STATE OF CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD		
In the Matter of:  ) PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE ) COMMENT ON WORKSITE ACCESS )		
SANTA MARIA INN		
801 SOUTH BROADWAY		
SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA		
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2015		
Reported By:		

Susan Palmer

#### APPEARANCES

## BOARD MEMBERS

William B. Gould, Chairman

Genevieve Shiroma

Cathryn Rivera-Hernandez

#### STAFF

J. Antonio Barbosa, Executive Secretary to the Agricultural Labor Relations Board

Eduardo Blanco, Senior Legal Advisor

#### PERSONS PRESENTING ORAL COMMENT

Peter Maturino, UFCW Local 5

Manuel Guerrero

Monica Bautista

Jesus Estrada, Bi-national Front of Indigenous Organizations (FIOB)

Bryan Little, California Farm Bureau Federation

Claire Wineman, Grower-Shipper Association of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo counties

Rob Carroll, Esq.

Hazel Avalos, Central Coast Alliance United for a Sustainable Economy in Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties (CAUSE)

Salvador Huerta

Guillermo Garcia

Jeremias Juarez

# APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Francisco Lozano

Victorino Gonzalez

Nancy Ibanez

Janet Cruz

Ablan Espinoza

Gonzalo Hernandez

Jesus Gomez

Angel Ponce

Raul Diaz

Santiago Martinez

Olga Santos

Francisco Pacheco

Paty Cantu

		5
	I N D E X (CONT.)	
		PAGE
Oral Comment (cont.)		
Jesus Gomez		156
Angel Ponce		164
Raul Diaz		168
Santiago Martinez		171
Olga Santos		175
Francisco Pacheco		183
Paty Cantu		186

### PROCEEDINGS

1:09 p.m.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: We set forth this hearing. And I am the Chairman of the Agriculture Labor Relations Board, having previously served as the Chairman of the National Relations Board in Washington D.C. in the 1990s.

With me, to my right, are Board Members Genevieve Shiroma and Cathryn Rivera-Hernandez and who have served on the Board from 1999 and 2003, respectively. And I want to thank in the establishment of these hearings in particular -- I seem to be getting noise here, maybe it --

(Board confers about mic feedback)

So I want to thank, in particular, my Senior

Legal Advisor Eduardo Blanco who's here to my left and who

is going to call the speakers who want to appear before us.

And he and my Counsel Karen Snell -- who is not with us

today, because of illness in her family -- have worked very

hard to put together this series of hearings, which began

in Fresno and continued yesterday in Salinas.

I had adverted yesterday to the possibility of further hearings in Oxnard, but we are not going to go ahead at this stage with further hearings in Oxnard. There will, of course -- and I'll describe the entire process to you in a second.

And as usual, I'm grateful to Executive Secretary

Antonio Barbosa, who's sitting there. And he's really, as I've said on many occasions the heart, soul and brains of the agency for three-and-a-half decades. I call him the Larry Bird, for those of you who have followed professional basketball, of the agency like Bird was for so many years to the great Boston Celtics. And he's coordinated these hearings with his normal and extraordinary skilled and dedication.

These hearings, as I said, began in Salinas -began in Fresno, continued in Salinas, Santa Maria here
today. And they're designed to obtain a full public import
at this stage into the process, a process which already
began with the Ad Hoc --

(Microphone feedback stops)

It seems like you did the right there, thank you.

COURT REPORTER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: -- with the Ad Hoc LaborManagement Committee in Sacramento on August 3 of this
year. And I want to thank the members of the committee,
that Ad Hoc Committee for getting the word out and for
appearing before the Board and presenting public comment on
this important subject.

These hearings are designed to focus upon the issue of worker education -- worker education about our law in particular and access to promote this exclusively.

Of course, there are many issues pending before the Board and the courts involving our Act, but these September hearings are not concerned with those issues. And so commentary about these other cases would not be germane and are really out of order. But we welcome, of course comments about any issue before the Board, not the cases pending before the Board -- but not the particulars of issues pending before the Board, because that would be inappropriate. But we welcome your comment about the Board's performance as a general proposition in public meetings, which we hold periodically in Sacramento.

To ensure that farmworkers are able to participate in this process we made arrangements for Spanish, Mixtec, Triqui and Hmong interpreters who have been on hand to provide language assistance and either in the presentation of public comment to the Board or listening to the conduct of this hearing itself. And these interpreters will be in the back and will offer translation simultaneously as needed.

So as I said on August 3 when I met with the Committee, and said earlier to the Legislature this year, this exercise flows directly from the invitation extended by the Court of Appeal for the 4th District in San Diego Nursery to the Agricultural Labor Relations Board to engage in rulemaking regarding this issue of worker education and

access to private property.

In San Diego the focus was upon the program devised by the Board in the 1970s relating to the election machinery itself. But much has changed between 1979 and 2015 where we are now. The focus of the Board at that time was upon election machinery. That's not our focus here today.

And I should say that we don't have a specific proposed rule before you. That, we will be meeting at some point soon to consider how we move forward, but we are looking at this question of worker education and access as a general matter to get your input before we move ahead with this.

On the business of election machinery, of course, there are some de-certification petitions pending before the Board. There are no representation petitions before our AG. Nothing has been filed since I became Chairman of the agency a year-and-a-half ago. I'm always waiting to see if every time I say that if there will be a representation petition, which will contradict my point, but not as of at least 1:15 on September 15, 2015.

Now, so most of the unfair labor practice cases that are pending before our agency involve protests by unorganized workers dealing with employment conditions, that have for the most part, nothing whatsoever to do with

union representation. This itself is a big change from 1979.

And finally I just want to say that in my work, prior to taking this job as a practitioner of Labor Law, an academic, an arbitrator, and a government servant I can't help but note that even with regard to the National Labor Relations Act itself -- and all employees in the private sector where there may not be some of the problems that we have at least to the same degree, in reaching people that we have under our statute -- I can't help but note that even there, lawyers as well as lay people, are unaware of basic principles in our statute.

And one of them is that this statute, as well as the National Labor Relations Act, the bedrock of this statute, is the right of workers to engage in concerted activities for a number of objectives involving employment: the right to protest what workers deem to be low wages, inferior or unsatisfactory employment conditions.

And the same rights, of course, exist under the National Labor Relations Act as well. Our language is a bit more expansive than the National Labor Relations Act. But I can't help but note that after 80 years of that statute, the National Labor Relations Act, and the distribution of manuals and literature by government and private parties, as well as remedial notices for

violations, that basic reality -- lack of knowledge about what's in our statute on the part of the workforce as well as sometimes lawyers themselves -- that reality has not been altered.

And so I and my colleagues welcome input and advice from all interested parties, laypeople, lawyers, farmworkers, growers, unions and any others. And we appreciate your willingness to participate in the process.

And witnesses and speakers will be called by Mr. Blanco. We request that you keep your comments brief, so that we can hear from everybody who would like to speak. And if you have additional comments you're welcome to submit them to Antonio Barbosa, our Executive Secretary, in writing at any time prior to October 15 -- any time before October 15.

After the Board completes this process, and this completes this aspect of the process, we will hold a public meeting when a proposed rule may be voted upon. You'll have another chance to participate at that time and subsequently in the process that emerges down the road as well.

So let's begin and Mr. Blanco, if you would call the first speaker.

MR. BLANCO: Thank you, Chairman. The first speaker is Pete Maturino

1 MR. MATURINO: Am I speaking there or here? 2 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I think wherever you would like 3 to speak, wherever you feel more comfortable. 4 MR. MATURINO: I would imagine wherever the mic 5 is? 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, the mic is up there I 7 quess. I don't know if there's a mic down here? 8 (Board and staff confer on microphones) 9 MR. MATURINO: Well, I'm fine. I mean, I think 10 you can hear me from here? 11 CHAIRMAN GOULD: The only problem is that, you 12 know --13 MR. MATURINO: It's recorded or not? 14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, the only problem is that in 15 my church and also in the Roman Catholic Church we changed 16 this practice of the priest standing with his back to the 17 congregation. And that's a problem, but you can go ahead 18 and speak with your back to the congregation. 19 MR. MATURINO: Well, either way if I'm there or 20 I'm here my back's going to be that way. 21 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: (Indiscernible) 22 MR. MATURINO: Well, good afternoon. My name is 23 Pete Maturino. And I am the Ag Division Director for UFCW 24 Local 5. I started representing farmworkers since 1972. I 25 was the first to do a union presentation to farmworkers on

behalf of the Teamsters Union.

And the early '70s brought about turbulent years between the UFW and the Teamsters Union. The idea of a law that would allow farmworkers to decide through secret ballot if they wanted a union or not, was a way to create peace between the unions and at the same time give farmworkers access to protected rights with or without the union.

The ALRB Law began in August of 1975. I know, because I was there. The initial purpose was to protect farmworkers in exercising their rights, in selecting a union or not, or dealing with wages and hours and working conditions, to prohibit employers from interfering with these rights, to protect workers to be free from restraint or coercion. The agency has gone from conducting hundreds of elections in the '70s to dealing up to now, the current day, with unfair labor practice charges against employers or unions.

But the only way employees can make use of not only the ALRB, but other state agencies such as the Labor Commissioner or Cal OSHA and others is through information on those agencies with direct contact with the employees.

Today somewhere in California, a worker's rights are being violated whether it be for lack of bathrooms, rest periods, heat stress or other rights afforded to the

workers. And he or she does not know who are they supposed to call to receive assistance in those violations.

It has been said that ALRB does not need to go to property, because workers have access to social media where the ALRB can inform workers about rights. The problem is that if the worker is successful in going on to the Internet, he or she won't have the ability to ask a question. And there is nothing like a face-to-face to reassure the worker that he or she is free from retaliation from his or her employer.

But before this happens there's a very high percentage of workers that don't have the ability or knowledge to understand how to get the access to the Internet. There are workers that don't read or right Spanish or their own language of Triqui or other languages. We have over 700,000 farmworkers here in California. And no matter what county you go to, a high percentage of the workers, live in garages and rented rooms of somebody else's house that don't have access to the Internet. And if they wanted to have access to the Internet, a lot of times it's too expensive. They can't afford it.

Workers that can afford a phone, they use that phone to talk to each other, not because they want to go on social media or on the Internet as we know it. Employers say that they worry if the ALRB is to take access to inform

workers, the other agencies in the State of California would also want to take access. I say why not? This way all workers are informed about rights under all the agencies.

A few weeks ago I attended on behalf of Local 5 a function at the Mexican Consulate in San Jose. The Mexican Consulate through their Consul General of Mexican Government, each year throughout the United States in the last week of August, holds what is called "Semana Laboral," which is Labor Week, which is composed of unions, state agencies such as EEOC, EDD, the Labor Commissioner to come and talk to the Mexicans that are there to fix some kind of paperwork for the Mexican Government. And what they do is they also listen or make sure, the Mexican Government, that these Mexicans that are there have access to agencies who will inform them of their rights.

Even the Mexican Government feels that it is important for workers to be informed. So they invite these agencies along with Labor through that whole week to give out information to workers. But this is very minimal, because only those employees from all the different industries that are going to be fixing some kind of paperwork through the Mexican Government, have access to that week and have access to that information.

As an organizer, over 90 percent of the time in

campaigns we find that an owner of a company will address a workforce and tell them that he or she, as the employer, was not aware that a supervisor was violating the workers' rights. So for the company to tell the ALRB that the workers all know their rights is not correct or else you would have more complaints if the workers knew all their rights.

And that is why I am here today to support the idea that an agency such as the ALRB should be allowed to bring information on the jobsite of farmworkers, so that he or she can be better informed as to what their rights and how they can protect themselves at their jobs without fear of retaliation. More so these days, because the makeup of the workforce has changed, because thousands of workers are now coming from Oaxaca, which is basically non-Spanish speaking.

And if an employer is doing nothing wrong then they have nothing to fear from the ALRB or have access to company property and to educate the workers. It is my opinion that in order for the ALRB to be successful in providing information to workers this has to be done during the lunch period. Because employers in ag are going to use that as an excuse as to, "I am not going to pay for my employees to listening to a state agency."

But it's such an important subject that the

workers themselves during that 30-minute lunch period would have no problem listening to and receiving information from the ALRB. And this way the employer cannot use the excuse that, "I'm paying for this time." This is the workers' time.

A lot of people think that the best time is like half an hour or an hour, because part of the regs at the ALRB regarding organizing is an hour before and an hour after work. The problem with that is even we don't use that as an organizer, because if you think that people get to work about half an hour before they start you're wrong. And then at end of day people want to leave and go home. And they're not going to wait around. They have kids to pick up, they have dinner to make, they have other things to do when they get off the worksite. So the best time, and the only time that's available is during that lunch period.

And unfortunately, it's only half an hour, but if the ALRB gets between 15 to 30 minutes of talking time and the ability to hand out information I think it's well served. So those are the times that I think would be the best time for the ALRB to give out the information to the workers. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

Do you have any -- Ms. Shiroma, do you have any

questions?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No, thanks.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Having been at the previous hearings I know you probably know that the other issue that has been raised is how we would select which employers to go to? We clearly don't have the resources to go to all of them immediately within a short period of time. Do you have any thoughts on how we would do that?

MR. MATURINO: We had some amongst ourselves and in talking to other unions we talked about that. And some of what the employers say is correct. The first employer that you — if this was enacted and the first employer that you choose to go on his property is going to ask " Why me?" or any employer, I guess, if you go on to their property, because they're afraid that somehow somebody's going to misinterpret that to mean that just because the ALRB is on my property that I somehow violated the workers' rights.

