company picking blueberries, is it your testimony that you were still waiting around to hear from Cinagro?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's your answer, even though you were waiting to go back to another company that paid you less and had less benefits than the blueberry ranch?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; argumentative, and asked and answered.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.

Ms. Angelica, you can answer the question if you understand it.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question please?
BY MR. ROY:

- Q. Ms. Santiago, notwithstanding the fact that you were employed at the blueberry company, making more money and more benefits, is it your testimony that you were still waiting around to hear from Cinagro?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let me ask you this, Ms. Santiago, did you at any time after your last day of employment ever call Victor about employment?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I just want to clarify. I think the attorney is asking you, Ms. Angelica,

after your last day of employment at Cinagro?

MR. ROY: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 BY MR. ROY:

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- O. When did that occur?
- A. After the two weeks after my injury.
- Q. But you were working at the blueberry farm at that time; isn't that right?
- A. It isn't until they lay us off at the other place that we're working at that we can be released. We have to wait for them to call us back or let us know that they're laying us off.
- Q. Did you file for unemployment benefits while you were waiting?
 - MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; relevance.
- ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: On that
 objection, I think it's appropriate for me to hear argument
 from Counsel. I'm not going to sustain an objection as to
 relevance. But is there some other basis that you think
 it's inappropriate for Counsel to ask that?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Yes, Your Honor. It's -23 are you asking me or asking Rob, Your Honor?
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm asking first 25 for General Counsel. And then I'll allow the Respondent's

Counsel to respond.

2.2.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Absolutely, Your Honor. This would, potentially, reveal personal private information. It could open the door to confidential issues and chill worker participation in these proceedings.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. And, Mr. Roy, your response? I'd appreciate it if you wait until I ask you, rather than just sort of making facial expressions and sounds. But what would be your response to that?

MR. ROY: The witness testified that she was waiting around because, under usual circumstances, you wait until someone has laid you off or terminated you from your employee. And I'm asking her whether she applied for unemployment because she had no work during that two-week period, fine, which she would have been eligible for. I'm not getting into any specifics about it. I just wanted to know if she had filed and she answered, "No."

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I think it's actually a close call but I'm going to allow the question, so -- and I believe I did hear the answer, "No," so you can proceed with your next question.

- BY MR. ROY:
- Q. You -- Ms. Santiago, you did work for a labor contractor in 2016; isn't that right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And was Victor Mendoza your foreman at that labor contractor crew?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And were you working at Cinagro Farms with that labor contractor and Victor?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Was there a period of time when the contractor was no longer paying you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to your best recollection, when was that, what month in 2016?
- 12 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Could it have been mid-November of 2016?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. ROY: Thank you. I have no further questions.
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor, may I just
 refer -- I think there was a little part of the translation
 of Rob -- Mr. Roy's question that wasn't fully translated.
- I don't know if I can offer that to the Interpreter, if that's helpful?
- 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Rob's question was: If it
- 24 could have been in mid-November? And I believe the
- 25 Interpreter only translated November. And so I want to

just clarify that specificity of the question to Ms.
Santiago.

THE INTERPRETER: Okay. I did not hear midNovember but I can translate mid-November. Is that what I should do, Your Honor?

6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That would be 7 fine.

THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking Spanish.)

THE WITNESS: I don't remember the months very well.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

12 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Do we have some additional questions from Counsel?

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I just have a couple that I was remiss in asking.

17 BY MR. ROY:

3

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

- Q. Ms. Santiago, when you worked at the blueberry company, you said you saw Marisol; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you also see her husband, Rigoberto Perez?

 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.

23 This misstates Ms. Santiago's prior testimony.

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: The objection is 25 overruled. And the only reason I'm permitting the question is because since the last time Mr. Roy asked questions, I believe I asked the witness if she had seen anybody else from her former crew at the blueberry farm. So, otherwise, I would not be permitting it as being responsive to one of the earlier questions but, because of that, I'm allowing the question.

Ms. Angelica, the Attorney asked if you saw Rigoberto from your crew at Cinagro at the blueberry farm at sometime?

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, are we waiting for an additional question from you?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. From a while your microphone was off, for a couple seconds.

MR. ROY: I've got some guy upstairs with a drill that I'm trying to get my secretary to go up and stop, so this would be noise while we're doing the hearing.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

MR. ROY: So I'm going to go into this line of questioning, merely to examine how many people from the crew worked at the blueberry ranch.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I don't know why you're explaining that. Was there an objection that you heard?

MR. ROY: No. I think there was an objection but

I think you overruled it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Correct. So you don't need to explain the reasoning for your questions.

MR. ROY: All right.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You will need to let me know if you have any more.

7 MR. ROY: Thank you. Yes, I have four questions. 8 BY MR. ROY:

- Q. So, Ms. Santiago, you've admitted that you saw both Marisol and Rigoberto at the blueberry ranch; correct?
- MR. ROY: I wish to withdraw that.
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
- MR. ROY: I with to withdraw that. I meant
- 14 Hector Cruz Vasquez.
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
- 16 This also lacks foundation.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to 18 sustain the objection.
- 19 MR. ROY: Okay. All right.
- 20 BY MR. ROY:
- Q. Ms. Santiago, while you were employed at the blueberry ranch, did you ever see Hector Cruz Vasquez; yes
- 23 or no?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor;
- 25 vague as to time.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled. Let 2 me take a step back. Ms. Angelica, have you ever met someone named 3 4 Hector Cruz? 5 THE WITNESS: Hector Cruz? 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes. 7 THE WITNESS: I think it's Marisol's husband. 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And did he work 9 with you and Marisol at Cinagro? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And do you know 12 if Hector worked ever at the blueberry farm? 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Thank 15 you. 16 Mr. Roy? 17 BY MR. ROY: 18 And Ms. Duarte [sic], if I told you Marisol 19 testified that she and her husband worked at the blueberry 20 farm, would that change your testimony? 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Mr. Roy, your 22 question is confusing because you mentioned Ms. Duarte. 23 This is Ms. Angelica Santiago, Maria. 24 MR. ROY: Sorry. All right. 25 BY MR. ROY:

- Q. Ms. Santiago, would your recollection be different if I informed you that Marisol had already testified that she and Hector were working at the blueberry farm?
 - A. If I would change my testimony? No.
- Q. Ms. Santiago, do you know a Maria Guadalupe
 Duarte who worked with you in your crew at Cinagro?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you know if she also worked at the blueberry 10 ranch with you and Marisol?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know Ignacia Sanchez who worked in your crew at Cinagro?
- 14 THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, was the name Ignacia
- 15 Sanchez?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. ROY: Yes.
- 17 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking Spanish.)
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 BY MR. ROY:
- Q. And did you see her also working at the blueberry farm?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. How about Ignacia Sanchez, she worked with you at your crew at Cinagro; correct?
- 25 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.

This has been asked and answered. He just asked about Ignacia Sanchez.

3 MR. ROY: I'm sorry, I meant Marie Lauriano. I'm 4 sorry. I'll re-ask it, Your Honor.

5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I think your mic 6 is off, Your Honor.

7 BY MR. ROY:

1

- Q. Ms. Santiago, do you recall seeing Marie Lauriano in your crew at Cinagro Farms?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did she also work at the blueberry farm?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. But you don't know for sure?
- A. I don't know if they worked with the blueberries or not.
- Q. Okay. And at any time, other than Marisol, did you ever learn that all these other named individuals worked with you at the blueberry farm?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
- 20 This is argumentative and has been asked and answered.
- MR. ROY: Your Honor?
- 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'll allow the 23 question.
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question please?
- MR. ROY: Yes.

BY MR. ROY:

- Q. At any time after you left Cinagro, and apart from Marisol, did you come to learn that any of these other persons I designated also worked at the berry farm with you, the blueberry farm?
 - A. I don't know.

MR. ROY: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I do not have any additional questions for Ms. Maria Angelica Santiago.

Does the Assistant General Counsel have any additional questions for her?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Yes, Your Honor, I do.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

- Q. Ms. Santiago, you testified that you cut your hand. Was there a period of time that you could not work because of your cut?
- MR. ROY: Objection, Your Honor; asked and answered.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Just a moment.

I know that it was asked and answered before but I don't know that it was asked and answered by the General Counsel, and for me to know that for sure would require me to go

through the transcript at great length, so I'll allow it.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

25

Q. Did Cinagro pay you for the time you took off because of the injury?

MR. ROY: Objection; relevance, Your Honor.

allow that only for the following purpose so that we know that there's not any confusion over the timing over the last check that the witness received. It's not pertinent to this hearing or relative to this hearing whether or not they should have or did pay her for any injury that did or didn't occur but, rather, so that we know there wasn't some other check that occurred that was the last check that she received.

So why don't you repeat the question, Ms.

17 DeYoung-Dominguez?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Okay, Your Honor.

- Q. Did Cinagro pay you time you took off because of the injury?
- 22 A. The two weeks, yes.
- Q. And after you recovered, did you talk to Rene about returning to Cinagro?
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. And Cinagro --2 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, Counsel. I'm not 3 sure if she said something after "Yes." (Speaking 4 Spanish.) 5 THE WITNESS: Oh, no, I did not say anything. BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: 6 7 Q. Cinagro paid you for the two weeks; right? 8 MR. ROY: Objection; asked and answered. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained. 10 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: 11 When you picked up -- after you recovered you 12 talked to Rene about returning to Cinagro when you picked 13 up your check? 14 MR. ROY: Objection; leading. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained. 16 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, Counsel, can you 17 please repeat that question? Between the objection, I 18 hadn't had a chance to interpret and I lost it. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That's okay 20 because the objection was sustained. 21 THE INTERPRETER: It's sustained, yeah. 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We don't need to 23 interpret it or translate it. 24 THE INTERPRETER: Yes. Yes. Right.

25

Q. Did this conversation with Rene about returning to Cinagro happen when you picked up your check?

MR. ROY: Objection; vaque. We don't know --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained.

MR. ROY: -- which check.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained for

7 that reason.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 9 Q. After you worked for Cinagro, you worked for a 10 blueberry farm?
- 11 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, I didn't -- it cut
- 12 off. After you --
- 13 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:
- Q. After you worked for Cinagro, you worked for a blueberry farm?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. How long did you work for the blueberry farm after Cinagro?
- A. Can you repeat the question? I didn't hear it well.
- Q. How long did you work in the blueberry farm after Cinagro?
- A. I don't remember the date. How long or when did
 I start?
- 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: How many months

1 --

4

5

6

7

15

20

THE WITNESS: How many months?

3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: -- did you work

there? How many months did you work at blueberry farm?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember very well the

months.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: More than one

8 month?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

Counsel?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

- Q. What was the name of the blueberry farm?
 - A. The ranch? I don't remember.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Do you remember

17 the name of the blueberry farm company?

THE WITNESS: No.

- Q. Where was it located?
- 21 A. Toward the 118.
- Q. Does that mean the freeway?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Who paid you when you worked there?
- A. Excuse me?