But that's far from the truth. I mean, it's unfortunate, but we in Labor think that if there are employers -- and I'm assuming there's quite a few as well as unions that have violated the law and had ULPs filed against them -- we think that that's where it should start. Because the ALRB has already -- those employers were found

somehow guilty of violating the workers' rights, then that means that that employer had to go through posting and a reading. And so it's not like that is something new to that employer. And at the same time it allows the ALRB to kind of check up on how the progress has been since the ULP had been filed with that employer.

As far as going -- what employers are after that, I really don't know how you would select an employer other than by counties or regions where the ALRB has access to them.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But random selection or --

MR. MATURINO: It could be -- you know, it's almost like a -- I deal with a lot of contracts and a lot of employers that have drug and alcohol policies. And they have random selection. You know, there's not really any kind of magical thing. It's just a random selection that somebody has selected and that's all it is. And that's all it is.

It's almost impossible for you as an agency -- I mean I don't know how you would financially be able to do it, to visit all worksites of all the ag employers in the State of California.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: I do have a question.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you, Pete, for being here this afternoon. We've heard in the last two hearings from the indigenous community -- and thank you for your helping to make sure that we do hear from the Triqui community. I know we're going to be hearing from the Mixteco community.

I'll speak up, here we go, okay. So we've been hearing quite a bit that help is needed within the indigenous communities to get the word out about their rights whether it's under the Agricultural Labor Relations Act or others, I just wanted to hear maybe a little bit more perspective from you about ought the ALRB focus intensely within those areas where there are a lot of indigenous community workers, farmworkers?

MR. MATURINO: I think it's one of those deals where you have to say it's a no-brainer kind of thing, because it's -- that workforce in itself from Oaxaca, has made a big change in the way the industry functions.

I mean, I represent the workforce that has a minimal, like 5, 10 percent of the work crews are from Oaxaca. And I see firsthand where a supervisor from Jalisco with the light skin and blue eyes tends to discriminate against those individuals. And there's names that are given to these individuals that somehow puts them

below or beneath them.

And so it's very important that these individuals, who are kind -- even though they've been here eight or ten years, they're newcomers to this industry. They're newcomers to this industry and they should be given some way of concentrating an effort on this community. And it's all over through San Diego County, through San Diego area, the strawberry industry and to the San Joaquin Valley and to Monterey County. There's a large section of the Oaxacan community that need that information.

And I see that firsthand, because I see it when the social services people go out from the counties trying to help the families work through some things. So definitely, you should concentrate on these individuals.

And you saw yourselves throughout these hearings that hopefully that a higher portion of people from Oaxaca are coming in to testify in these hearings versus the regular what I used to see back in '70s. We call them the "lechugueros" and those kind of people that the tall border towns: Mexicali, Arizona; Yuma, Arizona people, they were very transient back and forth. And you don't see that as much anymore as you used to.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Anybody want to ask any more

25 questions?

There's one thing that the employers have focused upon particularly and that is that more employees, or at least until recently, have been paid on piece rate rather than hourly. And they maintain that any attempt to have access to private property would interfere with the employees' ability to do as much as possible to meet that piece rate opportunity. Do you have anything to say about that?

MR. MATURINO: It's no different than me as an organizer, going out and filing for access on any employer's property that allows me to be there that 30-minute lunch period. People on a piece rate, whether it's the table grape industry, whether it's the strawberry industry piece rate or the vegetable piece rates -- we don't see as much anymore as you used to -- but it's their time.

Just because the employer pays piece rate doesn't mean that these employees are not going to be taking a half-an-hour lunch, which is their time. That is not paid piece rates. I have some collective bargaining agreements that do pay for that half hour. And so but even though they paid for that half an hour employees are still entitled to that 30-minute lunch period even though it's paid. And they are on a piece rate basis.

And so for an employer to say -- and that's why I

was saying that the recommendation from us anyways, from this Labor organization, is that it should be during the lunch period because it's the employee's time regardless if they're being paid hourly or regardless if they're being paid piece rate. And that's the whole idea of trying to calm down the employer saying that its productive time is being interrupted or affected.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And regardless of whether employer is paying for that or not?

MR. MATURINO: Regardless.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, Okay.

MR. BLANCO: You have organized in the past, indigenous farmworkers?

MR. MATURINO: Correct.

MR. BLANCO: And the techniques you used to organize those groups, do they differ from the techniques you use to organize other farmworkers?

MR. MATURINO: We had the same problems you're having right now with your interpreters that you have right now. And not only the people from Oaxaca, we've organized people in -- the Hmongs and Hindus and all these other countries from the NLRB stuff to the ALRB stuff. And we have the same problems of communication.

As a matter of fact, I was trying to organize a place out in Livingston under NLRB and we didn't have an

interpreter for Sikh. And so we were luckily staying at a hotel where the owner of the hotel ended up being our interpreter and he wrote -- he interpreted most of our flyers in Sikh from the Spanish, English and Sikh.

And but we have the same problems in trying to communicate with these individuals, or we find sometimes a leader in that community within themselves, who will assist us in help passing the information along.

MR. BLANCO: So I think there were speakers in the Fresno and in Salinas who did recommend as an approach, for us, if we were to focus on outreach to indigenous workers that we go through the leadership or through, I believe it was called, the community network.

MR. MATURINO: And it helps a lot more, because they're like the elders of that group. And it used to be the Filipino community, used to be the Arab, the South Yemen, North Yemen kind of individuals. You've got to know the culture. You can't put together the North Yemen and South Yemen together, because they have a problem. And same thing here, if you find that leader within that community group it helps a lot.

MR. BLANCO: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you very much,

24 Pete.

MR. MATURINO: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I appreciate your time. 2 MR. BLANCO: Next, Manuel Guerrero and Monica 3 Bautista. (Board confers) 4 5 (Spanish Interpreter Sergio Ferreira translates.) 6 MR. GUERRERO: (Through Interpreter.) Good 7 afternoon. My name is Manuel Guerrero. It's true what 8 Mr. Maturino is saying. Many times we labor workers need 9 to either get together with one or many persons to cover 10 our work expenses. And with the salaries that we are 11 given, farm work, we don't have enough money to get access 12 to the Internet. From that money, we have to send money to 13 our families in Mexico, cover our expenses over here: food, 14 rent. 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Meals? 16 THE INTERPRETER: Yeah, meals. 17 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Food, rent. 18 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, go on. 19 MR. GUERRERO: And sometimes we need to see the 20 doctor, you know, for a consultation. It's very fortunate 21 that you could visit us on the field as labor workers, 22 because when we take the breaks in the morning if the field 23 has a mile area, the time stops when the tractor or machine 24 And then you have to work all the way to the other 25 side to take a break. Sometimes by the time you get to the

end you don't have enough time to eat. That's what happens if you take lunch.

And if you have to go to the bathroom either the foreman or his helper doesn't provide any help either.

They tell you that's what the lunchroom and the break is for -- or the lunch. Many times during the summer or hot season they don't stop the machinery to drink water.

That's all

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Miss?

MS. BAUTISTA: Okay. Good afternoon. My name's Monica. Like he was saying I agree with everything he was saying. I work in the fields and I see many of the workers, they don't have information. People, they don't provide information to them. So sometimes the supervisor, they get to do whatever they want with the people that work in the field, because some of them, they don't know how to read, some of them they don't know how to write. They don't even know how to speak Spanish, because they speak their own language.

And that's one of the reasons that I think it's really important that you go guys could go and give that information during their break or doing their lunch time. Why I say during the lunch time, because it's our lunch which is our time free. Which is they're not paying us, which is a good time for you guys to go and give that

information to the people that do need it, which is our workers. They do need a lot of information, because like if they don't get that information they would never know what's really important for them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like he was saying we have bills to pay. rent, we have to send money. Like people that have their family in Mexico, they have to send the money to Mexico, so their son or daughter they have over there, they could survive. And that's why I said they need to have It's really important for them to get that information. information, so they could know what is really important for them. Many people might think that is not important, but it is. It is so they could get access to a lot of information, because there's a lot of information that many of the supervisors don't give to the worker. And there's a lot of information that supervisor or people who have the company get to hide from their workers and they get to do whatever they want with them.

Like, for example, two weeks ago when it was really hot, many of the workers that were working in the middle of the hot and they were not getting access to any of their like 15 minutes, 10 minutes of break to get water. And they were working like a slave, they said that. That's the appropriate way to say it, like a slave in the middle of the hot without water. What are we going to do in the

middle of the hot? It's really hot, we need to get some water. And if we say, " Oh, I need to get -- I want to go get some water," they get mad at us and that's not how it's supposed to be.

They need to give us at least five, ten minutes to get some water or go to the restroom. We're people, we're humans, and we do need that access to get some free time in the middle of the hot or if we need to use the restroom.

So I think that during our lunchtime it is a good time for you guys to go and give the information to the people, because we need it. Our people need it. Some people, they don't get access to the Internet. Why?

Because they don't have a lot of money, because they get people that pay them less. People sometimes — our wage minimum is at \$10 right now and some companies, they're not paying that, their minimum like it's supposed to be.

They're paying like \$9.25 and people are not going to survive with that. Some people, they work like eight hours every day, some people work nine, ten hours. So in a week it's like 450 or 420. They have to pay rent in the month, they have to provide food. Like that's not enough like for them.

So that's one of the reasons I say that you guy give information to the people during their lunchtime.

Why? Because they're not going to be able to get mad at workers, because that's their time and our time and they're not paying it. So 30 minutes lunch is really important for you guys and for us to go and give that information to a lot of our workers.

And thank you, that's it.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You had a question?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you. Thank you

both.

Is there a different impact if our ALRB employees show up at farm to give information in comparison to going to a community meeting and giving the same information?

MS. BAUTISTA: I think it is. It will have a lot, because a lot of people don't come to these type of meetings. There are many reasons why, but I'm going to say one of the most important reasons. They might be afraid that, because some of them don't have documents and some of them don't know how to speak English. They might be afraid of people not giving them the chance or the privilege to talk, because they don't speak English. That's one of the -- they're afraid that they might have (indiscernible).

So I think it will have a lot of impact if you guys were in the fields and talked to them, because they're

going to be -- everybody's going to be right there. And nobody's going to be able to go somewhere else. They're going to feel like in the family, because they think they're family. And we are family when we work in the field, because we talk to everybody. We talk as a family, because everybody, we came from the same place.

So if someone doesn't understand what information they're given they could talk to the other persons, but in their own language. And that's how the information is going to stay right there in the field. And that information is going to stay right there.

And then if that person knows -- if they know all that information and they get to get information, then they're going to pass it to the other people and that's how it's going to stay. So if they stay right there it's going to keep going and going and everybody's going to get to have that same information.

So I think it doesn't impact a lot, because a lot of people are going to be afraid to come here for many reasons. And that was one of my reasons that I think they're afraid to come to these type of meetings, because they don't speak English. And sometimes they don't even know how to write, they don't know how to talk Spanish or English. They only know how to speak their own language. And that's why I say it does — it would impact a lot.

1 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, well thank you very 3 much, both of you. 4 MS. BAUTISTA: All right. 5 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I really appreciate it. 6 MR. BLANCO: Jesus Estrada. 7 MR. ESTRADA: (Through Interpreter.) Good 8 afternoon, everybody. Welcome. My name is Jesus Estrada. 9 I'm an Azteca, I worked for 14 years in the strawberry 10 fields. I'm here to explain to you the current situation 11 of work. 12 The topic I would like to cover today is --13 MR. BARBOSA: Retaliation? 14 MR. ESTRADA: -- retaliation, particularly with 15 the foremen or supervisors. When a worker claims his 16 rights when the conditions of the work are not ideal, the 17 foreman right away just look at it as a way to fire you. 18 They had so many complaints that bathrooms are too far 19 away, they tried to find a way to get rid of them. 20 many times what happens with the employers is that we don't 21 have access to their ranches, because they have chains on 22 the front. 23 The other problem that we have is having access 24 to information in our own languages. Yeah, there are many

workers, Mixteco workers, that don't know how to write or

25

read let alone read information that is provided to them in English and in Spanish.

Yeah, there's a lot of discrimination towards workers from Oaxaca, either because of their height or because of their dialect that they speak. And they refer to them as "Oaxaquitas".

I think that's where you guys could enact some laws against retaliation and you could visit the places of work, so you can talk to the owners and explain what's happening against this retaliation.

Yeah, workers need a lot of information, particularly in their own language or dialect or it has to be verbal, because like I said before many of them don't know how to read or write. Particularly, the owners should train or encourage their foremen or supervisors how to treat their workers, because the main problem I see is with the foreman and supervisors. And that's what I wanted to convey to you, you know, how can we improve this area to communicate better with the workers in their own language in the field?

Yeah, many employers say that the workers are able to get information either through their phones or through the Internet. But, you know, like you said before this is impossible for them. And that's what I wanted to say.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you.

MR. BLANCO: Any questions?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: I have one question. So we have been hearing that the ALRB is not trusted. What is your view if our staff were to go to a field, a farm, to speak to Mixteco workers with a translator?

MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, I have the recommendations for you. One is like you said, if you come to our place where we work that you bring somebody who speaks -- particularly a dialect of the workers. And also bring somebody who is not a worker who works in the field. That way we have more freedom to interpret.