Q. Who paid you when you worked at the blueberry farm?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to object to that question as being beyond the scope of what Mr. Roy asked, one, two, that it would be an undue consumption of time and, three, I don't know why it would be relevant. You can address the last part, just so that I know, because perhaps I'm missing something.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: No. Your Honor, I was asking these questions to, hopefully, jog her memory and, you know, also to establish that there are many details that she doesn't remember. And in Mr. Roy's final questioning, he tried to pin her down to an exact company at Silent Springs. And I'm just trying to demonstrate that she doesn't recall what company, the blueberry farm she worked at --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: -- name of employer and the company.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Well, I think we've already established that she doesn't remember the name of the company. And so I think you can move on to your next line of questions.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Okay.

25 BY MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ:

1 Q. When you spoke with Rene about returning to 2 Cinagro, did that happen when you picked up your final 3 check from Cinagro? 4 Α. Yes. 5 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 No further questions. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Mr. Roy, do you 8 have any additional questions limited solely to the topics 9 that the Assistant General Counsel --10 MR. ROY: Yes, Your Honor. Yes. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: --12 (indiscernible)? 13 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. ROY: 15 Ms. Santiago, when you referred to the berry farm 16 where you worked, was that in Fillmore by Highway 118, next 17 to the Cinagro ranch? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. And it was the same ranch that you also worked 20 with Marisol; correct? 21 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; 22 asked and answered. 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That is both

vaque and asked and answered because they -- the testimony

says that the two of them worked together at two different

24

locations, one of which was Cinagro. So I think it's already been -- I don't think there's any dispute as to where she next worked. And I'm at a loss of why both Counsel are asking more questions related to that.

But perhaps, Mr. Roy, you have a different question?

BY MR. ROY:

- Q. You have testified that you spoke to Rene when you received your final paycheck; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And was that at the end of the following week that you worked at Cinagro?
 - A. It was two weeks after I cut myself.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Ms. Angelica, did you receive your last check from Cinagro at the same time as the other crew members that you worked with at Cinagro or did you receive your last check from Cinagro after the other members of your crew received their last check from Cinagro, if you know?

THE WITNESS: The last check for work, we all received it the same day.

MR. ROY: No further questions, Your Honor.

23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I have a couple 24 of questions.

FURTHER VOIR DIRE

BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:

- Q. The day that you cut your finger, was that the last day that the other members of your crew worked at Cinagro or did they work at Cinagro after you cut your finger for a few more days?
- A. It seems like they worked the last day the day I cut myself.
- Q. And you would know that from talking to your coworkers or some -- or for some other reason?
- A. When I spoke to the foreman, he told me, "Well, we haven't worked either since you cut yourself."

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I take it that none of the Counsel have any additional questions for this witness?

MR. ROY: I have one.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Ms. DeYoung-Dominguez, do you have any additional questions?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Yes, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay, keeping in mind that both of you are only asking questions related to the questions that are since when you last asked questions, which in your case, Ms. DeYoung-Dominguez, are either the ones asked by Mr. Roy or myself. With that in mind, you

can proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ADDITIONAL FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- Q. When you said that you received a check from Rene for the two weeks of time you were recovering from your injury, were any of your other -- other members of your crew there?
- A. No.
- 9 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: No further questions, 10 Your Honor.
- ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Mr. Roy?
- 12 ADDITIONAL FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. ROY:
- Q. Ms. Santiago, you just testified that your injury occurred on the last day of work; is that correct, at Cinagro?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm looking at the payroll records for that day and you received the same pay as everyone else that day; isn't that correct?
- MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
- 22 This calls for speculation.
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained.
- 24 There is no evidence that Ms. Angelica Santiago has ever
- 25 seen the payroll records before.

MR. ROY: I understand that but there's a joint stipulation that shows those records for the week before and the week after the incident and shows how much production and how much workers were paid.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You were asking her if the record said that and she has no basis for that. You may have a basis. General Counsel may have a basis. Even I may have a basis if you stipulated to it.

MR. ROY: Right.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That doesn't mean the witness has a basis.

MR. ROY: All right.

13 BY MR. ROY:

- Q. Ms. Santiago, do you know if you were paid for the entire last day that you worked at Cinagro?
- A. Can you repeat the question? I didn't hear it very well.
- Q. Do you know if you were paid for the last day, when you injured your finger, at Cinagro? Were you paid for the full day?
 - A. The last day, we waited one week for them to pay us.
 - Q. Okay. And a week later, when you received your paycheck, did it appear to you that you had been paid for the prior Saturday, the last day of work, when you injured

your finger?

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Objection, Your Honor; speculation. And I would just like to note, too, that I think Ms. Santiago's confusion, perhaps, with the earlier question was that the full day was not translated.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Well, if you're asking me to go back to a previous question, I don't recall what the translation was, so I can't speak to that.

The objection is overruled. But we're coming very close to the point where I will be ruling that further questions on this subject aren't permitted. I think this is taking an undue consumption of time for something that is not going to ultimately be determinative for the facts of this hearing.

It seems to me that there's only one thing that people might be trying to determine, and that's whether or not Ms. Angelica Santiago received an additional check for some period of time past when she worked because of the injury to her finger or not? Whether or not she received the whole pay for that last day that she worked really seems unimportant for purposes of this hearing. It may be relevant if she wasn't paid something for some other matter but not for determining whether or not there was an unfair labor practice, so --

MR. ROY: Can I just clarify along that line,

just one last question, Your Honor?

BY MR. ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Ms. Santiago, the week after you received your injury you received the final paycheck from Cinagro; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And subsequent to you receiving that final check, did you receive another check from Cinagro for a two-week period because of your injury?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. ROY: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. One

14 question.

ADDITIONAL FURTHER VOIR DIRE

- Q. Ms. Angelica Santiago, is it your understanding that the company gave you a check with approximately two weeks salary to compensate you because your finger was cut?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And they gave you that check before the two weeks expired?
- A. No, after the two weeks had gone by.
- Q. So if they gave you the check after the two weeks went by, was it the last check you received from Cinagro or was that the next to last check that you had received from

Cinagro?

A. The last one.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Do either of the other Counsel have additional questions for this witness?

MR. ROY: None, Your Honor.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: None, Your Honor. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. At this time, Ms. Angelica Santiago, none of the attorneys have additional questions for you.

It is important for the duration of this hearing that you do not discuss your testimony or anything that you heard at this hearing with anyone else who is a potential witness, which means anyone who previously worked for Cinagro.

Thank you very much for your time. And one of the attorneys will contact you in the unlikely event we need to speak with you further. Have a good day and thank you again.

THE WITNESS: Same to you. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Once the witness is out of the room, we're going to talk for a minute or two, and then we'll bring in the next witness.

(Witness exits the hearing room.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Am I correct that the next witness, as previously discussed, is going to be the Spanish-speaking witness and not the English-speaking witness?

MS. ARCINIEGA: Yes, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I will reiterate something that I said earlier in the hearing. For the life of me, I can't understand why both sides did not obtain and introduce bank records rather than asking questions of witnesses from four years ago as to their memory as to dates. Those documents would have spoken for themselves and it would have been a much more productive use of our time. So I would hope that people take that to heart if they have subsequent hearings in which I am the Administrative Law Judge.

MR. ROY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. ARCINIEGA: May I ask a question, a clarification, when you refer to bank records, what you're referring to?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I am referring to the checks that people received. In particular, we've spent hours having people talk about personal checks versus company checks. Nobody's shown me the personal checks. If you think that there's a relevance to it, other than establishing a chronology, then it would make sense to

present them. I don't know if any of the witnesses have access to them. But it is my general understanding that, even after four years, many banks retain copies of things like that.

And so I think that that would be much more effective for both sides to establish things. I don't know that those checks will be critical to the outcome of the hearing. But I do know that they could have saved us, I think a fair amount of time in terms of the length of witness testimony.

I think it is my life experience that, not just for farmworkers but for all but the most unusual of people with eidetic memories, that people don't remember precise dates of things from four years ago. And on the other hand, documents have dates on them and can be used to the extent that they are simply creating a timeline or chronology.

So with that in mind --

MS. ARCINIEGA: May I be heard, Your Honor?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Anything that we need to do before we bring Mr. Mendoza into the hearing room?

MS. ARCINIEGA: I just wanted to be heard on this topic, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: The topic of Mr.

Mendoza or on providing the documents?

MS. ARCINIEGA: On Your Honor's comments about -ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Yes. On
my thought that the, at a minimum, personal checks would
have been useful things to introduce as evidence. And
perhaps, also, the last checks if, in fact, there's some
issue as to when the last date worked was.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I am not referring to, necessarily, the check that the last witness received or didn't receive as a result of any cut to her finger because I have no way of knowing if any of the counsel anticipated that to be part of the testimony or not. I mean, it certainly wasn't something that was presented to me in the prehearing conference that there would be something related to that. But thus far, I've heard none of the counsel suggest that that was a motivating factor in the crew not being invited back, so I'm not suggesting that it had needed to be brought up at the prehearing conference either.

MS. ARCINIEGA: I wanted to say, Your Honor, that I think there was testimony with one of the -- the one -- the first or second witness about if they still had the personal checks. And in my experience, beyond my years here at the ALRB but in working with farmworkers, very

often, Your Honor, they do not have bank accounts. Very often my experience has been, during these investigations, when we ask for documents is that the majority of farmworkers do cash their checks at check cashing places, liquor stores, perhaps, super markets, and there's an industry that incentivizes that.

I can't speak to farmworkers not choosing to use banks or any obstacles to that, Your Honor. But just wanted to add that context from my experience.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And it is my experience that you are correct, that there is a much higher percentage among the farmworker community who negotiate their checks using alternative means. But where you have a crew with a larger number of people, you're able to ask them, in their specific instance, did they deposit it in the bank or did they do something else to cash it and, most likely, you would have been able to find someone.

But if I were you, I wouldn't have even taken that approach at all. If I had your job, at the risk of my spending too much time on this, I would have subpoenaed Mr. Dighera's bank records because his personal checks would have been available from him bank. And that would have been, to me, the easiest way to do it because he would have had all of the checks, rather than going to multiple people. And I think you appropriately and accurately state

that if there were, say hypothetically, ten crew members, there might only be three of them, perhaps, who deposited their checks into a bank. But I'm guessing Mr. Dighera's bank still had copies of those.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, may I state something for the record? Because there were never any personal checks.

And we will demonstrate that in our case in chief.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That's fine whether you demonstrate that or not. For all I know you will be introducing those checks as part of your case. And I would not discourage you from doing that. In fact, I would encourage you to do that. I think, even if it's not on your list, there's been enough testimony that you can introduce those checks and consider it to be rebuttal evidence.

However, my point is that it would be useful to see those checks. That is not the overall issue. Nobody is claiming that it was an unfair labor practice because personal checks were used or not used. But with that much testimony there that one of the things that, perhaps, may be implied by some of the testimony is that, perhaps, your client was unhappy with the crew because they expressed concerns about the pay stubs.

MR. ROY: That's (indiscernible).

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Whether or not

that's true of not it, therefore, is useful to know, what were these checks that they received that they had concerns with to have a more complete record?

Again, partly because those checks are going to be better evidence, but more from my perspective because, perhaps, we could have saved an inordinate amount of time, perhaps even as much as three or four hours cumulatively of what we've spent the four days this week, by doing it that way. But until I see everything, I don't know that. With some of the witnesses, perhaps, it might have taken as long. But not all of that goes to the fact that a lot of time has passed. But I think even if we had had this hearing after two years instead of after three years and eleven-something months, that some of the same things would have arose.

But regardless, I've indicated my thoughts on that. Whether the General Counsel or the Respondent provide copies of these other checks during the course of to the hearing, we'll see. Mr. Roy has suggested that, perhaps, we may see them yet.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, probably by way of rebuttal, and on that question, how do you want me to present these checks? Do you want me to send copies over to the General Counsel this evening after the hearing for Monday morning and copies to you?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I think when they have finished with their case or when you're first going to show them to a witness, whichever comes first, is the appropriate time for you to provide them to the General Counsel. You don't need to provide them to me at the same time but I need to get them before you show them to a witness.

MR. ROY:

So let's just suppose that the General Counsel finishes their case today, then, yes, I would say go ahead and send those to the General Counsel. That way if there's some reason that they want to communicate why you shouldn't be sending them to me, they can communicate that to you. You don't have to agree with them but at least you'll have had that exchange if it exists. I don't think it will. And then you can send them to me if you're going to show them to a witness, for example, perhaps to Mr. Dighera.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: So, again, the whole case does not hinge on whether these checks were personal checks or were not personal checks but there was a lot of discussion over it. And again, I'm just trying to keep everything moving best as we can so that there's, perhaps, still a chance, but continuing to get smaller by the minute, that we could finish on Tuesday at this point.

So, okay, so, again, it looks like we're ready

Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate it.

for Mr. Mendoza.

Taking into account when we took our break, does anyone have a preference as to when we take our lunch break? Would it be appropriate in taking it about 12:10 or is there a different time that the parties would like?

MR. ROY: 12:10, 12:15 is fine with us.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And for the General Counsel?

MS. ARCINIEGA: I believe that works for us, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And who is going to be asking questions of Mr. Mendoza, the General Director?

MS. ARCINIEGA: I am, Your Honor. Assistant General Counsel Arciniega.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Just before, you had indicated that, perhaps, the Regional Director was going to be examining one of the witnesses and we only have two witnesses left, so --

MS. HERRERA: Your Honor, I was hopeful. But my attorneys are doing quite a good job. If they moved very fast, I was going to take a witness, but we haven't and so we had plenty of time to work on these things. So thank you, Your Honor, for the opportunity.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, I was not

1 indicating that it was appropriate or inappropriate. 2 just simply prognosticating based on past comments, what would be requested. So it sounds like Ms. Arciniega will 3 4 be the person doing the direct exam for Mr. Mendoza. 5 Let's go ahead and bring him into the hearing 6 room. 7 (Witness is present.) 8 MS. ARCINIEGA: He's present, Your Honor. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 10 Good morning. Would you please state your full 11 name for the record? 12 THE WITNESS: Mine? 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes. 14 THE WITNESS: Victor Manuel Mendoza Pina. Yes. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And what is the 16 language you speak best? 17 THE WITNESS: Spanish. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: 19 language do you speak the most at home and at work? 20 THE WITNESS: Mostly Spanish. 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. And do you prefer to be called Mr. Mendoza or something different? 22 23 THE WITNESS: That's fine. Victor Mendoza. 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And the full 25 name, it was Victor Manuel Mandoza Pina?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Pina.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Pina with an I, not an E? Gracias.

THE WITNESS: It's with an I.

(Witness is sworn.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. What will happen in this hearing is that some of the attorneys, and possibly myself, will ask questions in English. After we ask a question the Interpreter will translate the question from English to Spanish. After the Interpreter translates the question, please pause for a couple of seconds before you answer. This is because once in a while either one of the attorneys or myself may object to a question. And if that happens, I'll let you know, or the Interpreter will let you know, whether to answer the question or to, instead, wait for the next question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's fine.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: If you have a long answer there's two things that we would request. One is to talk a little bit more slowly. The second is to pause after you have said two or three sentences so that the Interpreter can translate them before you continue with your answer.

For all of your answers, it's important that you testify orally or audibly. This is because if you nod your

head or gesture the Court Reporter is unable to fully incorporate that into the transcript.

If you do to understand a question, please let me know and I'll determine if there's a way the attorney can rephrase the question so that you can better understand it.

In approximately 40 minutes, we will take a lunch break. At the request of the attorneys, that break will be from approximately 12:10 until 1:30. During that break, do not speak with any of the attorneys. At no time should you tell anybody who used to work at Cinagro anything that you heard or said at this hearing. And you may tell someone, such as your current employer, that you've attended the hearing.

The first person asking questions of you this morning is one of the Assistant General Counsels.

Ms. Arciniega, you can proceed.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor.

18 Whereupon,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

19 VICTOR MANUEL MENDOZA PINA,

20 was called as a witness herein and, having been previously

21 duly sworn, was examined and testified in Spanish as

22 follows:

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Mendoza.

- A. Good morning.
- Q. My name is Jessica Arciniega. When I ask you questions, if you have difficulty hearing them or something happens on the screen where you can't see me or anybody else, please let us know.
 - A. Yes. That's fine.

 $\mbox{ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:} \mbox{ And right now I} \\ \mbox{am able to see everyone except for the Court Reporter.} \\$

THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. Mr. Mendoza, did you work at Cinagro Farms?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

- Q. And when did you start working at Cinagro Farms?
 - A. If I remember correctly, it would have been July.
- 15 I don't remember very well.
- 16 Q. Do you remember the year?
- 17 A. 2016.
- 18 Q. How did you start working at Cinagro Farms?
- 19 A. We went there to work through the contractor.
- Q. Okay. Do you know the name of the farm labor
- 21 contractor?
- 22 A. The name of the contractor?
- 23 Q. Yes, please.
- A. Mike's Labor. Yes.
- Q. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:administrative law JUDGE SOBLE: Was that the} % \[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}$

THE WITNESS: Years back I had worked with them, with Mike's Labor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

20

21

22

- Q. And what was your job when you were with Mike's Labor and went to Cinagro?
 - A. I was a foreman.
- Q. Okay. And how many people -- oh, sorry -- how many people were in your crew when you were working with Mike's Labor and went to Cinagro?
- A. Mike's Labor was about 15 people. And when we went into Cinagro, it was about ten people.
 - Q. Okay. And when you were with Mike's Labor, working at Cinagro, who gave you instructions on what your crew was to do?
- A. When we were with Cinagro? In Cinagro, it was Rene.
 - Q. Okay. When you were being paid by Mike's Labor and performing work at Cinagro, was that the same person who was giving you instructions for your crew?
 - A. Yes, Rene.
- Q. And at some point did your crew -- did you and your crew stop being paid by Mike's Labor and were paid by

Cinagro?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Yes.
- Q. When did that happen?
- A. If I remember correctly, two months after.
- Q. Two months after you and your crew were performing the work for Cinagro?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And how did you find out that you were going to be hired by Cinagro directly?
- A. The contractor's son went and notified us that we were going to be part of the company at Cinagro.
- Q. Okay. Were you and your crew working, like
 working in the field, when the farm labor contractor's son
 went out to tell you that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Was there anybody else with the farm labor contractor's son when he told you this?
- 18 A. Rene.
- 19 Q. Do you know Rene's last name?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. And what was his position at Cinagro?
- 22 A. Supervisor.
- Q. And do you know the name of the son of the
- 24 contractor?

25

A. Arturo.

- Q. Arturo is the name of the son?
- A. Arturo is the contractor's son.
- Q. Okay. So when Arturo and Rene went out to the field to talk to you, were the workers also present?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. And can you tell me the names of the workers who were present at that time?
- 8 A. Hector Cruz, Marisol Jimenez, Yolanda Perez,
 9 Rigoberto, Maria Guadalupe, Ignacia. The others, I don't
 10 remember.
- 11 Q. Okay. So who spoke first?
- 12 A. The contractor. The contractor's son.
- Q. What did he say?
- A. He told us that starting Monday we would be part of the company Cinagro.
- Q. Do you recall what day this was that he told you that?
- 18 A. Saturday.
 - Q. And did Rene say anything at this time?
- A. Yes. He said that on Monday they would give us the applications.
- Q. What else?
- A. And he said that we were going to continue working the same way, that he was going to give me the orders.

- Okay. Did you say anything at this meeting? Q.
- I just asked when he was actually going to give Α. us the applications so that the people can fill them out.
- Okay. Did you hear any of the workers in your crew ask questions or make comments?
 - Α. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And did Rene tell you and the workers at this meeting how Cinagro was going to pay you for your work?
- 9 Α. He told us that they were going to pay us with a 10 check.
- 11 Anything else? Q.
- 12 Α. That the first check, it was a personal check. 13 It wasn't a check with a paycheck stub.
 - Okay. When you say a personal check, can you Q. describe what that means to you?
 - And the personal check, we noticed, and even the Α. other members of the crew noticed, that they weren't deducting the deductions that the government says that should be deducted.
- 20 Q. Okay. Was there something on the check that you saw that were deductions?
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 Okay. I don't know if I misunderstood or I 24 misheard heard, I'm sorry, so I'm going to ask this 25 question: Did you say that Cinagro was not making

deductions or Cinagro was making deductions?

- A. They were making the deductions.
- Q. And what made you -- why did you or what made -- how did you understand that they were making the deductions?
- A. We compared a check from the contractor with the personal check.
- Q. Okay. And what on those checks were you comparing?
- A. So in the contractor's check, they would pay us
 the gross amount, and then they would list the deductions.
 In the personal check, it just listed the amount and that
 turned out to be the gross.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - I'm going to go back to this meeting with Rene and Arturo and you and the workers.
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

- Q. Okay. Did Rene tell you and your coworkers
 anything about how Cinagro was going to pay you in gross
 wages?
 - A. No, he didn't comment anything.
- Q. Okay. Did you talk to Rene after the meeting with the workers?
- A. After the meeting about the checks?
- 25 Q. I was asking about after this meeting with Arturo

and Rene and you and the workers, did you talk to Rene?

- A. After the meeting, I did talk to him about a produce order that they were making.
- Q. Okay. Did you and Rene talk about any of the work conditions related to the crew?
- A. Not at the moment because he said everything was going to remain the same.
- Q. Okay. And did Rene give you applications for you and the workers in your crew to fill out?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q. And when? How long after that meeting?
- 12 A. Two days later.
- Q. Okay. And did he tell you -- I'm sorry. Let me establish.
- Did he give those applications to you for your crew?
- THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, Counsel. I didn't hear the last part.
- 19 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
- Q. Did he give you those applications to you for your crew?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And what did he tell you to do?
- A. For me to give each one an application, for them to fill it out, and turn them in the next day.

- Q. How many pages were in each application?
- A. I don't remember very well if it was two or three.
- Q. Okay. Did any of the pages have Cinagro Farms typed on top of the page?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you remember or do you know what any of the pages were, like specifically?

MR. ROY: Objection; relevance.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm certainly not sure what the relevance is either but I'm going to allow one or two more questions on this on the chance that there's some relevance that I haven't thought of yet.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question again?

MS. ARCINIEGA: I'm trying to remember it. I'm

17 sorry. I don't want to misstate it.

18 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you know what any of the pages were specifically?
- A. Okay. It was similar to the contractor's application. The first page, I remember it asked for the name, the address where you live, the Social Security number, and how many dependents you're going to claim. The second page basically asked for the same information again.

Q. And when you were working at Cinagro, if you needed a day off of work, what did you have to do?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Just to clarify, are you asking what you would have to do if you were the foreperson or if you were a crew member?