And the second one is that you come unannounced, because many times when you let them know you're coming by the time you get there everything has changed. They have arranged everything, the bathrooms or whatever. They've changed everything, so they will have excellent conditions. Unless you come, you know, unannounced.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Gracias.

MR. BLANCO: So, Mr. Estrada, you're not longer a farmworker?

MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, I'm not a worker anymore, but I work -- I'm a leader of the Mixteco workers and I have a card here. That's what I know from experience what the workers are going through and this is the only organization

1 of this type in Santa Maria. Hundreds of workers, Mixteco 2 workers from Oaxaca come to me, not just because of labor 3 problems, but also because they confront other problems. 4 But I have a lot of information because I work with these 5 people. 6 MR. BLANCO: And where do you meet with these 7 workers: in your office, in the fields, at home, where? 8 MR. ESTRADA: In my office, yeah but five or six 9 years ago I used to go to the fields. That's why I'm 10 saying that they wouldn't allow us to do anything, because 11 we're not part of the government. 12 MR. BLANCO: And over these years that you've 13 been with the FIOB, how many Mixteco farmworkers would you 14 say you've met with and have helped? 15 MR. ESTRADA: Just in Santa Maria it's a number 16 of approximately between 15 and 20,000 people from Oaxaca. 17 We also have identified other towns in Santa Maria. 18 15 towns, we started meeting in 2008. I did personally 19 identify 15 total, but there's about 15 towns with a 1,000 20 or more people. 21 MR. BLANCO: Did you work on the Indigenous 22 Farmworker Project with Dr. Rick Mines? 23 MR. ESTRADA: (Without Interpreter.) Si. 24 MR. BLANCO: Do you have any questions? 25 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, I do.

1 Yeah, some people say if ALRB distributed written 2 materials to workers, the Mixtec workers or other 3 additional indigenous workers, this would convey the same 4 information as would the ALRB coming on to private property 5 and speaking. What do you think about that? 6 MR. ESTRADA: (Through Interpreter.) Yeah, if 7 the ALRB comes to a place of work it would be easier for 8 you and for them to get that information. 9 CHAIRMAN GOULD: But what about written 10 materials? Would they be able to get information from 11 written materials? 12 MR. ESTRADA: Yes, as I said before it wouldn't 13 be a bad information, written information, but they would 14 have to have somebody explain to them what are their rights 15 with more detail. 16 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, could written information 17 be provided in the language of the Mixtec people or other 18 indigenous people? 19 MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, that would work. 20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: And would they be able to read 21 that, the farmworkers? 22 Yeah, because somebody would read MR. ESTRADA: 23 it and then somebody would translate it in Mixteco. 24 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Oh, somebody would read 25 it.

1 MR. ESTRADA: Because you cannot write Mixteco, 2 this is like a verbal dialect, so no written. 3 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, language right? 4 THE INTERPRETER: Uh-huh, so it would have to be 5 written and, you know, translated to them. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Now, you said that it 7 would be impossible for the workers to get information 8 through the Internet and I wondered if you would elaborate 9 on what you meant by that? 10 MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, I've heard many times that 11 the employers say that you don't need to come to our place 12 of work, because the workers have access to the Internet 13 either through the Internet or through their phone. 14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, but why did -- he earlier 15 said that, as I understood it, that it would be impossible 16 for Mixtec workers to get this information or perhaps other 17 works as well, through the Internet. Why does he say that? 18 MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, but the employer says that 19 the workers can get information -- I mean Internet 20 information regarding their rights, through their phones. 21 I mean, through the Internet via their phones. 22 MR. BLANCO: You'll have to rephrase your 23 question. 24 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, I quess. 25 I know that the employers say this, but he has

said that it is impossible for workers to get this 1 2 information through the Internet. Why does he say that it 3 is impossible? MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, the answer is that a lot of 4 5 workers can't even read or write let alone try to access 6 information through their phones on the Internet. 7 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Do you have anything 8 else? 9 MR. BLANCO: Yeah, I think it's also been said by 10 the representative of the grower communities that have 11 testified that the worker can afford the Internet. Do you think so? That they can afford to pay for it? 12 13 MR. ESTRADA: Yes, I agree. They can't afford 14 access to the Internet 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Can or cannot? 16 MR. ESTRADA: No, they can't. 17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: They can't? 18 THE INTERPRETER: No, they can't. 19 MR. BLANCO: Now, do you think many of the folks

that have visited you, do you think that they are aware of the Agricultural Labor Relations Act?

MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, as far as I can tell there

20

21

22

23

24

25

are many workers that are not aware of this Board,
Agricultural Board, you know, and laws that exist to
protect them.

1 MR. BLANCO: Anymore? 2 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Nothing more here, any 3 more from either of you? 4 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: 5 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: No. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Thank you for your statement. 8 MR. BLANCO: Senior Estrada? 9 (Gets business card from Mr. Estrada.) 10 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Who is next? 11 Okay. Next is Mr. Bryan Little. MR. BLANCO: 12 MR. LITTLE: Good afternoon. I hope that you all 13 can hear me, because when I was sitting in the back of the 14 room I was having a little difficulty hearing what was 15 going on up here at the table. So I'll do my best to speak 16 up and try and annunciate clearly. 17 I'd like to thank all of you, Mr. Chairman and 18 the Members of the Board, for the opportunity to be here. 19 I'm Bryan Little with the California Farm Bureau 20 Federation. You may know that the Farm Bureau is the 21 largest membership organization for farmers and ranchers in 22 California. And we have a fairly keen interest in the 23 discussion that you all are engaging in here this 24 afternoon. 25 I'd like to start off by pointing out that it's

our view that you have some significant -- if you want to go down the road of trying to promulgate a role that would allow you to take access to farms for the purpose of educating workers you have several practical problems that will prevent you from being able to do that.

One is that in our view any proposed regulation needs to be necessary to carry out the provisions of the Agricultural Labor Relations Act. There is no enumeration of a purpose to educate workers in Section 1152 of the Ag Labor Relations Act, at least not that I can find. With apologies to you, Mr. Gould, I know you're a very distinguished attorney, and I have to show people that unencumbered by a law degree. But I can read and I haven't been able to find that in Section 1152.

In the absence of that, I don't know how you'd make a case for necessity. You have another problem when it comes to making a case for necessity and that is that there is a plethora -- it's a great word isn't it? -- a plethora of alternative means for agricultural workers to be able to get the information, that the educational access activity you're proposing to undertake, would deliver those workers.

There are people here in this room who undertake that role all over the State of California: farmworker service groups, local charitable organizations, local

churches, all sorts of organizations undertake to organize things like fairs, festivals, health fairs where they provide medical diagnostic testing, entertainment opportunities.

Even things as simple and mundane as face painting for their kids that would serve as excellent opportunities for you to partner with those local organizations to be able to deliver this information to workers. In a way that's going to be culturally sensitive, because the organizations that exist in those communities for that purpose are going to have an excellent understanding of the people that they're trying to reach. That's why they're there. That's the purpose for which they exist and as such that's going to be an opportunity for you to be able to deliver this.

There's been a lot of discussion here about whether or not workers have phones or not. Some people say they do, some people say they don't. All I can tell you is that I've seen a lot of workers carry phones around and I can assure you that they do that for more than simply communicating with whoever is babysitting their children that they need to go pick up at the end of the shift.

I can tell you from having watched what they do and how they use these phones, they use them for all sorts of purposes. Sometimes they use it for trying to find

whether or not the grower three miles down the road is paying an extra nickel a basket for whatever it is that they may be picking on that particular day. And it's not an uncommon thing to see some of them talking on the phone to someone and then deciding to leave where they're working now and going to work at that location down the road.

So these, as we all know, as ubiquitous as cell phones are these days they are used for a variety of purposes for exchanging information. And being able to exchange this kind of information is only part of it.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Except that smart phones are fundamentally different in a number of respects. And, you know, smart phones are not as widely used, to understate some of information we have received, as other kind of phones. And that many of the -- one of the reasons for this aside from expense -- could be the problem of literacy, particularly literacy in a language that they're not literate in.

MR. LITTLE: I believe I -- did I accidentally -- did I use that word smart phone? If I did I didn't intend to do that.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: No. I said it is said -- when you talked about phones I said, but it's said that smart phones are fundamentally different in that regard.

MR. LITTLE: I don't know to what extent -- I

don't know what types of phones are commonly available to agricultural workers or what it is that they commonly use. I'm afraid I don't have that expertise that I can share with you. But I can tell you that, based on what I've seen, the phones that they do have available to them seem to be excellent modes for information transmission among workers to be able to learn about labor market conditions and other things that may be going on that they want to know.

Now, individual information-gathering technologies are not the only means of communication that farmworkers have available to them. Of course, we know they have mass communication, mass available to them in radio, television, newspapers, pamphlets, billboards, posters — all sorts of means of communicating with workers that, as far as I can tell, the Agricultural Labor Relations Board has not really fully made use of. And, of course, we all know that the throughout the summer of this year that the United Farmworkers made extensive use of their rights under the access rule to visit farms, to talk to workers and presumably educate them about the requirements of the of the Heat Illness Regulation, which by the way is something the Farm Bureau has done an awful lot of with our own members over the last couple of years.

So it's clear, I think, that UFW and the SCIU and

other organizations like them have a clear ability that they know how to use in order to be able to reach and educate workers.

One thing that you're going to need to try to balance, I think, is the appropriateness of trying to give yourself yet another right to allow a trespass on to farmer's private property. "Trespass" is a word you don't hear very much anymore, it's not commonly used. But the fact remains that farmers have a right to be secure in their own property under criminal law and court law from unnecessary trespass on their private party.

You succeeded in giving labor unions -- in giving yourself the right to give labor unions the right to trespass on farmers' property. And the conditions that the Board cited when they were successful in defending that rule, I would suggest to you don't exist widely today. That there is much better communication and much better, other opportunities, for information available to workers today than there might have been at that time.

Another thing that's going to be problematic for you, I believe, is going to be just a simple, practical matter of how you go about managing access to a farm. For example, if it is your belief that the principle purpose that you're undertaking this potential rulemaking for would be to facilitate communication with people who do not speak

Spanish, speak some other language other than Spanish, you're going to need interpreters in order to be able to facilitate that communication once you have taken access. But which interpreter are you going to bring and how are you going to know which interpreter you're going to bring until after you've already taken access to the farm? I don't how you resolve that practical problem.

Another thing that's also going to be, I think, potentially problematic for you is the fact that I think that one of the most valuable things that the Board has now to some degree, that you risk leaving if you go down this road, is the perception of impartiality between the sides that engage in the disputes that the Agricultural Labor Relations Board is charged with resolving.

I think that it's fair to say that agricultural employers and farmers already have their doubts about the impartiality of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board and its staff. And things that have happened over the last few years have encouraged farmers to have even greater skepticism about the impartiality of the Ag Labor Relations Board and its staff.

But in absence of ability upon your part to be able to have the goodwill to be able to show that you can judge these kinds of disputes in an impartial manner will only be further undermined by going down the road you're

going down, because of the hostility and mistrust that already exists to a certain degree. The --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Now, if we should focus upon purely the question of unorganized facilities providing information to employees, equally or perhaps principally about their right to engage in protest, and their right to request changes in employment conditions without fear of retaliation -- rather than involve ourselves in controversies between labor unions and employers, which have involved the Board in recent years -- wouldn't that measure of distrust in some measure be overcome.

MR. LITTLE: I don't think so, because I don't think that it is --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I had a feeling you would say that.

MR. LITTLE: -- that the identity of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board is -- I'm sure you probably did know I'd say that. I don't think that the identity and the purpose and the history of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board is unknown to many people in the agricultural community whether they're employers or whether they're employees. And the idea that you could sort of in a subterranean way just kind of go in and talk about certain issues, and people wouldn't know any of this stuff, is probably not a very practical expectation

on your part.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: No. But I'm not suggesting that we go in a subterranean way and not talk about certain things. If we want to talk about all -- if we did something like this -- all that's involved in the statute. But simply the question of focusing, particularly in the unorganized area on the right to protest, as well as talking about the rest of the statute rather than being in ensnarled in labor management controversies, which some of the major litigation have been involved with. Wouldn't that present a different problem in terms of a -- a lack of a problem in terms of trust?

MR. LITTLE: I don't think it would. And getting back to enforce, as you said before, you know of course you would have expected that answer from me. But the problem you have with trying to divorce yourself from history is that history exists. And people already have an understanding of what the history of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board has been, and at times how controversial that history has been. I don't know that you could possibly -- so it's a little bit like wondering whether or not a physicist can observe a physical phenomenon without altering it.

I don't know that you could possibly take access on to a farm without creating the perception that somebody

did something they weren't supposed to do. That there's some sort of a kind of a criminal or nefarious activity going on here. And you're going to have the workers wondering what's going on. You're going to have the grower wondering what's going on and why he was picked out of all the other growers out there that could have possibly been picked. And I don't think we can do --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, Mr. Maturino who had just testified has said that perhaps we should look at employers where there have been past violations.

MR. LITTLE: You could do that if that's the direction you want to try to go. I think you might be limiting the -- if you were going to do that it would be limiting the number of workers you're going to try to reach, which I think would probably not serve the purpose that you're trying to serve.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: But aren't other state agency enforcement agencies that currently can go onto employers' property for that -- not even just education, but just to go check up on them?