MS. ARCINIEGA: If he, as the foreperson.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it again?

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

21

- Q. While you worked at Cinagro, if you needed to miss a day of work, what did you have to do?
- 12 A. Report it to Rene.
 - Q. Okay. And while you worked at Cinagro, did you miss any days of work?
 - A. Only one day.
- 16 Q. And did you report that to Rene?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. When your crew -- or when the crew and you,
 excuse me, were -- became direct employees of Cinagro were
 there any workers added to your crew?
 - A. Not on my behalf. The person that added two other people was Rene.
- Q. And who are the two people that he added?
- 24 A. It was two ladies. I don't remember the names.
- 25 Q. Okay.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: So to be clear, the two people in the crew, does that include the two ladies who were added by Rene plus eight others or does that mean that there was the total of ten, including the two ladies added by Rene?

THE WITNESS: It was ten people. And then they added the two others.

8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: For a total of 9 12?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

- Q. And do you know if the two people that Rene added were already working at Cinagro?
 - A. Yes, they were already working there.
- Q. Okay. What were the products that your crew harvested at Cinagro?
- A. It was green kale, red kale, cilantro, radishes, cabbages, lettuce, and anise.
 - Q. And how did Cinagro pay you as a foreman for your work? Was it hourly or piece rate?
 - A. I was paid by the hour.
- Q. Okay. And who kept track of the number of boxes that each person in your crew harvested?
- 24 A. I did.
 - Q. And how did you keep track of -- did you write

down the number of boxes that each worker harvested?

THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, your question was,

"did you write down?"

MS. ARCINIEGA: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

- Q. And what did you do with the written number of boxes that each worker harvested?
- A. I would write it down on a sheet. And then at the end I would give it to Rene so he could see how many boxes each person did.
- Q. Okay. Did you give them to him every day, the written number of boxes? Sorry.
- A. Every time that he would show up at the ranch because, sometimes, he would not go for two to three days. So when he would come I would give him two or three days' worth.
- Q. Okay. And once you and your crew were working and paid by Cinagro, did you notice any difference to the working conditions for your crew?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you notice?
 - A. The first change was the bathroom and the water.
- Q. What did you notice about the change to the
- 25 bathroom?

A. The first week, well, for the first two weeks, I kept the bathroom that the contractor had, and that was a double bathroom, one for men and one for women.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And later?

MS. ARCINIEGA: Is that a question? Oh.

THE WITNESS: The contractor took the bathroom that was there's. And they told me that Rene had to bring one, a bathroom for us.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- Q. Did Rene take a bathroom for you and your crew?
- A. Yes, but he only brought a single bathroom that was shared between the men and the women.
 - Q. Okay. How many ranches did you and your crew work at when you worked at Cinagro?
- 15 A. In two.
- Okay. And do you know where they were located?
 - A. Tierra Rejada and Moorpark.
- Q. Okay. And did the -- this bathroom that you just talked about, the single bathroom, did -- how did -- did it have to go to each ranch that you and your crew worked at or did it stay at one ranch?
- A. There was one in Tierra Rejada and one in Moorpark.
- Q. Okay. You also said that you noticed a difference in the water. Tell me what the difference was?

- A. The difference was with the contractor, he paid me one extra hour to move the bathroom and to set the water. I used to pay for the water myself out of pocket.
 - Q. Okay. He paid you one extra hour per week?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And what was the difference at Cinagro?
- A. In Cinagro they were paying me my regular work hours. And they were not paying me for the water. I was paying for the water out of my own pocket.
- Q. And did you talk to -- did you talk to Rene at Cinagro about whether Cinagro was going to compensate you or reimburse you for the water that you were buying?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did you tell Rene?
- A. I told him that I was about to run out of water, if there's any way that he could provide us with the water? He told me that he was going to look into it and see how they could provide water.
- Q. And do you recall how soon after you started working directly for Cinagro that conversation with Rene happened about the water?
 - A. About four weeks later.
- Q. Okay. And when you said that the water was running out, can you explain that more please?
 - A. What happened was that the coworkers noticed that

I was paying for the water out of my own pocket. So what they did was the soda cans, they would all put all the recycle on my truck, and I would sell it and then buy the water. They also noticed that I was doing that. And then after that, Rene brought gallons of water. It was a box but it had gallons. And the people tried that water but they didn't like it.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Just a reminder to Counsel that we have about four more minutes before we're taking our break. You can proceed.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you.

12 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. What did the workers tell you -- did the workers tell you something about that water?
- 15 A. Yeah. They told me that it had a different 16 flavor. They didn't like it and they were not drinking it.
- 17 Q. So what did you do?
 - A. What I did was I talked to Rene and I told him I had to leave to go to the nearest place to get water.
 - Q. And what did he say
 - A. He said that it was fine for me to go get water but that water was the only one that they could provide.
 - Q. Okay. And --
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: While Counsel is 25 pausing, how big was the boxes of water that Rene provided?

THE WITNESS: The box had four gallons.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

Ms. Arciniega?

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And when you were buying the water, what container were you putting it into?
- A. It's these big yellow jugs that were provided to me by the contractor and he didn't take those with him. He said that I would keep them.
- Q. Do you know how many yellow jugs, if you didn't say?
 - A. It was two five-gallon.
 - Q. Okay. And when your crew -- let me just ask this, how much of the -- did you fill up both five-gallon jugs of water for your crew each day?

MR. ROY: Objection; relevance.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Objection is overruled. The witness can answer the question.

And after that there will be one more question and we'll be taking our break.

THE WITNESS: Daily, I would fill them up completely. I would change out the water every day.

23 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

Q. And you said that Rene took you one-gallon jugs.

How many one-gallon jugs did he take you for those

containers?

MR. ROY: Objection, Your Honor; misstates the evidence. He said --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sustained. If the context is the same, the Counsel can ask one more question.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

Q. Did the amount of water that Rene took you, was it enough to fill up the two containers?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to ask a different question that I think is more clear.

When Rene brought the boxes of water that were four-gallon boxes, did he bring one of them or more than one of them at a time?

THE WITNESS: He brought a few. I don't know how many but he brought a few.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. How many days did Rene bring the boxes of water to your crew?

MR. ROY: Objection; unresponsive.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You have to wait for the interpretation of him for me to hear the answer to rule on the objection.

So --

MR. ROY: Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: -- if you could

interpret that please?

THE WITNESS: He brought two -- two times. Then the second time is when I told him that the people were not drinking it. They didn't like it.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. The objection is overruled. He answered the question, being that Rene brought water two times, so --

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I'd like to be heard on that, because the testimony was that during the second week when he brought water the employees didn't like it. He never answered how many times Rene would bring the water.

MS. ARCINIEGA: I would like to be heard if you allow it.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I think it did but I'm going to ask the same question again. And then I'll let both Counsels speak again.

Mr. Mendoza, did I understand you to say that there were two days that Rene brought water to the field but those were the only two days that Rene brought water to the field?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. We'll start with Mr. Roy, if he has something he wants to add, then I'll go to Ms. Arciniega.

MR. ROY: Nothing to add, Your Honor.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Ms. 2 Arciniega? 3 MS. ARCINIEGA: No, nothing to add. I think this 4 is a great time to break. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes, I agree. 6 It is 12:14. 7 Mr. Mendoza, if you can come back to the hearing 8 room at 1:35? 9 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you very 11 much. 12 Is there anything any of the attorneys need to 13 add? Otherwise, I will see all of you at 1:35 as well. 14 MR. ROY: I would just ask that the witness be 15 admonished not to talk to anybody during the period of 16 lunch. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, because he 17 18 only came in 40 minutes ago, I did not repeat what I had 19 said then. Yes, that is correct. 20 Please, Mr. Mendoza, if you would not talk to 21 anybody about the hearing during the lunch break? 22 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you. 24 I'll see everybody at 1:35. 25 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROY:

```
1
              ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Off the record.
2
         (Off the record at 12:15 p.m.)
3
         (On the record at 1:45 p.m.)
4
    (Spanish Interpreter is present.)
5
    (Witness is present.)
6
             ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: It's 1:45.
                                                            And
7
   Ms. Arciniega is going to proceed with her examination of
8
   Mr. Mendoza.
9
             MS. ARCINIEGA:
                              Thank you.
10
   BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
11
             Good afternoon, Mr. Mendoza.
        Q.
12
        Α.
             Hello.
13
             While you worked at Cinagro do you know if it had
        Q.
14
   Worker's Compensation Insurance for injuries on the job?
15
        Α.
             We did not have it.
16
        0.
             And how do you know that?
17
             We noticed when a person that came looking for
        Α.
18
   work was cut.
19
        Ο.
             Did the person work at Cinagro?
20
             MS. ARCINIEGA: Maybe his audio is --
21
              THE INTERPRETER: Oh, yeah, he's freezing. Yeah.
22
   He's gone.
23
             MS. ARCINIEGA: I think he got frozen, yeah.
24
              THE INTERPRETER:
                                Should I ask him if he heard?
25
                              Well, I still see his screen
             MS. ARCINIEGA:
```

1 frozen. You can see his hand in motion. 2 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor? 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Mr. Mendoza, I 4 think we lost your connection for a moment. The question 5 the attorney was asking you is whether -- why don't I let 6 the Interpreter restate the last question or -- either that 7 or the attorney restate the last question? 8 MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor, from my perspective his screen is still frozen. I'm unsure if I should --9 10 well, if I can request, maybe, that Gabriella go over there 11 and see if there's something on that end? 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: No, no. I see 13 him and he is not frozen. 14 MS. ARCINIEGA: Oh. I think his hands just make 15 a motion. Okay. 16 THE WITNESS: I can hear you okay right now. 17 MS. ARCINIEGA: Can he see us? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: So, in other 20 words, Ms. Arciniega, if there's a difficulty it appears to 21 be, actually, on your end and not the hearing room. 22 MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay. If I'm the only one that 23 sees his image frozen, then I think --24 MR. ROY: I also see it, Jessica. I also see it

25

frozen.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, I see him 2 moving his thumbs right now with his hands clasped in front 3 of him. 4 MS. ARCINIEGA: I don't see that. 5 MR. ROY: Neither do I. 6 MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Yeah, he's frozen on my 7 view as well. 8 MS. ARCINIEGA: How would you like me to proceed, Your Honor? 9 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I don't have a 11 preference. If Counsel are able to reach an agreement on 12 that, you can either go there or not go there. I'm not 13 sure what will change, so -- but I don't have any direction 14 right now, unless Counsel are not able to reach an 15 agreement, then I will pick whatever I think is more 16 appropriate. But I don't have a preference. 17 MR. ROY: An agreement on having Gabby go into 18 the witness room, Your Honor? 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Correct. 20 assume if she goes in there she's just going to either log 21 him out of there and log him back and leave. I mean, she's

not going to stay long because --

22

23

24

25

see him --

MR. ROY: I don't have any problem with that.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Yeah. I would like to be able to

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm not necessarily --

MS. ARCINIEGA: -- as I am directing questions.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: -- sure that the difficulty is at your location rather than at some of your other locations. But I'm not in a position to know the answer to that since I see him moving just fine. So that's my only point. I mean, I don't know which of you is the weakest link, for a lack of a better way to put it.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Well, I mean, if we want to -- I can log off my system and log back on and Gabriella can go to the hearing room.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Right. I mean, you may just want to do both at the same time is all I'm saying. Mr. Roy has already left and come back, so I'm not sure it will help for him to try again.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay. Then I'm going to log off and come back. And then I'm going to ask that Gabriella go over and do the same, please, into the hearing room.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

MS. DEYOUNG-DOMINGUEZ: Your Honor --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I don't think that it will take longer than either of those things for Ms. DeYoung-Dominguez to completely reboot her computer.

So if she chooses to do so, she does it at her peril.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And we'll go off

the record while we wait.

(Off the record at 1:52 p.m.)

(On the record at 2:05 p.m.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Let's go back on the record.

I believe Ms. Arciniega is going to propound her last question again.

10 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. Did that person work at Cinagro in your crew?
- 12 A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

24

- ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Was that person
- 14 a man or a woman?
- THE WITNESS: Man.
- 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

17 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. And so how do you know -- I think he was going to say more but I'll ask.
- What happened?
- A. It was this guy's first day of work. He came asking me for work. And I called Rene to ask him if I should hire him or wait for him to come.
 - Q. And what did Rene tell you to do?
- 25 A. Rene told me to have him go into work and to wait

for him to get there. It was under his orders that I had him start working.

MR. ROY: Objection, Your Honor; relevance. You know, General Counsel --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Hold on. I don't want you to give any sort of argument right now. I allowed it initially because I thought it might be going somewhere different. I'm not see it going in that direction. So I don't really want to have Counsel go back and forth in front of the witness as to why they think it might be relevant.

But do you think in less than two more questions it's going to be apparent to me why you think it's relevant, Ms. Arciniega? Because right now I have no idea why you think it's relevant.

MS. ARCINIEGA: I can continue, Your Honor. And I would like to be heard if you would like to allow me to speak more about the relevancy after a couple more questions.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Because I think we all agree whether or not the company actually had Worker's Compensation Insurance itself isn't relevant, so there has to be some other reason why the line of questioning is relevant. So I'll give you a couple more questions though.

1 MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay. 2 BY MS. ARCINIEGA: 3 Was this worker injured while he worked? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. And what did Rene tell you to do? 6 At that moment Rene was there. And Tony was Α. 7 there also. 8 Ο. And did you hear Rene talk to the worker? 9 MR. ROY: Assumes facts not in evidence, Your 10 Honor. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I'm going 12 to overrule that objection. But what I'm going to try to have us do again is to go to the breakout room to discuss 13 14 why the line of questioning is relevant. So let's see if 15 we have success at this time. 16 If the Interpreter would let Mr. Mendoza know I'm 17 going to try to have a brief conversation with the 18 attorneys and then we'll be back? 19 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking Spanish.) 20 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 21 (Breakout session begins.) 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I think 23 we're all at the right (indiscernible). 24 Ms. Arciniega, why is this relevant? 25 No, she's not, I guess not.

MR. ROY: She's not in the breakout room yet, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Correct. We have Ms. Montgomery and Ms. Vega but we do not have the Regional Director and the two Assistant General Counsels.

MS. MONTGOMERY: Your Honor, I'm messaging them right now to figure out what's going on.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm sorry?

MS. MONTGOMERY: Oh, Your Honor, I just need

to -- I'm checking right now to see where there are -
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

MS. MONTGOMERY: -- since they're not here. I'm just messaging.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I can see that they're still in the main hearing room. But they should be able to ambulate over to the breakout session.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: She said, "He hasn't allowed us to move to the breakout room," is what Franchesca said.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That's not what it indicates on my end.

MS. MONTGOMERY: Okay. What do you suggest, Your Honor?

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: It says that
25 they're allowed to join the session, so that they should be

able to but they're indicating to me that they're not able to, so I have no idea.

MR. ROY: Is that Jessica's voice?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I see nothing distinguishable between them and everyone else, so --

MS. MONTGOMERY: Franchesca says the white button -- the button is whited out or let me see what she said. The button -- "We cannot select this button. It is whited out."

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Do you think,
Your Honor, perhaps we could go back into the main room and
then try to reenter the breakout room?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I can end the breakout session and restate it so that we'll all have to go back. I'll go ahead and do that but there's no reason why I should need to but let's try it. But when I do that, there's always a one-minute delay for everyone to get back to the main room.

Okay, we'll go off the record for a second.

(Off the record at 2:14 p.m.)

(On the record at 2:17 p.m.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay, Ms.

Arciniega, why do you think it's relevant to address this?

Originally, I thought you were trying to make him -- have
him go into the situation involving our previous witness

who cut her finger. But now it sounds like you're talking about someone who is not one of the witnesses we've had thus far who was there for a single day. And it seems not to go to our chronology of events as to when they last worked for the company but, rather, the issue of whether the company had Worker's Comp Insurance, which isn't a subject matter of this hearing. So what is your reasoning why you think it's relevant to this hearing?

2.2.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you for allowing me to be heard, Your Honor. This goes to the foundation of Mr. Mendoza's knowledge of the worker's PCA. And I believe it's also relevant to say that Rene Macias, the supervisor, had all control over workers and the work conditions.

Respondent's Counsel is trying to obviate Mr.

Macias's control and decision making over the workers and
their conditions. And he wants to (indiscernible) that at
Mr. Mendoza (indiscernible) that the workers didn't return
to Cinagro when, in fact, the testimony has been otherwise.

So this goes to -- it goes to the credibility of the worker witnesses, that they complained. And, also, it's testimony that he just said that Mr. Dighera was out in the field.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I don't find that persuasive, so I don't need to hear from Mr. Roy. So I'm not going to hear further testimony related to

whether they had Worker Comp Insurance.

You already elicited that from him, and I'm not sure striking it, that Mr. Dighera was out in the field.

And you did elicit from him that he discussed it with Mr.

Macias. So the questions that you asked him are appropriate thus far. But I think we're at the stopping point for it and we're ready to move on to other topics, so that's my ruling.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay. Can I ask another question?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sure.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay. So Mr. Mendoza just testified that Rene came out to the field. And I was going to ask him what he heard Mr. Rene Macias say to that worker. And then I will ask him about the witness that we did hear that also cut her finger to find out if he was present and has any knowledge of that.

 $\label{eq:administrative law JUDGE SOBLE: You can ask him} \\$ the latter question but not the former.

MS. ARCINIEGA: That's all I have.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. We'll go back to the main room in 60 seconds.

(The breakout session concludes.)

(Off the record at 2:20 p.m.)

(On the record at 2:51 p.m.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We'll go back on the record. It is 2:51 p.m. We were off the record for, I don't know, approximately 15 to 20 minutes. The prior virtual meeting room, people were visible but not audible. Since I did not have a technical solution to that, I created another WebEx meeting room and we have all resituated ourselves into that room.

So in a few seconds the General Counsel will be proceeding with her questions of Mr. Mendoza. But, most likely, we will be taking an afternoon break, pursuant to the General Counsel's earlier request. We'll probably start that about 18 minutes from now, like roughly from 3:10 to 3:30. So I'm not sure we're going to finish Mr. Mendoza today, unfortunately, but let's give it a try.

You can proceed, Ms. Arciniega.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. Mr. Mendoza, when you worked at Cinagro, what day of the week did you receive your paycheck?
 - A. Friday.
- Q. And what day did the workers receive their paychecks?
- 23 A. The same. Also on Fridays.
 - Q. How did you receive the -- your paycheck?
 - A. Rene would bring them in the morning.

- Q. Would he give you the workers' checks also?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Earlier you said that when you received your first paycheck from Cinagro, it did not have a paystub with it. Do you know if the workers first paychecks from Cinagro had paystubs?
 - A. They were all the same, personal checks.
- Q. Okay. And did any of the workers in your crew talk to you about receiving this type of check?
- 10 A. Not at that moment. It wasn't until the second check.
- Q. Okay. And who talked to you after receiving their second paycheck?
- A. The majority of the employees are the ones that mentioned or commented about that.
- Q. Do you mean the majority of the employees in your crew?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And were they together when they spoke with you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what did they say to you?
- A. They mentioned that it was the second check and what happened with the paycheck stub?
 - Q. And what did you tell them?
- 25 A. That I would speak to Rene.

- Q. Did you talk to Rene?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

- Q. When?
- 4 A. That same day in the afternoon.
- 5 Q. And how did you talk to Rene?
 - A. On the phone.
 - Q. And what did you tell him?
 - A. I told him that the people, including me, wanted to know when they were going to start paying us with a paycheck stub.
- 11 Q. And did he respond to you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What did he say to you?
 - A. He said he was going to call the office and find out when the checks would be ready, including the paycheck stub.
 - Q. Did he tell you what to tell the workers?

 MR. ROY: Objection; assumes facts not in evidence.

20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: He told me to let the workers know that he was going to call the office to see when the checks would be ready with the paycheck stubs.

- 24 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
 - Q. And what did you do?

- A. I went and told the workers that Rene had told me he was going to call the office to find out when the -- they were -- the checks with the paycheck stubs were going to be ready.
- Q. And on the following payday when you received your paycheck from Cinagro, did it come with a paycheck stub?

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It doesn't really matter how many times they came without it, the fact has been established, they didn't pay stubs with their paycheck, end of conversation. Are we going to go through every single --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I don't want to have a speaking objection in front of the witness. And I'm going to allow the question.

THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, would you be kind enough to repeat the question please?

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

Q. The following -- I'm sorry.

When you received your next paycheck from Cinagro after that conversation with Rene -- I mean, that wasn't part of my question but that was where my line of question was -- did it have a paycheck stub?

- A. No. We received another personal check.
- Q. And did any of the workers in your crew say

anything to you about this?

- A. They wanted to talk to Rene.
- Q. Do you recall which workers told you they wanted to talk to Rene?

MR. ROY: Objection; cumulative.

agree that this testimony substantially overlaps with testimony elicited from other witnesses. But I do need a certain amount of information to be able to go to the recollection of different witnesses and to make credibility determination. And, again, I don't know if any of the witnesses that the company will call will be saying something different or not. Obviously, the parties haven't stipulated to facts related to these things yet. So until that happens, for now, I'm going to allow some additional questions on this topic.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I would be willing to stipulate on the record that the payroll checks did not have your traditional wage statements, other than the single piece of paper with the production and gross wages on it if that would obviate all of this cumulative testimony.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I don't think that stipulation by itself would. And I think you've indicated before that you're not prepared to stipulate that

they were personal checks. And, in fact, you may even disagree with that characterization. We'll have to find out after we've heard some additional witnesses.

So I think we can hear this but it's relevant, not because the end is whether or not personal checks were used or not, that's not what this hearing is about but, rather, I want to hear a little bit about the dynamics of what was communicated to the foreperson, what the foreperson communicated to the supervisor, and then get a sense of whether or not this was something that the supervisor and the owner cared about or not in terms of determining what ultimately happened with the crew.

So I'm not hearing all of this to try to actually find out what types of paper people got or didn't get but, rather, to find out what was the overall dynamics going on.

So with that in mind, I'm going to allow more questions on this topic with respect to this witness. And I'm certainly also going to allow the witnesses that you call to talk about these same subjects. If it isn't relative for Mr. Mendoza to talk about it, then it wouldn't be relevant for Mr. Macias or Mr. Dighera to talk about it either. And I think it's relevant to hear what all of them have to say.