MR. LITTLE: Most agencies as far as I know, the Department of Industrial Relations, have the right to have access to places where workers work. But that right to access is limited by property's owner right to be secure in his property. It's for his trespass rights and I suppose

that probably also grows out of his Fourth Amendment rights.

But again, Mr. Chairman I'm not an attorney and I can't really speak to that point. What we typically suggest employers — the way to handle a situation like that is if an enforcement agency like Cal/OSHA comes you have to make a decision about whether you're going to allow them onto the property immediately or are you going to ask them to go get a warrant. But you still have the right to ask them to go get a warrant. And so that is where that division occurs is those agencies have the right to go onto your property, but they don't have an absolute right to go onto the property. And you have the right to tell them, "No, you need to go get a warrant."

Now, you have to balance whether or not that's a good idea or not, because they're going to come back suspicious if they do that. So that's why we suggest to employers that it's a good idea to always have your ducks in a row and make sure that you're in a position to be able to allow those agencies to come and do whatever it is they believe they need to do.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I just want it to be clear that we're not breaking any -- we're not being precedential, we're not breaking any new ground. Arguments that well then they'll assume that something horrible is

happening here I mean, that occurs already in other industries and by other agencies.

MR. LITTLE: I think that most workers have probably seen a lot more of Cal/OSHA in the last five, ten years than they perhaps have of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board. And again, that's all part and parcel of the history that goes along with your agency. Is that if they see that agency as opposed to someone from Cal/OSHA who they know — they know what they're about, they know what they're there to do. They're there to make sure that the requisite number of toilets are there and that there is water and shade and everything else required in all the various regulations and so on and so forth — so they're somewhat familiar with what it is that Cal/OSHA does.

You guys are going to be something of a wild card if you're out there (indiscernible)

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I would argue that there was probably a point when they didn't though, that they were educated as to what Cal/OSHA does and why they were there.

MR. LITTLE: I have a feeling -- you know, I don't know. I have not been here long enough to know a time when Cal/OSHA wasn't active and well known in California. So that's -- it's possible that that time may have existed, but it was a long time before my time.

1 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay. 2 Anything else? MR. LITTLE: 3 CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, go ahead and end. 4 MR. LITTLE: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate 5 that. 6 I would simply close as I think I've taken enough 7 or your time already, to say that I think that it's 8 unlikely that you would be able to make the case for 9 necessity under the Administrative Procedures Act, because 10 I think it's clear that you have a number of alternatives 11 available to you. Alternative to taking access to farms 12 that would amount to yet another trespass on a farmer's 13 right to be secure and free of trespass in his own 14 property. 15 Given a lack of clear legal authorization in the 16 Ag Labor Relations Act, and given the alternatives that are 17 available to you to be able to accomplish what you say you 18 want to accomplish -- and I take you at your word that's 19 precisely what you want to accomplish -- there are better 20 ways to accomplish what you want to accomplish than to go 21 down the road that you may be contemplating. That's all I 22 have, thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any questions? 24 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: No. 25 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right. Thank you very much.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MR. BLANCO: Hilario Rodriguez

(Colloquy off mic.)

CHAIRMAN GOULD: We're wondering whether he -- apparently there's some difficulty in being heard in the back. I wonder whether the two of you could speak from that podium?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: (Through Interpreter.) Good afternoon. My name is Hilario Rodriguez. I want to give my testimony regarding the labor.

Yeah, there is a lot of pain in the fields, because many times the workers cannot work with the terrible conditions and they have a lot of stress.

Okay. So what I want to stress again is that there is a lot of pain and suffering. And I would be glad if you could provide us with information about our rights, I would appreciate it.

Yeah, I want to make sure to state that we're not against the employers. We just want to be provided with the information about our rights and to work under fair conditions, because like I said before we make -- doesn't have enough income to provide for our families. And that's all I wanted to say.

Yeah, I think that we need to know what are the

laws and our rights. We want to understand the conditions that we're allowed to work with and the legal ramifications of our work. Yeah, we just really want to under fair conditions. And so many of us don't have enough money and we have families to support, so we just want to work with optimum conditions. Yeah, I wouldn't be against if you see our place of work, so you can see the conditions, because many people here maybe don't believe what we're saying. But you could see for yourselves the conditions under which we work. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, thank you. Any questions that anybody has? All right, thank you very much. Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. MR. BLANCO: So I don't see Rob Carroll, so we'll go with Claire Wineman. CHAIRMAN GOULD: Whichever you prefer, wherever. MS. WINEMAN: Well, there's a microphone now

right there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Whichever you want.

MS. WINEMAN: Hi. Good afternoon, my name is Claire Wineman. I'm the President of the Grower-Shipper Association of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties.

We represent over 160 farmers, shippers, farm labor

contractors and supporting agra businesses. We are absolutely committed to the long-term partnership between farmers and the farmworkers that make growing and harvesting fresh produce possible.

As an association, we focus on making sure that farmers, farm labor contractors and supervisors are trained and aware of the various farmworkers protections regarding wage an hour, heat illness prevention, field sanitation and harassment prevention.

We do not agree with the need for or merit of promulgating a rule that requires ALRB staff to visit fields for educational purposes under the Ag Labor Relations Act. We oppose developing this rule for the following reasons. As previously mentioned, this proposal lacks authority and the necessary findings. This is not a new law and many workers are aware of their rights and protections as well as various avenues to express grievances.

The contemplated approach is inconsistent with those of other regulatory agencies such as Cal/OSHA and the Labor Commissioner's Office. Both have been successful in effectively engaging with agricultural partners to accomplish educational goals. And there was a great question earlier about that in the precedent with the other agencies. I'd like to clarify that the difference is that

many of the on-farm visits are for enforcement purposes. So spot checks on different farms versus regular access to worksites for educational purposes. And that is the primary distinction.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Of course, Mr. Maturino was suggesting perhaps with a rule like this we should focus upon employers that had been found to have violated the statute in the past. And thus it would become kind of a spot check.

MS. WINEMAN: Let me -- would you like my thoughts on that or --

12 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, that's why I'm raising it 13 with you.

MS. WINEMAN: Okay. Let me think about that for just a minute. Let me make a couple more points and then I'll kind of think about that and maybe we can revisit that.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, surely. Surely.

MS. WINEMAN: I think in general, individual site access is an inefficient and inconsistent means of educating large numbers of workers.

As other people have mentioned, there are better alternative means of communicating to the desired audience. Television, for example, I watch Almavision (phonetic) all the time. It's a great station, there's a lot of public

service announcements in Spanish. The radio, there's always a radio playing in the fields. It's a great way to disseminate information.

On a side note, I worked for a number of years with the local Resource Conservation District on outreach to Spanish-speaking farmers and learned a lot about how to effectively engage with people, disseminate that information. And again getting back to the cell phones, the best way to get someone to a meeting was to text them. Text the list of invitees and that's how we could best get turnout for the workshops that we were having.

Again print media, posters and fliers are all means. We also suggest coordinating with associations such as ourselves, Ag Safe and others, which would have a much greater impact.

Two local events that I wanted to mention as well is the Day of the Farmworker -- that's an annual event bringing various nutrition and health service available. And then, here in Santa Maria we also have an annual Guelaguetza Festival. It's a day that celebrates the indigenous communities, the Oaxaca. And the last estimates called for attendance well over 3,500 people. So that's an annual event that they have, and again is targeted towards the various communities, indigenous communities from Oaxaca whether that's Mixteco, Triqui and it's a great community

opportunity.

And again, having outreach at that type of an event would have a much greater outreach and people would feel much more comfortable in that type of setting.

There's also questions regarding, as previously mentioned, the compensation for the employees' time. And as mentioned, whether this burden will be shifted to the employer, or whether that burden would be on the employees during their free time. And again, the hallmark of the meal period is that unless they're otherwise waiving it and being compensated for that -- but they need to be completely relieved of all duties during that meal period.

And again, that's the distinction is would you be obligating someone to be attending this meeting during their lunch hour? And would that, in some way, mean that they're not being completely relieved of all of their duties during that meal period.

There could be confusion about who's conducting the training and why. I know even when we were doing outreach on the irrigation and nutrient management practices we'd definitely get looks about who was that truck and what are they out for? So it's definitely something that can cause disruption in the work schedule, both for the employees and the employers.

In closing, we thank you for your consideration

of these comments and ask that you not pursue additional regulatory requirements. And instead pursue a collaborative approach to this process.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Are there any questions from my colleagues?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No, but I had seen you replaced a board -- and I don't know if that's the right word -- for Richard -- is it Richard Quandt?

MS. WINEMAN: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Yes, yes, yes. And I was frankly pleased to see a woman step forward into leadership and so congratulations on that.

MS. WINEMAN: Thank you very much, and we appreciate that.

I think -- and I honestly don't know what the right answer in terms of if you were to do individual onsite. Again, I think that comes back to issues of how equitable is it? And on the flip side I'm kind of trying to run through what are other options. And if you look at sampling, there's a question of random sampling, but if you keep kind of going back to the same places over and over there's potentially issues.

So again, in general, I would advocate for exhausting every possible means through a voluntary and collaborative approach. In the end I find that is

tremendously successful and will create a tremendous amount of good will instead of hostility and fear and resistance to the mutual goals. Thank you.

MR. BLANCO: Do you think that your membership would be open to, when you speak of collaboration and voluntariness, would they be open to our offering to train your supervisor and foreman regarding the obligations of an employer under the Agricultural Labor Relations Act?

MS. WINEMAN: So in terms of training actually that is something that we do.

So first of all, there's the farm labor contractor, it's a nine-hour annual requirement. And there are components under the NLRB and the ALRA, so that's a required component. That's laid out in the curriculum that the Labor Commissioner submits. And again, that's an annual nine-hour requirement.

We as an association also provide regular training on harassment prevention and that's expanded to include bullying. I know that that's something that we have voluntary already provided training on, in terms of making sure that supervisors do understand both the process and making sure that they aren't inadvertently taking any actions that are against protected activity or protected concerted activity. We're very aware and to provide regular if not every year, every other year, outreach on

that making sure that --

And again, that is at both the farm labor contractor and the grower level, but also at the field supervisor and crew supervisor levels. And those are very well attended. We've had consistent attendance in that respect.

MR. BLANCO: So are you saying that it would be possible that we would be able to collaborate with your association in that fashion to provide that kind of training assistance?

MS. WINEMAN: I would have to ask the bosses. So I work at the pleasure of my Board of Directors, so I could certainly seek direction on that.

Again, I do know that we have explored that with other avenues that are required. But in general we do prefer a voluntary approach and again that is something that we've already provided training on, on a voluntary basis, for the benefit of both the farmworkers, the farmers, and the supervisors.

MR. BLANCO: Now, with the Heat Illness

Prevention Program, I believe training of supervisors and foremen is required under that?

MS. WINEMAN: I'm thinking. Yes, but I would have to double-check on what those current training requirements are.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, you're not the first person to mention radio and television and indeed, written materials, newspapers, as an alternative to this kind of communication. And we haven't asked this question consistently, but there's a big difference between a conversation that you and I and my colleagues are having right now -- and the ability of us to kind of go back and forth and exchange ideas with one another -- than there is by turning on a television set or listening to a radio; wouldn't you assume that to be the case?

MS. WINEMAN: Yeah. I think the level of interaction that you would have is really dependent on how comfortable you are with someone. So for example, a lot of my members are very shy. They would rather kind of have me come and speak at this type of event than feel that there's any potential making implications or that sort of thing.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Of course.

MS. WINEMAN: So I think to have that true dialogue again, I think having a table at Guelaguetza would probably be the best opportunity. People would be comfortable or at the community meetings where there's a level of endorsement from the community leaders who they trust and respect and say, "Okay, this person is here. This is a safe space for me to be asking those questions."

I do notice on the Spanish language television

that there's a lot more community service ads than there are on like the -- I'm trying to think what their networks are. Like on Minisione (phonetic) there's a lot more kind of different public service announcements than I see on the ABC, the CBS and the Fox networks. That's a different approach.

And so I think that there is a lot more of that and I think that would be an avenue to initiate awareness. But I think in terms of having the most productive interactive conversation, that comes through an avenue trust in a safe space that will come through trusted relationships with the agricultural community and with the farmworker community in the language that they're comfortable and the locations that they're comfortable with.

And again, I think trying to cram it in and kind of -- I could just see everybody saying, "Well, I don't know who this person is and are they out to get me. And how do I know I can speak freely with this person and ask concerns?" I see that happening more and even I see this in our own trainings where maybe we'll have a big workshop. And maybe people will ask a couple of questions, but it's afterwards, it's the follow up in the one-on-one conversations that they want to have after that you really get into the meat of that conversation.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: True. And that could happen, couldn't it, in connection with worksite access. So you may want to explore this later on.

MS. WINEMAN: Yeah, but then that's kind of circling back into the piece rate. If I have a choice of making quite a lot more money on a different --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: On my lunchtime?

MS. WINEMAN: After, after -- so depending on the duration of the training.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah.

MS. WINEMAN: So I would much rather see that in a more comfortable community setting than in such a strict imposed requirement.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: So if we didn't force it, if it was not imposed, then your organization would not have a problem with it?

MS WINEMAN: I think we'd certainly be much more comfortable with that, but again I would want to seek final direction from my board. And see what their kind of comfort level is and recommendation.