MR. ROY: Understood. But my objection wasn't relevancy, it was cumulative nature of the testimony, Your

Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

21

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: And that's correct. And if I implied otherwise that's my mistake but the reasoning still applies as to why I want to hear it.

MR. ROY: Understood.

THE INTERPRETER: It was -- should I interpret the answer?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: If you remember the witness's answer, you can tell us what it was now, yes. Thank you.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE WITNESS: It was the majority of the workers. But the one that pressured me the most or insisted the most was Yolanda Perez, Rodrigo, Marisol, Hector, and Maria Guadalupe.

16 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. So when the majority of the workers asked for a meeting with Rene, what did you do?
- 19 A. I called Rene on the phone and notified him that 20 the people wanted to talk to him.
 - Q. Did he answer the call?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And what did he say?
- A. He said that he would be there later on. And he came back about an hour later.

- Q. Okay. Did he say anything else on that phone call?
- A. No, only that he was going to be there in an hour.
 - Q. And then what did you do?

THE INTERPRETER: "And then what did you do?"

Was that the question?

THE WITNESS: I told the workers to continue with the work until he arrived at the ranch.

10 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

5

7

8

- 11 Q. And on that day, did he arrive at the ranch?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what day of the week that was?
- 14 A. I don't remember very well if it was a Saturday.
- Q. Okay. And when he got to the ranch were the workers in your crew working?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what did Rene do?
- 19 A. Rene got near to us and he spoke to the people.
- Q. Okay. And were you present when he was speaking to the people?
- A. No. I had to go unload the truck with the product.
- Q. Okay. And did you return at any time from unloading the truck while Rene was still talking with the

workers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. No. Rene first finished talking to the people. And then he came to where I was at.
- Q. Okay. And what did he say to you when he went over to where you were?

MR. ROY: Objection. Your Honor, I had an objection that it assumes facts not in evidence. There was no testimony that Rene went over to talk to him.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'll overrule the objection tentatively for now. But by doing that, I'm not necessarily agreeing or disagreeing whether there was testimony to that effect.

Does the witness -- has the witness heard the question?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Rene came towards me and he told me that he had already talked to the people and that we should wait a little bit longer for the office to process things and for us to get our paycheck stubs.

- BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
 - Q. Did you say anything to Rene?
- A. No, that was all we talked about.
- MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor, this Assistant
- 25 General Counsel Arciniega. I can't see your screen and I'm

not sure if you can still hear us.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You cannot see my screen. Let's see if that cures it. I certainly can hear you the whole time.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay. Great. Now I can see your video.

Would you -- do you mind repeating that last answer, if I can, Your Honor, with Ms. Lucas, with his answer?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: If the Interpreter is able to. I don't know if that's the case or not.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay.

THE INTERPRETER: Can I ask the witness for the answer again? I'm not sure that I'd be able to repeat it the way he said it.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I mean, you can ask. And then after we do that, it's going to be time for us to take that afternoon break.

Mr. Mendoza, do you recall what your answer was to the last question?

THE WITNESS: The last answer?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes.

24 THE WITNESS: That was all the conversation we 25 had with Rene, that he had told me to wait of the office to

process things so that we can get our paycheck stubs.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Ms.

Arciniega, do you want to ask one more question to clarify that or is this a better time to take a break?

MS. ARCINIEGA: This is a better time to take a break, Your Honor. And I'd like to be heard regarding that break.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

MS. ARCINIEGA: The General Counsel's office for this one, due to the circumstances we've experienced this afternoon, is willing to take a shorter break to 13 minutes or 15 minutes, Your Honor. We would like to continue with Mr. Mendoza as soon as we can.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That's fine as long as that is speaking for whoever it is that we're making this accommodation for in the first place and I don't know that. I'm reluctant to do that without knowing that that person is confirming that but I'll assume that that's something that's been discussed among all of you and is unanimous.

So then we'll take our break now and we'll resume, still, at 3:30. Thank you.

We're off the record.

(Off the record at 3:13 p.m.)

(On the record at 3:33 p.m.)

1 (Spanish Interpreter is present.) 2 (Witness is present.) 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Go on the 4 record. And the General Counsel can ask their next 5 question for Mr. Mendoza. 6 MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 BY MS. ARCINIEGA: 8 After this time that you talked to Rene in the Ο. 9 field, the paycheck you received the following week, did it 10 include any type of pay stub? 11 MR. ROY: Objection. It's vague. We already 12 know that there are certain information provided with the 13 check but we -- are we talking about a traditional pay 14 stub? 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled. 16 That's argument and that's something you can ask, if you 17 wish, on cross-examination. I'm going to allow the 18 question but I'm going to remind everyone, this is not a 19 hearing about whether or not there were pay stubs but, 20 rather, a hearing, essentially, as to whether or not 21 people -- well, I won't state what it is. Everybody should 22 know that from the prehearing conference. 23 So I'll allow the question. 24 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it please?

Yes.

MS. ARCINIEGA:

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. After this meeting in the field with Rene, did you -- the following -- when you received your following paycheck, was there any paycheck stub with it?
- A. We had a paycheck stub but not the stub that it should have been.
 - Q. Okay.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Was the paycheck stub in the fourth week the same as the paycheck stub in the first week, if any?

MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor, I want to object because he didn't -- that misstates his prior testimony.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Let me phrase it differently.

The first time you received some sort of paycheck stub, did it ever change to a different type of paycheck stub while you were at Cinagro?

THE WITNESS: After three checks.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Sure. Yes.

MS. ARCINIEGA: I'd like to put an exhibit before the witness, Your Honor, and I think that would help us.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: After the witness answers this question, that's perfectly okay.

THE WITNESS: After three checks.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I wasn't sure if he was asking that or if he was answering that.

Now I'm concluding he's answering that.

You can ask your next question.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor. I'd like to show the witness a document that we're -- that we've identified in the General Counsel Exhibits under Tab 2.

And may I instruct the witness to look at the binder, Your Honor?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I want to look at it first before I have you do that.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes, you may.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you.

15 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

21

- Q. Mr. Mendoza, do you see a white notebook on the table in front of you?
- 18 A. Would this be it?
- 19 Q. Yes. Can you please go to section two, with the 20 number two, inside the notebook?
 - A. Ready.
- Q. Okay. And can you take a look at that document please?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Do you recognize what that is?

A. It was the first supposed paycheck stubs that they were giving us.

Q. Okay.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Can you have the witness show the document just to the monitor for a second? Excellent. Thank you.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I don't have the document in front of me but I assume it's a check that was issued to Mr. Victor; is that what it is?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: It's the document that has the Bate Stamp C like cat, P like parrot, quadruple 0, 1. And I would say it is not a check. It is a document that may have accompanied a check dated March 10, 2017.

MR. ROY: Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Does anyone disagree with my characterization of the exhibit on the record?

MR. ROY: No, Your Honor.

MS. ARCINIEGA: If you're looking for the electronic one that was sent to you, Rob, it would be labeled as Exhibit 2.

MR. ROY: Okay.

MS. ARCINIEGA: And it's part of our Stipulation of Authentication.

1 MR. ROY: All right. Thank you. 2 MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor, I'd like to move this 3 exhibit into evidence. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: No, I won't 5 allow you to do that because the parties have -- oh, no. 6 Wait. They've stipulated not to admit it but to 7 authenticate it. Okay. 8 Are there any objections from the Respondent to 9 admitting this document? 10 MR. ROY: It's just cumulative evidence, Your 11 Honor. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 13 Overruled. It's admitted. 14 (General Counsel Exhibit GC-00002 is admitted.) 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm not, at this 16 time, saying that it's relevant of anything other than, 17 perhaps, the general discussion that's been had about what 18 accompanied checks, but I'll allow it. 19 MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I 20 proceed? 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes. Please. 22 BY MS. ARCINIEGA: 23 Mr. Mendoza, can you please look at this page Q.

24

25

Α.

again that's in front of you?

Yes.

- Q. Can you see a date on this page?
- A. Yes, 03/10 of 2017.

Q. And do you know what date that represents, those numbers represent?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to object to that question. I mean, I don't know if you're asking the witness if he understands the calendar system but, if so, I think you're insulting him.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor, I was just trying to provide clarity on the record. In no way am I --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, I don't think you were intentionally so but the point is he told you what the date is and then you asked him if knows what the date means. So you can ask your next question.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor, if I may be heard?

This is very important. I'm not sure, Your Honor, you know, how much awareness we all have, but in other languages and other countries, dates are written differently when they're written without the full name of the month. So I'm responding to your --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. If you think that --

MS. ARCINIEGA: -- objection on the record that I was being offensive.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: No, I would not

think that you intended to be. But if you thought that he was, perhaps, confused as to whether or not one of those was the date versus the month, I'll allow you to ask it. But I don't believe that there's any pertinence to that based on everything that we've heard in the record as to why that would be important for you to do but go ahead.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

19

20

21

22

- Q. Mr. Mendoza, you had just testified that when you received a paycheck stub, it was after three weeks, I think you said; is that correct?
- A. When we received the paycheck stub?
- 13 O. Yes.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is this page that you have in front of you, is this similar to the paycheck stub that you received?
- 18 A. Yes, it's similar.
 - Q. Thank you. And for the entire time that you worked at Cinagro, did you receive a paycheck that looked -- that had any other information on it in addition to what this paycheck stub has?
- A. We did receive one the way it should be but I don't remember how long had transpired, how long it was.
 - Q. Okay. And when the workers in your crew received

this -- received a paycheck stub with their paycheck for the first time, did they talk to you?

- A. When it was a paycheck stub that it should have been?
 - Q. The first time they received a paycheck stub.
- A. The same thing happened. They were not pleased with the paycheck stub.
- Q. And what did they tell you about why they -- what did they tell you?

MR. ROY: Objection; vague. Who?

MS. ARCINIEGA: I can go back.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: The question would be: What, if anything, did members of his crew say to him when they first received one of the pay stubs that looked similar to General Counsel Exhibit 2.

17 THE WITNESS: They asked to speak to Rene again.

18 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

19

20

- Q. And which workers asked to speak to Rene again?
- A. It was all the people.
- Q. All the people in your crew?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And were they together when they asked you to speak to Rene?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. What did you say to them?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

- A. That I was going to talk to Rene and I called him right at that moment.
 - Q. And what did you tell Rene?
- A. That the people wanted to talk to him about the paycheck stub.
 - Q. And what did he say to you?
- A. He said that the following day he was going to go to the ranch early in the morning to see about that.
- Q And did he go to the ranch the following day?
- A. He got there. I don't remember well if it was 9:00, 9:30.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know what day of the week this was?
- 14 A. It was a Saturday.
 - Q. Okay. And do you know what month this was?
- 16 A. No, I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. And what happened when he got to the ranch?
- 19 A. He got there again where all the people were.
- 20 Q. Okay. And what happened next?
- A. At that meeting, I was present. And most of the people said that they wanted a paycheck stub that specified the deductions that should be on the check.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me the names of the workers who spoke?

- A. Marisol, Hector, Yolanda, Rigoberto, Maria Guadalupe, Ignacia. And the other names, I don't remember.
 - Q. And did Rene respond?
- A. Yes, he said that he would call the office again to see what they could arrange so that they could provide the paycheck stub.
- Q. And did Rene make the telephone call while he was in the field?
 - A. No. I didn't get to see him grabbing the phone.
- Q. Okay. Did Rene talk to you out in the field after he met with you and the workers?
- 12 A. After he talked to them?
- 13 O. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 14 A. There wasn't any other conversation. He just got 15 in his car and left.
- Q. Okay. And after that day, did Rene give you any more information about when --
- THE INTERPRETER: You cut out. I'm sorry,
- 19 Counsel, you cut in and out.
- MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay.
- THE INTERPRETER: I couldn't hear what you were
- 22 saying.
- MS. ARCINIEGA: No problem. I'll start my
- 24 question again.
- THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

- Ο. After that time in the field, did Rene give you more information to give to the workers about when there would be paycheck stub with the details on them?
- The only thing he said was he didn't know how long it would be before they would be able to receive the paycheck stubs the way it should be.
 - And did he tell you that over the phone? Ο.
 - Yes. Α.
- Okay. Did he tell you to tell the workers Q. anything about the paycheck stub?
- Α. Yes. The same thing that he mentioned to me, I 13 told the people.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - Mr. Mendoza, when you and your crew started working directly for Cinagro, were there any other crews working there?
 - When we first go started there, there wasn't another one.
- 20 Q. And while you were working there did you see --21 after the -- when you first started -- excuse me -- at some 22 point did you see another crew working there?
- 23 THE INTERPRETER: Your question was: After you 24 started, at some point was there -- I lost it. I'm so 25 sorry.

MS. ARCINIEGA: That's okay. It was probably not the clearest question.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. At some point while you were working at Cinagro, did you see another crew working there?
- A. When we were working with the contractor, there was one.
- Q. Okay. And after you were -- so there was one crew.

And do you know if that crew continued working at Cinagro while your crew worked at Cinagro?

- A. When we were already working under Cinagro directly, there was another one.
- Q. Okay. And at any point did another crew start working there after you and your crew were hired directly?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: That's asked and answered. You've already asked that question, Counsel.

MS. ARCINIEGA: May I be heard?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: No. You've already asked that question. You can ask a different question to try to get there. I think you're asking it because you think the witness might be mistaken but you've already indicated.

So during the time period that you worked directly for Cinagro, Mr. Mendoza, were you the only

1 foreperson that you saw on any of the ranches? 2 THE WITNESS: After some time, another crew came. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 4 Go ahead, Ms. Arciniega. And now he's indicated 5 both, so I'm going to allow further questions to clarify. 6 BY MS. ARCINIEGA: 7 Q. Did Rene tell you anything about the other crew? No. He didn't mention anything. 8 9 Q. And once that crew started, were there times when 10 your crew worked in the same ranch as that crew? 11 MR. ROY: Objection; leading. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled. It's 13 close but I'll allow it. 14 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. I lost the 15 question. Was it that -- whether or not --16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Did the two 17 crews ever work in the same field at the same time? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, but not with the same project. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you. 20 BY MS. ARCINIEGA: 21 What was the product that the other crew Q. 2.2. harvested? 23 Α. We used to do the green kale. And they were

> Did your crew also pick -- harvest black kale? Q.

doing -- he was doing the black kale.

24

A. Yes.

- Q. While you were working at Cinagro, were there any occasions when you saw the other crew work more hours in a day than your crew?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall what month that was?
- A. I don't remember very well but it was in between November and December, but I'm not really sure.
- Q. Okay. And do you have an estimate of how many times in a week your crew and that other crew worked in the same ranch?

MR. ROY: Objection; lack of foundation.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to allow the question. But if the witness answers, yes, then someone, whether it's the Assistant General Counsel or if it's myself, is going to be asking him how he would know that, but let's see what he answers first.

THE WITNESS: We never worked the same product but we did work in the same ranch. Most of the time we worked at Tierra Rejada. It was rare when they moved us to Moorpark.

- 22 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
- Q. So when you were working at the same ranch and the other crew was present, was that at Tierra Rejada?
 - A. Yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Let me try asking a couple of questions to see if we can save some time.

Mr. Mendoza, is the only way that you would know how many hours the other crew worked would be if you saw them out in the field?

THE WITNESS: The only thing that I could see was that they would get rid of us at one and they'd remain their working.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. But you wouldn't know how many hours the crew worked from company records, you would only know from see things you saw with your eyes; correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And, also, I would notice on the product that they were working on, you could see that they had gone further ahead with the product.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.

Ms. Arciniega, you can ask your next question.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

- Q. Mr. Mendoza, do you recall the last day that you and your crew worked at Cinagro?
- A. I don't remember the day very well.
- Q. You don't remember what day? Okay.
- Do you remember how many hours you worked that

day?

1

2

3

6

7

8

- A. I only remember that it was a Saturday and that we only worked until 12:00.
- Q. Okay. And on that last day of work, what happened?
 - A. Rene told me that he would let me know if there was work on Monday.
 - Q. Did Rene call you to tell you that?
 - A. He called me Sunday to tell me.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: On Saturday, how 12 did you know to stop working at noon?
- THE WITNESS: Rene let me know.
- 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: By telephone?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Did he tell you
- 17 why you were stopping at noon?
- THE WITNESS: That there wasn't enough work.
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.
- THE INTERPRETER: Sorry. Can I correct that?
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Yes.
- 22 THE INTERPRETER: That there wasn't a lot of
- 23 work.
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay.
- Ms. Arciniega, you can proceed.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Thank you.

BY MS. ARCINIEGA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

- Q. And on that day, Mr. Mendoza, was the other crew working in that same ranch?
 - A. Yes. They remained working.
- Q. Okay. And you just said that Rene called you on Sunday; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did he tell you when he called you?
- A. He told me to let the people know that there would not be work on Monday.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so what did you do?
 - A. I called the people and let them know that there was not going to be work on Monday and that I would call them later on to let them know about the following day.
 - Q. Okay. And what did you do on that Monday?
- 17 A. Monday, I was at home.
- Q. Okay. And did Rene call you on Monday?
- 19 A. Yes, he called me Monday.
- 20 Q. And what did he tell you?
- 21 A. He told me that there was no work on Tuesday.
- 22 And the same, to let people know.
- Q. What did you do?
- A. I called them and let them know that there was not going to be work on Tuesday. And then Hector Cruz and

Marisol told me that they had gone to the ranch that Monday and they had seen the other crew working.

- Q. This was Monday; correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. And did they say what ranch they had gone to?
 - A. Tierra Rejada.
 - Q. Okay. And what did you do after you talked to -- after Marisol and Hector told you that?
 - A. I kept calling the other people to let them know that there was not going to be work.
- Q. Okay. Did you get a call from Rene -- let me
 see, he had already talked to Rene. Okay. Sorry. Scratch
 that.
- 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: When was your 16 next call from Rene after that, if any?
- THE WITNESS: It was Wednesday when he told me
 that, due to lack of work, we were going to stop working a
 few days, and to go pick up everyone's checks.
- MS. ARCINIEGA: I don't know if this has been asked. I apologize if it has. It's a little late in the afternoon.
- 23 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
- Q. Did he call you when he told you that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you said this was on Wednesday?
- A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. What did you do on Tuesday?
- A. Same thing. I was at home and then I went to do some personal things that I had to take care of.
- Q. When you had the conversation with Rene on Wednesday, did you mention to him that someone told you the other crew was working on Monday?
- A. I made the comment to him that the other crew had worked and he denied it. He said that they had not worked.
- Q. Did you tell him which day the other crew had worked?
 - A. Can you repeat that question?
- Q. Did you tell him which day the other crew had worked?
- A. Yes. I told him. Monday.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And on Wednesday, when you talked to Rene, did he tell you what would happen after the couple of days your crew and you would be stopped working?
- 20 MR. ROY: Objection; vague, and leading.
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled as to
- 22 vague. Sustained as to leading.
- 23 BY MS. ARCINIEGA:
- Q. When you talked to Rene on Wednesday, did he tell you what the next workday would be for you and your crew?

- A. No, he didn't. He said that there wasn't a specific day to return to work.
- Q. Okay. And you said that he -- well, let me ask, how did you receive your paycheck from Cinagro for that last week that you worked?
 - A. I went in person for the checks to Moorpark.
 - Q. Did you go to the office or the field?
 - A. It was at the Moorpark ranch.
 - Q. And who did you pick up the checks from?
- 10 A. Rene.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And did you talk to Rene when you received the checks from him?
- 13 A. What?
- Q. Did you and Rene talk when you picked up the checks from him?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And who spoke first?
- 18 A. Rene.
- 19 Q. And what did he say to you?
- A. He told me that due to lack of work that he did
 not know when we would return to work but he would call me,
 but I never received a phone call.
- Q. Did he tell you anything to say to the workers in your crew?
 - A. He told me to talk to them and let me know that

that was their last day worked, and when there was more work, that he would call us.

- Q. Did you say anything to Rene?
- A. No. I just thanked him for the checks and for the job.
- Q. And did you give those paychecks for the last week of work to the members of your crew?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. How -- did you meet with them all together?
- 10 A. No, not all of them together. We agreed to meet
 11 in one place and then they came on their own. They would
 12 pick up their check and then they would leave.
- Q. I see. And when you gave them their checks, what did you tell them?
 - A. That Rene had told us that due to lack of work that we weren't going to work and that he would call us back. But the way he explained that, people assumed that he wasn't going to call us back, and everybody started looking for work.
 - MS. ARCINIEGA: I want to object to that translation, Your Honor.
- MR. ROY: I don't.
- MS. ARCINIEGA: I don't think that the witness
 used the word assumed, or the witness did not use the word
 assumed, I will say. I understand it was a --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I'm going to need to have the Court Reporter play the answer back for both myself, the Interpreter, and Counsel to hear it. And we'll go through the answer one word at a time because, unlike some of the answers where I have no idea why Counsel is interested in them, this one, I could see why they would be.

So we'll have the Court Reporter play back the last question and answer.

COURT REPORTER: (Off mike.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

25

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: However much time you need.

Mr. Mendoza, we're going off the record for a minute for the Court Reporter to play back the last answer and the translation. The attorneys, as part of their job, may occasionally ask about the interpretation and translation. This is routine but it may take five or ten minutes before we are ready.

(Off the record at 4:19 p.m.)

(On the record at 4:38 p.m.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: We'll go back on the record.

If the Interpreter would indicate the same thing 24 just now off the record?

MR. ROY: Your Honor, may I inquire? Because I

thought that he said that they understood that and they were going to go their own way.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: First, I'm going to have the Interpreter indicate what she said now. And then if you have something you want to add, I'll let you state that on the record.

MR. ROY: Thank you.

2.2.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: First, if the translator -- if the Interpreter would indicate what she said while we were off the record?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes. After listening to the recording, the word that the witness used was "(speaking Spanish)," which should have been translated as "understood," and I interpreted it as "we assumed."

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Now, from having heard it again, are you able to repeat for me what you heard in Spanish or do you need the Court Reporter to play it again to be able to, essentially, write down what the words were in Spanish and indicate those back to me?

THE INTERPRETER: So you want me to do the interpretation again?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: No.

THE INTERPRETER: No?