And also in consideration, because that's the other thing too, we're looking at something that would be statewide. So potentially something that works of us locally, we'd want to make sure that we're conferring with

our other statewide partners in making sure that there aren't unintended kind of consequences. For example, maybe they don't have like La Guelaguetza in other areas or they don't have The Day of the Farmworker. You know, kind of making sure that what we're looking at on a statewide basis really works and isn't overly influenced by kind of our local needs and conditions.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: And I'll just add

-- and the first speech I ever gave was within a couple

months of coming on to the Board many years ago -- was for

grower-shippers. So that was my first interaction with

growers and it was a good one.

MS. WINEMAN: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: But I would just say that we do those things, we do those things now. We've been doing those events for years. And so I think the discussion and the reason we are having these hearings is because we're not talking about, "Well, let's do one thing and then let go of everything else." Even if we go forward with some type of regulation we will continue to do all of those outreach events that we were doing before.

The issue is that we are doing those things and we still have farmworkers showing up at these hearings and saying that they need more information. Many who said they receive a lot of training in Spanish, a language they don't

speak. And so we are trying to figure out how do you get this information to these workers who are coming to us and saying that they need it. And they have not already received it in all of the ways that we have already attempted.

I will also say you're correct about the distinction, but it's clear from other grower organizations they do not want our enforcement staff at the farm, which is one of the reasons why we're looking at it. Because the goal is education and not enforcement for this purpose, that we would have our education folks as opposed to enforcement.

MS. WINEMAN: Yeah, and I think it's definitely concerning to hear comments, some of the comments that have been made today. But then on the flipside some those are very clear violations of laws that are already in effect and that are being enforced by, for example, Cal/OSHA, by the Labor Commissioner, in terms of what those meal and rest periods are and the wage an hour. You know, those wage an hour laws are very clearly laid out in terms of this is — and again it's being relieved of all duties during that period. And so it's inconsistent with what I hear from my membership and what I have seen of how things are handled.

For example, when I was working with the Resource

Conservation District we were picking strawberries for a summer as part of a research plot. And it was like, " The time is now 9:00 o'clock and we are stopping. We are stopping. We are stopping right now, we are taking our meal period and that's -- or our break." And that was that. So it's definitely hard to hear, but at same time it's inconsistent from what I hear from my members as well. And I don't immediately know what the solution is to that.

But again, for the record we are absolutely committed to people complying with the laws. And with making sure that people are being safe and that we are glad to see that these protections are in place. And that's why we do provide such aggressive outreach to the membership, that they are aware of that and how to comply -- that there's a law and that they can comply with it.

And that's part of the goal too is making sure that when we're considering developing new policies, that it is something that you can comply with and kind of works on the ground.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you very much.

Thank you.

MS. WINEMAN: Thank you.

MR. BLANCO: Rob Carroll?

(Board confers)

MR. CARROLL: (Speaking Spanish to the audience.)

To be here, and I want to thank -- first of all,

you won't have to translate. But it's just an honor to

have an opportunity to address you guys and I really

appreciate the fact that you're out here with the folks.

And at the risk of being overly ecstatic I think that what your period has brought, Mr. Chairman, to this agency is very exciting even though there's not a whole of representation in election petitions. I keep hearing this stat from you. There is a lot of work to do and the fact that you guys are getting out of Sacramento, and getting out here to see what's going on and actually hear from everybody, who have various different points of view.

But I think we're all really focused on the same thing, which is to make sure this statute which is 40 years old now, is understood and its historical origins are understood. And that they make sense in 2015 for all the communities and constituencies that are subject to it.

So thank you for the chance to speak. Just as a point of background on August 9th of last month I began my 38th year, even though I don't look a day over 25, of practicing before this agency. And I'm one of those guys that actually -- back in those days I actually negotiated with Cesar Chavez himself. We had -- he was one of the best negotiators, collective bargaining negotiators that

ever was around, because he got things done.

And I've had the opportunity also to grow up with all these statutes including Cal/OSHA and I'd be happy to add my own take on a lot of the questions that the members and Chairman have been asking about other agencies and what they do. So maybe we can get into that a little bit.

I thought that -- I've heard a little bit about the other sessions. I don't think we'll have the theatrics that went on in Fresno. I think it's kind of like I think it was one of the chief justices, maybe it was

Roger Traynor, who talked about it's all been said and said again. So I really don't want to belabor the points that have been being made, but I think I've got a little fresh take on a few of them from the employer's point of view.

So first of all, I do want to say that I don't think anybody's opposed to education about this statute. It's important whether or not it rises to actual rulemaking. You know, the Chairman has the benefit of having headed the biggest agency in the country that does this. And there's been very little use of rulemaking although you have some familiarity with it and reasons for it and that kind of thing. But I think here, Mr. Little, who was very eloquent and further proof that you don't have to be an attorney to make sense talked a bit about Section 1152 and also Section 1140.2.

I think one of the challenges that we are all going to face if you want to go in this direction is that there's nothing empowers you or directs you as an agency to actually get out and educate farmworkers. I think it's sort of a byproduct of what the statute's all about. But there's certainly going to be a lot of debate about that and hopefully not litigation if it goes forward. But you just never know, because I think that what we've done is try to do --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I think we can bet there'll be some litigation.

MR. CARROLL: Well, I agree with this woman here, I didn't get her name, but I think there's a tendency these days, because you guys are coming out here to try and be much more collaborative. And maybe there are steps in between even going to regulation that I could suggest as well. But probably you're right, if it doesn't go a certain way.

But I think it's important to remember that back when -- Mr. Little mentioned the right of privacy and to prevent trespass and all that -- the cases which actually decided that there would be exceptions to that for the filing of notices of intent to organize and notices of intent to take access that are actually based on some proof that there's interest among the employees of a particular

company in getting this information -- was to do exactly that. To be very narrowly focused in having an interest level already having been expressed in receiving or allowing organizers to actually go out and do things that find their genesis for the Authority to do it, in the statute itself.

And I think here, that just not is there the absence of the actual statutory language. But there's also, as Mr. Little mentioned and I know other speakers have mentioned it at the other locations, the necessity. And that's kind of where her presentation was, where his presentation is, the dialogue you guys have been having. You know, to have whatever take you have about there being public meetings or social media or Internet or videos or brochures or whatever it is.

I think it's fair to say that there's a big difference in where that interaction, if you will, in whatever form was happening in the late '70s when those cases were adjudicated providing that limited right where was authority in the statute and a responsibility of the Board to do it specifically enumerated. Versus today where it's, I think the word was ubiquitous. I kind of agree with that.

So keeping that in mind I'm not going to focus on what level and who has smart phones and all that, because

that's well beyond my pay grade. But I too have actually litigated a case before this Board for George Amaral, where there was a smart phone in use. And actually became the focus of what the interaction — that led to the actual unfair labor practice, which ultimately was adjudicated. So it's clear that they're out there.

So to my way of thinking though, the level of justification of necessity, I don't think this here would be present. And frankly, there is lots and lots of alternatives. We've talked about in her presentation just now, interaction — and one of the members is correct — probably every means needs to be explored whether it's using community meetings, collaboration and that kind of thing. There are ways that are less intrusive than actually coming on to the private property for the period of 30 minutes where they're supposed to be completely relieved of all duty.

And remembering too that with the piece rate situation that they can even get together and sign waivers saying, " We don't want to take those lunches, because we just want to make the money and get the work done." Where just given translations that may have to go on there may not be much time to do any education at all. And I think having some type of information impartation process, if you will, around the state like what you guys are doing

interacting with employer organizations that want to be involved with this and/or community groups, church groups, etcetera that Mr. Little was talking about is a much better way to get more information and more people.

I think it was Mr. Estrada who was very candid about how he gets with the Mixteco workers and actually has them into his office or goes to meetings where he's educated lots and lots of them about what their rights are. And it's much less intrusive, and of course, if you get in a building like this where the climate is controlled, it's not too hot, it's not too cold. You don't have to worry about Internet access, you can have that interaction that we're having right now. You don't have to worry about English, because we can translate. You don't have to worry about whether people write or read, because we can communicate orally.

And I think that that's something that really the Board ought to think seriously about, sort of an intermediate step to see how effective that might be since it's never been done before. I mean, it's never been done before in my 38 years of experience where we've actually had people get out and have meetings and see unfortunate things such as what you saw -- the Chairman spoke very eloquently about down south. And also the good stuff that's going on too.

So that's my comment about the absence of necessity and also about the methodology. How do you get this done effectively? I think the -- I'm not going to pick on the inexperience, we just had sort of a regime change on General Counsel's side -- and among the various regional offices we now have folks that don't have a whole lot of experience in these areas that would essentially be tasked with a very important role.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, I think as

Member Rivera-Hernandez pointed out that if we then went
down this road we'd be looking at worker education people.

And which would be --

MR. CARROLL: Like an NGO or something?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well no, they would be people who would be employed or responsible to us who would perform a very different function. I think we are concerned that there be some kind of wall between the prosecuting function and indeed the judicial function also of the Board.

MR. CARROLL: Yeah, I think that's well put. And it's important. Too, that it gets to another point that is that perception point, right? It's not just whether there is possible impropriety, but even the appearance of it overreaching and that kind of thing.

I mean, it's always kind of bothered me, because

I've been present for -- to make sure that there is appropriate cooperation with our clients and for personnel when there's notice readings and all kinds of compliance activities that go on. But the Board folks come in, they have cars that are labeled " La Ley Laboral" like they're the first, last and intermediate word on labor law, which I've always had a little concern with to begin with. But beyond that they're in blue jackets that have La Ley Laboral on them or ALRB, that look a lot like FBI or ICE or you name it. It's a little daunting.

Estrada alluded to it in his testimony -- you know, the reason we need the Board involved is because of these doggone supervisors are not behaving properly. And we really just need the strength of the Board to come in and reinforce these points. And it kind of gets you, Mr. Chairman, in the position you were just talking about. So I think that's the wisdom of maybe having an independent group if you actually want to go to this step without trying sort of voluntary compliance.

And let me throw in right there, that with respect to OSHA, for example, not only are the regulations that they're enforcing actually talk about what's supposed to be going on with (indiscernible) and all that. There's no part of the statute that you guys are enforcing that

says, " Well, this is what's supposed to happen during lunch time. We're going to have education and we're going to do this and that while people are eating their food or just trying to take a break."

And yet there's a whole program that's short of actually requiring somebody, as Mr. Little was alluding to, to get a warrant. And that is to become what they call a compliant employer. And actually want a volunteer to say, " We want you guys to come in and look at what we're doing with our policies or our handbooks or whatever we're doing and to show you that we really want to be part of the education process."

I mean, that's something that happens all the time up and down the state with the Compliant Employer Program, with the Immigration Service as well. So that's something that could be thought about.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: The idea of having worksite education promoting voluntariness on part of employers that wanted to have that?

MR. CARROLL: Yeah, in other words let's say that collaboration with the community groups etcetera that

Member Rivera was talking -- and that she was talking about

-- maybe after you give that a real organize and focus shot, if still you feel like there's not enough language or not enough information going on out there --

To me it's like I'm driving down here today and there are signs that say 65 miles an hour. I don't need a lot of education on that, there's a sign. But making sure that information is available, that workers have the right to or not to protest, etcetera or those things that would be more like PCA, if you will, protected concerted activities versus getting off, as you were pointing out Mr. Chairman, into the Labor Management side of it.

That you could sort of see how that goes voluntarily and then maybe contact employers about seeing whether they would permit training, just on their own. Or at least permit a dialogue to go on with their human resources folks and whomever you're using to show what they already do, what's already there for education about this.

Or better yet, " Here's a copy of the statute and your rights, like a notice. Would you mind distributing it or making it available in the lunch time for people to look at?" would be less intrusive I think than actually having those blue-jacketed folks running out and trying to do something in a half-hour.

I mean, the gentleman -- I forget his name -very aptly pointed out that he may have to go out to his
car to get his lunchbox. And by the time he gets back he's
got ten minutes to eat. The last thing he's going to be
doing is paying attention. I would suggest he would say

that, but to really understand what his rights to or not to are with the statute, so just an observation about that.

And then the final point I wanted to raise has to do, sort of -- it's kind of like an equal protection argument. Mr. Chairman, if you look at it, let's say there's 6 or 700,000 farmworkers in California versus millions and millions and millions of the rest of the U.S. including folks that work outside like construction workers and you name it, that are subject to NLRB jurisdiction. And there's never been any need -- they're all speaking all kinds of different languages. They're not understanding it. They are reading it, they hearing it, etcetera, but there's never been any need to even consider this type of regulation. You know, going to regulation level to get information out to folks.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You mean outside of California, you mean? But there's no --

MR. CARROLL: Yeah. Yes, sir. That's what I'm saying. In other words -- or within California if you're not subject to ALRB jurisdiction.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But there's no labor laws -MR. CARROLL: Ninety-eight percent of -CHAIRMAN GOULD: But there's no labor law like

this relating to farmworkers and employers outside of California.

MR. CARROLL: Well, there's four other states that have them, but they're not like here. And as you know, they're excluded under the --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right.

MR. CARROLL: -- NLRA, but my point is if they're excluded it doesn't really matter. There's lots of seasonal industries that are subject to ALRB jurisdiction. And there's no need to have separate board agents going on property to talk about their rights are under Section 7, for example, of the NLRA versus 1152 if you will.

So it's kind of this -- you know, not to belabor the point, but for 38 years I've been being asked by clients, "Well, why is it us all the time? Why is it agriculture? Why are there specific statutes? Why are all the problems supposed to be being solved by the California agricultural employers?" And I think it's a fair question when 99 percent of the employers nationally, and in California, aren't subject to the statute, to begin with. So where's the fairness there?