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I want you to -25 and you probably will need to listen to it again -- write

1 down what you heard in Spanish and then state it back. 2 THE INTERPRETER: Got it. Okav. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: -- so that I can 4 see if all of us are on the same page, what we heard, in 5 the native language. 6 THE INTERPRETER: Okay. 7 (Court Reporter replays recording on the record.) 8 MR. ROY: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 9 THE INTERPRETER: Okay, I wasn't able to write it 10 down, so I'm going to do my best. If not, I might have to 11 hear it again. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Why don't we 13 just have the Court Reporter play it again for you one more 14 time now? 15 THE INTERPRETER: Okay. 16 COURT REPORTER: Just a moment. 17 (Court Reporter replays recording on the record.) 18 COURT REPORTER: All right. Hang on. Just one 19 moment. 20 (Court Reporter replays recording on the record.) 21 THE INTERPRETER: Okay. 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. So having 23 heard it a couple of extra times, do you have a revised 24 translation or would you, perhaps, adjust it again? You're 25 still on moot.

THE INTERPRETER: Having heard, yes, I would stay with the revised translation.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. And, Mr. Roy, you had an alternative translation.

MR. ROY: Yes. I mean, there was a portion at the end that he said that I understood that when he was explaining that Rene said that, you know, there wasn't going to be any work in the near future and that they understood that, and that maybe they should look out for themselves or look for work elsewhere.

THE INTERPRETER: Right.

2.2.

MR. ROY: That's what I heard.

THE INTERPRETER: Yeah. That's --

MR. ROY: Is that correct?

THE INTERPRETER: -- that's my -- that's the revised translation because the first translation, I said, "we assumed."

MR. ROY: Right.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. What I'm going to ask the Interpreter to do is from what you've heard now from scratch, please give whatever you think is the best translation of it from beginning to end.

THE INTERPRETER: Okay. "Rene had told me that because there wasn't that much work, that he was going to call us. And the way that we had been working, we

understood that he wasn't going to call us anymore to give us more work."

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Do any of the attorneys disagree with that interpretation and, if so, why?

MR. ROY: I still believe that there was something right at the very end about work elsewhere.

THE INTERPRETER: Counsel, out of -- I cannot make that out in the recording, although I do agree with you, in my mind the first translation I did say something about that but, on the recording I hear, I cannot hear that part. Did you hear that part? Because maybe I'm just -- that sound is so awful, I have a hard time concentrating when I'm hearing it.

MR. ROY: Yes, I heard him, what he said.

Your Honor, this is a very critical thing -
THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

MR. ROY: -- probably one of the most critical statements in this case.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, I don't know that yet but I don't discount that yet. And I don't have very many other alternatives, other than the Court Reporter has to be able to play these back in a way that I can hear it. I cannot hear it in a way to be able to utilize it sufficiently the way that it's been played back.

Let's go off the record for a second.

(Off the record at 4:45 p.m.)

(On the record at 4:53 p.m.)

(Spanish Interpreter is present.)

(Witness is present.)

2.2.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay, I have a general statement before we get to the translation of this particular sentence.

We have to have a more understandable recording of the record available to do this. I anticipate in every virtual hearing that I do that some testimony will be played back. My life experience is that every multi-day hearing has that happen at least one or two times over the multiple days. It may sometimes be important, it may not, but we need to be prepared.

So I don't know if the other court reporter's equipment is identical to yours. But if it's not getting a good enough recording, if necessary, then you need to have a cassette recorder or something that is recording it as well. I personally don't even know how a transcriber is going to transcribe from something of that quality. But that's for all of you to figure out.

There has to be a way so that we can hear something of comparable quality to what we're hearing contemporaneous to when it's said, and that's not what's

there.

So fact that you had other hearings during the pandemic when nobody asks to have something come back doesn't mean that it complies with our request pursuant to your contract for court reporting.

So with that in mind, please let Ms. Barr know that we need a clear, crisp, understandable recording that can be played back during the hearing. I don't know that we will be able to correct this retroactively but we need to be doing that starting on Monday morning.

With respect to the translation of this particular sentence, which is clearly going to be the -- we're within a couple questions of ending for the day, I'm not sure we're going to be able to suitably resolve this today. So I'll let Counsel address that when we come Monday morning.

What I'm going to do, utilizing the rest of our time, is ask a couple more questions while we have the witness here as to the same subject. And perhaps allow Counsel to both ask one or two questions of the same. But we're going to need to wrap up pretty quickly.

VOIR DIRE

23 BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:

Q. So while we're still on the record, Mr. Mendoza, when Rene told you that there would not be work for the

next few days, what did you understand that to mean?

Α. We understood, by seeing the other crew and us not working, it was obvious to us that they were firing us.

MR. ROY: Objection; calls for speculation.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled, but I'm going to ask another question or two on the subject and allow both attorneys to ask a question or two on that topic only, now, before we resume on Monday morning.

BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

- So was there anything that Mr. Macias said, other Q. than because you saw the other crew working, that led you to believe that they would not be calling you back for further work?
- He gave us the weekly check. And I don't Α. remember how many other days he gave us another check separate.
- 17 Okay. When you received your last check, was it 0. 18 on a Wednesday, a Friday, or something different?
 - We had to wait until Friday. Α.
- 20 Q. Okay. And wasn't that your usual day to receive a check?
- 22 Α. Fridays, yes.
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Ms.
- 24 Arciniega, you can have a couple questions.
- 25 Your Honor, I'm still on my MS. ARCINIEGA:

direct examination.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Correct.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Okay.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: But you can have a couple of questions that are limited to that answer that we did not hear a crisp version of back to the extent that you wanted to address that topic. When we resume on Monday morning, you are going to have unlimited and unfettered, other than the usual Rules of Evidence, continuing your examination, but it's two minutes to five o'clock.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Right. I'm not clear because I thought we were going to wait to go into this subject until we had the correct translation, Your Honor. I don't know why, right now --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: If you choose to, I'm going to let you ask a couple of questions on this because I'm cognizant of the fact that whatever the witness said before is fresher in his mind now than it will be after a couple of days have passed. You don't have to take advantage of that.

MS. ARCINIEGA: That's fine, Your Honor. Like I said, I'm still -- okay.

MR. ROY: Your Honor, I would like to take advantage of it.

MS. ARCINIEGA: Your Honor --

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Well, after --2 MS. ARCINIEGA: -- excuse you, Mr. Roy. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: -- after --3 4 MS. ARCINIEGA: I was speaking. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. 6 MS. ARCINIEGA: I was speaking, Your Honor. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: I thought that 8 you were done and you would be asking your next question 9 now. 10 MS. ARCINIEGA: I was going to ask my next 11 question. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. So please 13 proceed. 14 BY MS. ARCINIEGA: 15 Mr. Mendoza, you said that when mister -- than Q. 16 Rene Macias gave you your check for that previous week, he 17 also gave you a better check; is that correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. And what do you understand that that other check 20 was for? 21 We worked two more days. Α. 22 When did you work two more days? Q. 23 It was Thursday and Friday. And on Friday, he Α. 24 gave us both checks.

Does that mean that, had you continued working,

25

Q.

the check -- the second check he gave you, you wouldn't have received until your next payday at Cinagro?

MR. ROY: Objection; leading.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Overruled.

You can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I'm going to pause the General Counsel's questions. I'm going to allow Mr. Roy to ask a question or two related solely to the answer that the witness gave that were going to try to give a better audio recording on Monday morning. If I determine the question does not relate to that, I won't permit it until after Ms. Arciniega is done.

MR. ROY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. Mendoza, after Rene told that there was no work available and that he would call you when there was, did he tell you you were fired?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. ROY: He told you you were fired?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. ROY: Okay.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I'm going to ask one question and then we'll wrap things up.

Mr. Mendoza, when you say that Mr. Macias told you that you were fired, are you saying that he used those

words literally or that he used words that you understood to mean that?

THE WITNESS: I understood that in the way he expressed himself when he gave me the checks.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. I'm not sure how responsive that is but it's 5:03, so I don't think we're going to solve very much by adding two or three minutes here. I don't think we added very much having either myself or either of the Counsel asking another question or two.

When we get to Monday morning we're either going to have a better recording of what the witness said in Spanish or we're not. Either way, at that point, Ms. Arciniega is going to be continuing her direct examination. We are not likely to finish this witness until close to the end of Monday morning, taking into account that we're starting at 9:30 and that we're taking our lunch break, perhaps, somewhere around 11:45. So -- but you should still have the other witness available at all times on Monday.

So, Mr. Mendoza, you need to be back Monday at 9:30 a.m.; do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: You also understand that you should not talk to any of the

attorneys, or any of the employees of the attorneys, or any of the former Cinagro workers, either in your crew or at a supervisory level, between now and Monday at 9:30?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: So with that in mind, Mr. Mendoza, you are free to go to the day. Please remember not to discuss anything that you heard at the hearing with anyone, other than that you may tell people that you attended the hearing.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I understand.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Thank you.

Let's give the witness a chance to leave the room. And then we're going to talk briefly for a minute or two before we wrap up for the day.

(Witness exits the hearing room.)

16 (Pause)

(Off the record at 5:08 p.m.)

(On the record at 5:10 p.m.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Let's go back on the record.

We're back on the record. It's 5:10. Mr. Mendoza knows to come back on Monday morning at 9:30.

While we were off the record, I just indicated to everyone that I would ask the Court Reporter, Ms. Nelson, to convey to the manager at her firm, Ms. Barr, that the

court reporting requires the ability of the court reporter to clearly play back the witness testimony so that we can hear it clearly, and that that wasn't possible today, and that that needs to be remedied by the time we begin on Monday morning, at least prospectively, and ideally for anything that we need to hear that was prior to today. And I appreciate the court reporting firm addressing that.

I also indicated that I believe the best way for me to remedy that, if we don't get a more clear audio file of what was said today, is probably to allow all of the Counsel a little bit more leeway in asking things that otherwise might be redundant to have the best chance to try to get what it appears the witness thought. On these topics.

So is there anything anyone would like to add?

MS. ARCINIEGA: Not at this time.

MR. ROY: Nothing, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Well, I appreciate everyone's effort. The fact that some things don't go the way that I would like does not mean that I think, overall, this hearing has not gone the way that I would have expected. I think by and large, all of the Counsel and the Court Reporter and the Interpreter have managed with the system, mostly, effectively. But we just need to correct the part that's not the most. So thank you

and correct the part that is not going the way that I want it, if that was ambiguous.

So I will see everyone Monday morning at 9:30 and we will proceed with Mr. Mendoza's testimony. And then, immediately following that, I assume the General Counsel will still be calling Ms. Ito. I am hopeful that sometime in the afternoon we will be getting to Mr. Roy's first witness.

Do you know if your first witness will be English- or Spanish-speaking?

MR. ROY: English-speaking.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SOBLE: Okay. Well, that doesn't give me enough of a time frame to know what to recommend for the Interpreter. So for now, I'm just going to have to advise the Interpreter to plan on being here all of Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. But I know at some point there will be some English-speaking witnesses where we can at least advise her that she has some length of time that she can leave the hearing and return.

Thank you again, everybody. And stay safe over the weekend. And I'll see you Monday at 9:30.

(The hearing recessed at 5:14 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 8th day of March, 2021.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

153

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

March 8, 2021