If there were a movement from the Obama Board to actually do this as well as us then, you know, I think there'd be a better argument for taking this leap.

Especially without having maybe fully explored alternatives to it. So I mean, I'm not here standing before you to say if it ain't broke, don't fix it. Okay? Or that it's a

false premise to say that there are problems reaching the folks about what the intricacies are on the statute, because that's true of any law I would say.

I mean, I can say maybe I can't read the same kind of info for the miles per hour on the sign out there, but I'm supposed to know about it. I'm on notice of it.

And I'm on notice of all the laws that are on the books here in California and federally. So I think that just keeping the equal protection part of it in mind is not to say that you shouldn't be more proactive in this area.

Because I think our clients welcome it, but it's the kind of pro-activity issue I was talking about that I think our clients and associations that I work with are concerned about.

And do you have a question, Mr. Blanco? I saw you raising your hand?

MR. BLANCO: No, I was just scratching my head.

MR. CARROLL: Oh, okay. Good, are there any other questions?

20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any questions?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No, thank you, Robert.

MR. CARROLL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I just have a couple and that is that you refer to blue jackets, our employees wearing blue jackets?

MR. CARROLL: Yes, sir. They've got fancy blue jackets. They're like windbreaker jackets and they're navy blue and they look super efficient.

(Off mic colloquy regarding ALRB jackets.)

I'm sure that Mr. Raimondo probably has some video of those -- with whom I'm not associated in any way.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. CARROLL: No, but I mean it's just that impression, right? I think it probably was coming from a good place, you know, that we're here to enforce the law. But it's the perceptions again, I think it really adds up to 40 years of maybe misunderstanding.

Yes, Member Shiroma?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thanks, Rob. By the way,
I noticed that Mr. Raimondo's clients were wearing blue, so
when you mentioned blue --

MR. CARROLL: Really? I was not present for Fresno and I didn't see his video. Did he put -- have a YouTube of it? I'm sure he did.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Yeah, but I also wanted to introduce Claire Wineman who is the President and CO of the Santa Maria-Santa Barbara Grower Shippers, Mr. Rob Carroll. Okay. So you were out -- so you didn't --

MR. CARROLL: Yeah. Unfortunately I was out having water with Mr. Little when she was introduced and I

1 hadn't met her yet, so thank you. 2 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: And we think everybody in 3 ag knows each other, but not necessarily. MR. CARROLL: All right. Well, thank you guys 4 5 very much, I appreciate it. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, thank you. 7 you. And I think that Mr. Barbosa has indicated that 8 9 Mr. Estrada wanted to be recalled and Mr. Barbosa is going 10 to ask him a few questions. 11 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: A couple more. 12 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, I don't know if it's a 13 couple. But it's a few. 14 MR. BARBOSA: Just one. 15 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Just one, okay. 16 (Through Interpreter.) MR. ESTRADA: 17 In Oaxaca there is 16 different languages. good afternoon. 18 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Sixteen? 19 MR. ESTRADA: (Without Interpreter.) Sixteen, 20 Uh-huh. 21 (Through Interpreter.) I'm going to focus on the 22 Mixteco, lower and high. Lower Mixteco is a variant --23 MR. BARBOSA: What does it mean to have a " 24 variente" ? (phonetic) 25 MR. ESTRADA: What it means is where I come from

is called -- (Interpreter clarifies something.) -- and both areas have the same Lower Mixteco, so there's a difference on the dialect between the district. They have the same name, Low Mixteco, but they have some differences on some of the words.

Yeah, when you hire somebody you're just focusing

Yeah, when you hire somebody you're just focusing on the fact that they're from Mixteco, but there are some differences between the different types of Mixtecos. Yeah, for instance, when they call here — the Santa Maria court — and they ask me for help to interpret for somebody and they tell me this is from a guy that, he's High Mixteco.

12 CHAIRMAN GOULD: High Mixteco, is that " alto" 13 is that?

MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, alto.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MR. ESTRADA: So it's only top of Mixteco that the kids needs.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: What? What is he saying? What,

19 I didn't get --

20 MR. BARBOSA: Could you -- can he repeat it 21 again?

THE INTERPRETER: Yeah.

MR. ESTRADA: They call me through a court requesting an interpreter. I tell them -- the first thing I ask them is where are they from, what town? So they tell

me the town, so I know that he belongs to a certain type of Mixteco. So I have to identify them by town to know what type of variations exist.

MR. BARBOSA: Let me ask you a series of questions. My name is Antonio Barbosa, I'm the Executive Secretary for the Agency.

I have many duties, but I only want to discuss two of my duties. One of my duties is to arrange for interpreters for ALRB hearings. And my second duty was to arrange for interpreters for these public sessions, one that took place in Fresno, one in Salinas and today in Santa Maria. And the type of communication that I receive, they just tell me, " We need a Mixteco interpreter."

Also during the hearings I was told once that we need a --

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Triqui.

MR. BARBOSA: Triquis. Yesterday in Salinas I contracted a Triquis Interpreter. And a group of workers presented themselves accompanied by Mr. Pete Maturino. And we were very embarrassed, because the interpreter that we had contracted could not speak that variant or dialect or language --

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: The language.

MR. BARBOSA: -- of those workers. And I don't want that to ever happen again while I am working for the

ALRB. So I need to know everything about Mixteco workers, so if I send an interpreter to a hearing or if I ask them to come to a public hearing like here or if they go to a worksite, to go and talk to workers that all we know is that they speak Mixteco, what do I need to know?

MR. ESTRADA: We work with different agencies and we have workshops. Who you need to call is either me or call our office in Fresno, there's an office in Greenfield too. What's going to happen is that Triqui has also Low or High, so maybe you hired the opposite dialect and that's why they couldn't understand.

XXX

CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, but his question is how does he -- what guidance should he follow?

MR. ESTRADA: For instance, for a hearing you need to know who are the people that are going to attend, where are they from, what town? So then you can ask a person that works with them to identify if he's the Low or the High, and then when you tell us which one of the two then we can --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Can we tell that exclusively by the town name or will that assure us that we will not have this problem in the future?

MR. ESTRADA: The name and district, name of the town and district both.

1 MR. BARBOSA: In a particular town? 2 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: District or street? 3 THE INTERPRETER: No, district. 4 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: District, okay. 5 In a town, how many districts might MR. BARBOSA: 6 there be? 7 MR. ESTRADA: Many towns belong to one district. 8 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Towns in a district? 9 MR. ESTRADA: In my district there are 30 towns 10 that belong to my district. 11 MR. CARROLL: It's like a county. 12 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Yeah. 13 MR. ESTRADA: So if they just tell me the town, I 14 can tell the district and I can identify the type of 15 dialect that is needed. If you go to Oxnard there's a lot 16 of people that belong to the variant that I belong to. 17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: That belong to what? What did 18 you say? 19 THE INTERPRETER: Yeah. He says that in Oxnard 20 there's a lot of people from a particular district. And he 21 says that those people also match his district. 22 MR. BARBOSA: What if you were to go to a 23 company's unannounced, what kind of personnel would you 24 need in order to talk to workers if you did not know their 25 towns or districts?

1 MR. ESTRADA: I would ask just any worker whether 2 he understand me or not. If I greet him and he understands 3 me then that means that he has the same variant as mine. Of course, if he doesn't understand me that means that he 4 5 has a different variant. 6 So that's the first thing that interpreter needs 7 to identify. Who is from Oaxaca, Mixteco from Guerrero and 8 from Puebla, so the different states in Mexico, they have 9 different types of Mixtecos. 10 MR. BARBOSA: So how many interpreters would we 11 need? A number, more or less? 12 MR. ESTRADA: About five 13 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Five different, but would many of these employees from different places be working in the 14 15 same establishment? 16 No, they're spread around. MR. ESTRADA: 17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Very rare? 18 MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, spread all over the place, 19 yeah. 20 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: They're spread around. 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: So they would usually -- you go 22 to one farm and the Mixtecos are from one place? No? 23 MR. ESTRADA: Yeah, some of them work with our 24 company and they're from another district. That we work 25 with another company.

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, so then --2 MR. BARBOSA: In a single company would you have 3 one group of Mixtecos or more than one group of Mixtecos? 4 MR. ESTRADA: You could have many in one company, 5 so you're going to need more than one translator. But if 6 you want I could make a good representation with drawings 7 and maps. 8 MR. BARBOSA: I personally would be interested in 9 that presentation --10 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, me too! 11 MR. BARBOSA: -- with my staff. 12 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, me too. Thank you. Thank 13 you, very much. 14 MR. ESTRADA: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Do we have any more, 16 more? 17 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: One more, but a break 18 after this one. 19 (Colloquy between Board Members.) 20 MR. BLANCO: All right, Hazel Avalos 21 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Chairman, while she's taking --22 a point from the Amaral hearing we actually had a Spanish 23 translator in Mixteco and we learned that there are like 24 100 dialects of it, not just a couple. So I think that 25 presentation is a good idea that Antonio was thinking about

87 1 doing --2 MR. BARBOSA: Is that information on the Amaral 3 record? I think it went on the record. 4 MR. CARROLL: 5 came up in the hearing and we were all learning from it as 6 we went, how they say " yes" and " no," all that kind 7 of stuff. 8 Would you mind going to the mic and MR. BARBOSA: 9 saying that for the record? 10 MR. CARROLL: Sure. MR. BARBOSA: I'd like that to be on the record. 11 12 MR. CARROLL: Well, I don't want to cut into her 13 time. Why don't you do that and I'll do it at the end. 14 MR. BARBOSA: Yes, okay. 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Great, thank you. 16 MS. AVOLOS: Hello. My name is Hazel Avalos. 17 I'm the Organizing Director for a local community 18 That stands for Central Coast organization called CAUSE. 19 Alliance United for a Sustainable Economy. We mainly work 20 in both Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties. We're a social 21 and economic justice organization. We mainly work through 22 grassroots organizing, leadership development and also

Much of our membership are farmworkers and generally our mission is around building a stronger voice

policy and research (indiscernible).

23

24

25

for working families, so in this region a huge backbone of our economy are agricultural workers, farmworkers.

This last summer, we have a committee of leaders, they went out and collected over 300 surveys with farmworkers in our county primarily in Santa Maria, Guadalupe and the surrounding areas. And so I'd like to just read over a few highlights. You have that report in front of you, we just released it yesterday. I'm going to say a few points and then I'd like to just run through a few of those highlights from the report just to give you a sense of what we learned about specifically Santa Barbara County.

First of all, I'd like to say educating the farm labor workforce is vital to protection from abuse and dangerous conditions. State government agencies like Cal/OSHA are strapped for resources and are not able to be everywhere all the time and we know that. That's a reality. And so farmworkers who understand their rights under the Agricultural Labor Relations Act, can however ensure that the laws on the books are realized in the fields. And so that's why we feel it's so important for farmworkers to be educated in the rights under this act.

Now, I'll go into a few of the highlights from the report. Generally, we saw it break down into four different categories. We saw a lot of trends of extreme overwork, health and safety concerns, also issues of wage theft and generally a culture of fear.

Based on our results, nearly 1 in 7 farmworkers said they had had work responsibilities during their breaks. And in large fields many workers don't have the time to walk back to rest areas and make use of shade, water and bathrooms and walk back to their place in the field before the break is over. Fifteen percent of farmworkers don't feel comfortable asking for time off for cases like illness, pregnancy and family emergency.

Under health and safety 7 in 10 workers reported that their working conditions were dangerous or harmful to their health, 1 in 4 farmworkers has been injured at work, 74 percent received no benefits or compensation, 73 percent continued working.

In the area of wage theft, 1 in 3 farmworkers in our surveys were victims of at least one form of wage theft, including being paid less for hours that they worked or being paid for less boxes than they picked. And having work responsibilities before or after work or during breaks.

And finally around a culture of fear, although many of the issues found in our survey already have current state laws protecting workers there's a clear lack of implementation on the ground. We believe a piece of this

is, again as I mentioned state agencies being strapped for resources and their budgets being squeezed more and more. But also this is due to a culture of fear in farm work where this is a highly vulnerable population and is largely afraid to file claims or report labor abuses. And often don't realize that they have the right to speak up, even outside of a union without retaliation.

And so I wanted to speak to that today and offer you this information. And again, just emphasize that farmworkers need access to this information. We've been doing outreach for the hearing, and hope to see more people at 4:30 once they get out of work. But I mean, no one's heard of the Ag Labor Relations Act. I mean, this isn't just generally known amongst the community.

And as a community organization we offer farmworkers workshops on their rights. We've invited Cal/OSHA out. And it's one thing to do a workshop at our office or at a community center. But often when they go back to work it feels like a different world and there is a great fear of retaliation. And so having that education take place at the workplace would really set a different tone for workers. They'd be able to say, "You know, I'm at my workplace. I got this information here and I know how to exercise my rights without fearing retaliation."

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Any questions? 2 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Yes. I very much 3 appreciate the information. 4 MS. AVALOS: Sure, thank you. 5 So your study did not break down MR. BLANCO: 6 between indigenous farmworkers as opposed to non-indigenous 7 farmwokers? 8 MS. AVOLOS: No, it didn't. 9 MR. BLANCO: Okay. So these numbers that you 10 have here in terms of farmworkers living in Santa Barbara 11 County are inclusive of all farmworkers in this, right? 12 MS. AVOLOS: Yes. 13 MR. BLANCO: And how did you perform your study? 14 MS. AVOLOS: We created a 20-question survey. I 15 didn't bring that today, but if you would like to see it I 16 can easily send that to Antonio. Would you like to see it 17 or? 18 MR. BLANCO: Sure. 19 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Sure. 20 MS. AVOLOS: Okay, great. And as I mentioned we 21 have a committee, it's called the Immigration Committee, 22 and it's made up of leaders from the community, a majority 23 They went out and collected these surveys as farmworkers. 24 farmworkers themselves going to friends and family. 25 there is fear of retaliation, so most of those were

collected either at shopping centers or door-to-door in apartment complexes. But they went out to all the farmworkers they could think of and collected these surveys.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BLANCO: And what was the -- is it sample size I guess, is that the --

MS. AVOLOS: Three hundred.

MR. BLANCO: Three hundred, okay. And over what period of time?

MS. AVOLOS: Over the course of about I think two-and-a-half months, this summer.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But how did you deal with this language problem that we've heard spoken of, of course, today and other hearings as well. But we really got some sense of the complexity of it today.

MS. AVOLOS: Sure, good question.

So we weren't passing out these surveys for people to fill out on their own. I mean, we run into a lot of people, even if they speak Spanish and they're not indigenous may have struggles reading or writing. And so we have leaders going out and actually helping people write it out. And we had several of our leaders who speak Mixteco, both Alto and Baja, collecting surveys as well, so translating the questions on the spot and filling out those surveys.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And are there other indigenous language people you have encountered here.

MS. AVOLOS: In this survey I think mostly it was Mixteco, I think that's mainly what we see in Santa Maria.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Uh-huh, okay. Anything else?

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. All right, thank you very, very, very much indeed.

MS. AVOLOS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

Okay. So --

MR. CARROLL: Chairman, just I wanted just to put in the record what I was saying off the record about our experience at the George Amaral, which is A-M-A-R-A-L hearing in Salinas last January-February of 2014. I think most of the -- at least some of the commentary that was going on, because we had both a Spanish and a Mixteco interpreter is on the record in that particular case that the Executive Secretary asked me to speak to. But what we did learn, whether it's in or outside of that record, is that not only are there these districts or counties where there's many different bubbles or little towns that have differences, but I think that there's nearly a hundred different dialects.

And what was interesting about the actual

process, the two-step process -- because everybody was very concerned to make sure that the witnesses understood what the questions were before they answered them -- is that an unusual number of the Mixteco witnesses, once the Spanish translation was going to the Mixteco interpreter to then interpret in Mixteco to the witness, that they'd already go ahead and start answering. So I think there's another variable on top of it all, which is how much time they've actually spent among Spanish speakers in California agriculture on top of all this other sort of fracturing.

So it's a -- I guess what I wanted to leave the impression that we got, and the information that we got, is this is a very complex issue. That this Board was very sensitive in terms of how they wanted to make sure that it was handled properly, and yet sometimes it's just a little too difficult. We actually had one question where while it was being translated into Mixteco, the witness stood up and said in English, " that," and pointing to one of the exhibits, " that never happened" in English. It was fascinating.

So it's a noble pursuit, but I don't think any of us out here expects you guys to get it complete and right.

So I'm not sure what happened yesterday, but if it's anything like what happened in Salinas it's not unusual.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you. That's all.

```
1
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thanks.
2
             MR. CARROLL: You got it.
                                         Thank you.
3
             MR. BLANCO: Thank you.
4
              (Board confers about break)
5
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, yeah. So we'll
   reconvene at 4:30 then, shall we?
6
7
                   (Off the record at 3:37 p.m.)
8
                    (On the record at 4:40 p.m.)
9
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right. Let's go on the
10
   record and we'll turn to the -- excuse me. Excuse me, we
11
   want to move ahead here, please.
12
             We want to move ahead here. Let's go on the
13
   record and we'll begin with Francisco Lozano.
14
             MR. BLANCO: Let me see if he's here. I'll go
15
   out and look in the hallway.
16
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Is he out there? No?
17
             MR. BLANCO: He's not here.
18
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, we'll go to the next person.
19
             MR. BLANCO: He may show later though.
20
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, do we have the --
21
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Those guys are -- the other
22
   gentlemen next on the list are outside in the hallway.
23
   me --
24
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, let's go off the
25
   record a second.
```

1 (Off the record at 4:41 p.m.) 2 (On the record at 4:42 p.m.) 3 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right. Let's go back on the 4 record and you can call the names of the individuals, Mr. 5 Blanco. 6 MR. BLANCO: Thank you. Guillermo Garcia, 7 Jeremias Juarez, Salvador Huerta. 8 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, greetings. Greetings. 9 MR. HUERTA: (Through Interpreter.) 10 afternoon. My name is Salvador Huerta. 11 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Can you ask him to use the 12 microphone, so we can hear you? 13 MR. HUERTA: I work in the fields, the strawberry 14 fields. I come from Oxnard. I am here because of 15 everything that has happened around us in the fields. I 16 want to give my testimonial about the things that I've 17 seen. I've been working out in the field for 16 years. 18 I'm a member of the Farm Workers Union, before then 19 (indiscernible) I worked for a company called Gargiulo. 20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I'm sorry, I'm missing what 21 you're saying, you worked for a company called what? 22 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Gargiulo. 23 MR. HUERTA: Gargiulo, a tomato company. 24 too I saw many unfair treatments for the workers. 25 didn't give us any breaks. I started working with the

other workers and telling them that we needed a break, because it was our right. And the foreman many times threatened to fire me. However he never did it, because I always spoke for myself and the people respected me.

But one day finally I left the company due to the pressure that I was under. When I came back to get my check I saw the things getting worse, because my coworkers were under more pressure and they were --

MR. BLANCO: So Mr. Huerta at that time were you aware of the Agricultural Labor Relations Act?

MR. HUERTA: I've heard about it, but I didn't know what it entitled. (sic) Yeah, I told the foreman, "

If you fire me I'll take you to the Labor Board." I think that helped me.

MR. BLANCO: But even then what you said that you weren't aware of what the Ley Laboral could do?

MR. HUERTA: I had some knowledge, but I was basically aware that it would protect the rights of the labor workers.

As a member of the union I think it's necessary to get the workers informed, because unfortunately there are many things unfair in the field. Yeah, now that I'm a member of the union we started to get information regarding the conditions under --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Regarding conditions under what?

MR. HUERTA: That we started to get information about the workers working under very hot conditions, you know, over-exhaustion. And I had an access on the farms we had a letter signed by the law and the rancheros didn't allow us to go into the ranches to give information. Yeah, the sad thing is that they give information, but just as a convenience. Yeah, so by the time we go there to provide information they have already manipulated the people. And I don't think they should handle the workers like that.

I know there are laws that protect us, but they are controlled by the rancheros. I don't think that's fair. I just heard that you have to protect the rancheros, but protect them against what? If you're trying to provide information to the workers on their lunchtime that's on their time, so I don't know how that would affect the employers. When the rancheros say that they need protection I ask myself, " Protection from what? To keep exploiting the workers, treat them like slaves?"

Everybody says that you don't need to be an attorney to understand the law. I understand it, but unfortunately our people are not educated, so if you don't know the laws you don't know your rights. And if you don't know your rights that means you don't have any, so that to me is very important. It's important that the workers are educated and if it's on their lunchtime, why not? So I

agree with having the education there.

Somebody said that it's incorrect for other organizations to go into the ranches to provide information. So what do they call it when they violate the rights of the workers? I'm talking about the -- violates that. You're talking about the --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You're going have to stop and allow the interpreter to interpret what you're saying.

Okay, can you summarize?

Yeah, so first I'd like him to try to summarize what you've just been saying recently. And then in the future please stop and let him translate.

MR. HUERTA: Yeah, so when I state that the laws are violated -- for instance, there's a heat exhaustion law and a lot of workers are working under really hot conditions. In the City of Camarillo, there's a lot of berry crops and this last week was really hot. I know people working there and the temperature reached 100 degrees. In spite of the law that gives them the right to take breaks and they didn't give them any breaks. And the first time there are people who request that, those rights, they take retaliation measures against them. So this it's necessary for the good of the workers to see what's happening on the field.

I think it's hard to educate the field workers.

During the 16 years I've been working, I've seen a lot of mistreatment, retaliation and abuses. I know that there are laws that protect us, but many times the rancheros, they just try to wash their hands.

For instance, this year I was elected a representative of my group to have things working peacefully. So the supervisors, what they started doing is taking retaliations against me. One time they laid me off for three days. However, we have a law that states that that's against the law and they did an investigation. However the company still gave me the layoff for three days.

So I agree that with the idea for you to come to the fields and see what are the conditions and to educate the people, because a lot of people are afraid. The companies, they give their version according to their convenience. And on the other hand they also instill fear over the workers. And the field workers many times are afraid to speak, because they depend on their salary to support their families. So I agree that you should go out and educate them.

I heard somebody about the technology, but I don't think that's the case. Yeah, we might have access to technology, but we don't have the connection to actually access the information.

1 That's what I wanted to share. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Thank you. 3 (Applause) 4 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: A question? 5 somebody investigated the three days you were laid off and 6 who was that? 7 MR. HUERTA: Yeah, first the company started the 8 investigation, because they are the ones who laid me off. And then I called the Workers Union. The company kind of 9 10 committed to investigate more deeply into this issue, but 11 they haven't given me an answer yet. That's why I said 12 earlier that they manipulate these issues. 13 (Off-the-record discussion regarding mic.) 14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Do you have any questions for 15 him? 16 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No, gracias. 17 MR. BLANCO: Yeah I did have some questions. 18 So Mr. Huerta, you were -- so in terms of the 19 hours of work, the average hours of work that your fellow 20 farmworkers worked when you were a farmworker and now when 21 you're an organizer, how many hours a day do they work and 22 how many days a week? 23 (Board confers) 24 MR. HUERTA: Okay. When I started working on the 25 berries I started working like three days a week around

2005 and the field workers were working up to 13 hours, 12, 13 hours. Another time I was aware they were stealing the 1 hour. So I was getting (indiscernible) so I left.

Right now a lot of coworkers are complaining that they are working up to 10 hours. Particularly on the strawberries, during the strawberry season they work with a lot of boxes. Yeah, and if you're working -- you know, they are 20 pounds and if you are working for 10 hours, that's a lot of work; there's been a lot of injuries due to that.

MR. BLANCO: So it was suggested that we could have meetings with workers, perhaps after work. But I assume that given the hours of work, and everything else that workers have to do in the rest of their day, that probably that's not a feasible idea?

MR. HUERTA: Yeah, I wouldn't recommend it, because you're too tired at the end of the day. By that time you just want to get home, take a shower, relax. And you are leaving your kids all day by themselves. For instance, when I was working on the berries I would go to work for so many hours. And my kids, I would leave them asleep and when I came back at night they were asleep already, so that's really sad. I didn't get a chance to be with them.

That's why we requested to have this done during

the break time.

MR. BLANCO: Now, it was also discussed this morning with respect to the Internet, access to the Internet in terms of the cost of it. Comparing to the fact that the monies that workers receive as wages they have to use for many other things. And thus that Internet access was a cost that they couldn't afford to do that. Would you agree?

MR. HUERTA: Yeah, well besides the expense, I mean many times you don't know how to handle the Internet. For instance, I don't know how to work on a computer. And also the salary in the field is very low, so it makes it really hard to have a computer. So it's two issues. One is that you don't know how to use it. And secondly, even if you did, you don't have the luxury of affording that.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Hold on just a second until we

get this.

(Board confers)

MR. HUERTA: Yeah, they're right. For instance, the rate is supposed to be \$9 an hour, but I think that every person should be earning based on his capabilities. So for instance, the state determines that the minimum salary should be \$9.00. But in my case if I'm working with the strawberries before it gets raining and we do too in the fields -- we get training for the strawberry crops. So

if I've been trained to handle 20 boxes per hour how come I'm not getting paid more? I think that's very unfair.

MR. BLANCO: So my last question is regarding the training of foremen and supervisors. Do you think that that would also be a good idea to educate them about the law?

MR. HUERTA: Like I said earlier the companies say that they train them. But what I've experienced every day, even today, is the opposite. So sometimes the supervisors come and they yell at you like if you were a child. As a worker you try to stay cool and have patience. You do the best you can and then they keep demanding more. So every time you try to defend a right that you have they always try to threaten you and use retaliation against you.

I'm aware that the companies have their own policies, but however they manipulate it to their convenience. For example, I'm aware that there's permits or the workers are allowed -- there are policies that it's specified or state that you can get a leave of absence and then you request it, maybe a week before. But the companies, they don't want to give it to you. So according to them they're following their policies.

It's really sad for these things to happen. They are violating our rights if there are laws that state otherwise.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: It's also been suggested to us that radio, television, newspapers would be a good alternative to having government of the ALRB come on property and speak to the workers about the rights in the law. How would you compare the two: radio, television, newspapers? Would that be as effective as communication on company property?

MR. HUERTA: I don't think it's a good idea to be on newspapers or television. For instance, there are workers working 10 hours in the field. So if there's going to be an event on TV we don't know what time that's going to be, so we might not have a chance to see it. Even for the newspapers it might be okay for the people who know how to read, but it's hard for the worker due to the hours.

Yeah, for me it would be more effective to have it done during the breaks, because that's when we feel more at ease. We can trust you more, because the people are right there at that time.

So the media, like TV and newspapers, they don't trust them as much, so they would have doubts. For instance, we many times talk to the other workers on the ranches and even though we're right there sometimes they didn't believe us. So if you see that on TV it would be even worse.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, all right.

Anything else that anybody else wants to know?

All right, thank you very much. And we'll turn to the next speaker.

And if you could tell us your name.

MR. GARCIA: (Through Interpreter.) My name is Guillermo Garcia. I come from El Salvador. I've been working for 14 years in the field.

Due to the fact that the money or the field salary in the field is very low I opted to get two jobs, so one salary during the day and the other one at night. One with a union contract and the other one doesn't have a union contract. I compare both.

Yeah, the union contract gave us vacation, holidays and some other benefits that the law provided. On the salary plan we didn't have anything. They just had us under lower pressure.

When we went there to apply for a job they made us sign a paper where we accepted to waive our right to a second lunch after 10 hours -- to give up our second lunch. So we don't have another break, because we already gave up our right for the break. They would make us punch our cards before. After we did our exercise we were supposed to be on the work line working. They had cameras and they gave us very limited time to go to the bathroom, 15 minutes at the most to go to the bathroom, no more than 2 or 3

times per day.

And whenever a machine would stop working they would take us out, but they wouldn't pay for that time.

And then at the union worker job even if a machine broke down they would pay us for that time, because it wasn't our fault. So I left that company, because I couldn't stand it anymore. So I kept the one with the union. However they had to close their operation, so I went back to the strawberries, to the berries.

Before they hired me they warned me, " The union is going to come. They are going to tell you all the things and don't trust them, because they are a bunch of thieves. They're going to steal your check and they are a bunch of liars." Since it fit my need, I decided to work there. It was very hot and a lot of pressure. And the water access was very far away.

So I found another company that had a union contract and I went over there. I've been working there for two hours (sic) and I feel good and I know that I'm protected. Now, after working at this company I am aware of the rights that we have as workers.

Yeah, they offered me to get information about having union contracts. Another company, a berry company, with an experienced (indiscernible) Gargiulo Farms -- yeah, we took a letter that authorizes us to provide supervision

during lunchtime. So as the law provides we were doing it during the lunchtime and the company went against us.

Two supervisors didn't allow me to go and talk to the other supervisor, because they pushed me and they wouldn't allow me to go through. I didn't want to force the issue, because I knew I was going to lose. So my call to you is for you is for you to come over and see the experience that we're going under.

They talk about Internet to provide information, newspapers, community meetings, but I don't think that works. Why? Many people work 7 days a week, 10 to 12 hours a day. Sometimes they don't even have permission to visit a relative, let alone one of these hearings for a community meeting. So my call is that I invite you to come over and see for yourselves the experience that we are having. And then you'll realize that we're telling you the truth.

It's not the same thing at a computer, that receiving the information. It's better to come over and see how our coworkers, field workers, suffer. Just like I suffered. I thank you beforehand, all of you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you, thank you.

Do you have any questions?

(Applause and Board confers about speakers)

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And we have Mr. Juarez.

MR. JUAREZ: (Through Interpreter.) My name is Jeremias Juarez. I come from Oxnard. I'm a member of the Workers Union. I thank you for inviting us to this meeting. We're asking if you could come over to the ranches to see for yourselves what's happening in each company.

As my coworkers have already stated we were visiting some companies to provide information about the heat exhaustion and the sick days. We went to many companies and you could see that a lot of them are afraid. They have intimidated them. A lot of these companies don't want the workers to get information and find out what their rights are. In my experience a lot of people are afraid.

Yeah, I talked to a worker; I guess he works on the irrigation. And I thought he was a foreman and he tried to stop me with his hands, wouldn't allow me to go in. I told him that I have a permit from the Labor Law to go in and provide information. Yeah, we had a permit from the Labor Law, had a paper, but still even with that information he wouldn't let me in. They just ignored us and told us that we didn't have the right to go in and that they were going to call the police.

I said, "You can do whatever you want, but I have permission to go in. You can call the police if you want.

I have permission from the Labor Law." Even then they

wouldn't let us in. I tried to go on the side, but they would cut me off. You know, making a fist like he was going to hit me.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: He was what?

MR. JUAREZ: Like he was going to hit me, making a fist.

MR. BLANCO: Making a fist like he was going to hit him.

MR. JUAREZ: So I told him, "You might be trying to protect your boss, but if you hit me I will call the police." They wouldn't let us in to provide information to our other coworkers and let them know of their rights.

That's why I think you need to go and see for yourself, because this -- all the media like newspapers and the Internet or TV, I don't think that's going to work.

So I might have the time to watch TV or to see it on TV, but some other ones don't have the time. Yeah, it's like my other coworker said, a lot of the workers work 10, 12 hours a day. So they wouldn't probably have the time. You know, by the time they get home or they have to pick up their kids from the babysitter and they just don't have the time to see it on TV. And once they get home they're just trying to get the food ready and give the kids a bath.

So the time goes very quickly and then they don't have time to watch TV or to read the newspaper, so that's

why I don't think that would work. And they wouldn't be able to find out what their rights are.

Another rancher, I also gave him a permit that I had in my hand. I handed it to him and I told him we would come back in an hour to give other coworkers information about their rights in the field. The supervisor asked me,

" Who are you and what are you doing here?" He told me that he didn't know me even though I had been there an hour before to give him the permit.

He told me, "You don't have a permit to come in."

And I told him yes I did. "I gave you this morning the

permit." He told me that wasn't true, I didn't give him

anything.

I told him, "Remember? I gave it to you this morning." He says, "No, I don't have anything."

So I told him, "I gave it to you," so I showed the paper again and he started reading it. So he said that he remembered that I gave him the paper, but then he tore it up. He destroyed the paper anyway. He wanted to kick us out.

So they just don't want us to give information for our coworkers. They just don't want our other coworkers to know about the information. So you'll go out yourselves and you'll find out how the ranchers work. You know, how they behave and how they react.

There's a lot of discrimination, mistreatments, they don't have enough water. They don't have -- either the bathrooms are not clean or they're too far away. Sometimes they don't give us a 10-minute break when it's really hot. They don't have any shades to cover yourself from the sun. Particularly in the berry fields it gets really hot, but there's no way we can make the bosses understand what we need. Yeah, it's really hard to work in the fields, particularly in the strawberry. For those people who have worked in the strawberry fields they will know that it's many times you work 10 to 12 hours and you have to be on your knees or bending and it's just too hard. With a salary of \$9.00 an hour that's not enough when you want to see a doctor or you need money for something else. Many companies don't really have full insurance. They might have insurance just for medication, but not if you have an injury or things like that. they just won't cover you. You know, I would like to do something for the farmworkers, because it's a lot of sacrifice and hard work. And thank you for listening to me. And if you can do something for us, I would appreciate it. CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you. Any questions for you? BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Applause) 2 CHAIRMAN GOULD: So let's see who we got there. 3 MR. BLANCO: Let's see, Heleodora Gijon, no? 4 (Board confers) 5 MR. BLANCO: Francisco Lozano? 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, he's here. 7 MR. BLANCO: Okay, good. 8 MR. LOZANO: Buenas tardes. 9 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Buenas tardes. 10 MR. LOZANO: (Through Interpreter.) Good 11 afternoon, my name is Francisco Lozano. I used to be a 12 field worker. Now I do gardening or landscaping. I worked 13 on the field over 15 years. 14 (Board confers on audio issues) 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, so let's try that. 16 Yes? 17 MR. LOZANO: Because I worked on the field for 18 more than 15 years I know the situation and the suffering 19 that goes on the field. Yet to be honest at that time I 20 wasn't even aware of how many hours I had to work to reach 21 the overtime -- a tough job. 22 (Pause to deal with audio issues again) MR. LOZANO: Due to the lack of information -- I 23 24 can read and write a little bit. I'll be able to read it 25 and have a brief understanding, but not very well, because

that's not my -- my main language is Mixteco. So many people can't even read or write o let alone learn about their labor rights.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: The language you're referring to there is what?

(Chair refers to one-page document Mr. Lozano is holding up.)

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, this is Spanish.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Espanol.

MR. LOZANO: That's an example.

Now that I work as a gardener I see that it's the same problem. I just learned that working on this field once you reach 30 hours you can have a 1 hour allowance for an illness. So I got sick and the doctor told me that I need to have 3 days off before going back to work. So the company, I knew that I had an hour saved or extra, because of the hours that I had worked, and the company didn't give me anything or tell me anything.

That's why I know and I think that it's really important to have verbal information. A coworker told me,

" I think they should pay you, because of the hours that you have put in."

I'm also involved with an organization that works with the Mixteco community. And I see other people that they told me that they have worked for so many hours and

they have the same problem. I used to promote information regarding the heat and the pesticides. I used to go from house to house providing information in Mixteco. And they would tell me, " It doesn't do me any good, because I don't know how to read."

So we tried to explain to them in Mixteco and all I could do is just ask them to sign it to verify that I had provided them with that information. They said, "Well, the law can just take it and post it in my place of work. So they can see that I have to fulfill those commitments."

And too many, they are afraid to take this information to their place of work, because they are afraid of retaliation against them.

So based on my experience, I think it is better to have verbal information and for somebody to come to our place of work during lunch or break time. And then they give us the information according to the language that we speak, so that people can get their information and nobody violates their rights.

So a lot of times a supervisor would tell me, "We like a bunch of people from Oaxaca, because we know they are very quiet." It's not because they are very hard workers, but the main reason they want them is because they know they're not going to complain, they're not going to speak their mind.

Yeah, when they talk about the technology and myself, when I try to go online or use the computer I have a very hard time trying to access pages or information. I know that they keep coming up with new devices and they keep getting fancier and fancier, but people that don't know the language or they don't understand or they don't know how to read, that won't help them.

Many times the companies they give you a policy and they ask you to sign and we sign it, but we don't know what we're signing, really. That's why I think it's very important to have verbal information on the workplace, because at least with that information we know our rights.

For instance, if you don't know your rights and you get sick and then you say, " Well, I want to go home," when the proper thing to do is to talk to the supervisor and make sure he takes you to a clinic. (sic) And that happens when you don't know your rights and it's for the benefit of the companies if we don't know our rights.

That's why I want to convey that to you to see it as a need. It's necessary to do it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you, very much.

Questions anyone?

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I have one

24 question.

Thank you for your time tonight. Thank you.

1 If the ALRB came onto the employer's property 2 during the lunchtime would you and your coworkers, if it 3 was voluntary, want to take the time from your lunch in order to hear the information? 4 5 MR. LOZANO: (Without Interpreter.) Si. 6 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Would there be 7 any fear of retaliation for attending and talking with our 8 agency? 9 MR. LOZANO: (Through Interpreter.) 10 honestly yes I would. (sic: question not answered.) 11 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Gracias. 12 MR. BLANCO: So Mr. Lozano, in the time you've 13 worked with the FOIB how many Mixteco farmworkers have you 14 met with? 15 MR. LOZANO: (Through Interpreter.) Yeah, when I 16 used to provide this information I had to reach about 30 17 people per months. That was when I was with the 18 farmworkers, I had to hand them out a report. 19 MR. BLANCO: So but with the Frente Indigena you 20 also meet with farmworkers? 21 MR. LOZANO: Yeah, with this other one we see 22 more people coming due to the language. 23 MR. BLANCO: Uh-huh. And when you were doing the 24 promotion work you said you would go house to house. 25 would you go inside to talk with them?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, because of the language. You know, there wasn't a language barrier, they would let me in and they would feel more confident.

MR. BLANCO: Now, do you think that -- from your experience do you think that many of the Mixteco farmworkers know about the Ley Laboral?

MR. LOZANO: No, I don't think so. Yeah, even myself, I tried to get involved with the community and learn some about these laws, but I don't know enough. You know, let alone them.

MR. BLANCO: So you yourself don't feel you know a lot about the Ley Laboral?

MR. LOZANO: Just a little bit.

MR. BLANCO: Okay. I don't think I have any other.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, there's been earlier discussion about annual celebrations of farmworkers, annual gatherings, community gatherings. And it has been said that these kinds of situations present good alternatives to communicate on company property, communicate about the law on company property. Do you think that this is correct?

MR. LOZANO: I don't think that those annual meetings would help them much. They would have to do them more frequently. Particularly when the season starts, they have the crops, have the particular season depending on the

1 crop, and at least during those times they would have to be 2 provided with that information. CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, how would these gatherings 3 4 compare to providing the information on company property? 5 MR. LOZANO: For instance, when I used to go from 6 house to house it was a good idea, because I would talk 7 personally to each family and they would feel more confident. But I think it's a better idea instead of the 8 9 community meetings, to go into the property of the owners. 10 And then to have a meeting there, because many times they 11 have 500 workers, so we could divide them in groups and 12 then, you know, talk to a different group each time. 13 CHAIRMAN GOULD: So that would be better, yes? 14 MR. LOZANO: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. 16 Anything else? 17 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: 18 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No. 19 MR. BLANCO: I have one more. So the Board is 20 also considering trying to educate the supervisors and 21 foremen as well about the obligations under the law that 22 they have. Do you think that's a good idea? 23 MR. LOZANO: Yeah, they just have to do it. It's 24 a great idea, but just make sure that they do it. 25

MR. BLANCO: And so there's been also testimony

about or speakers that have spoken about the different 1 2 kinds of Mixteco. And could you tell us more about the 3 Mixteco you speak and what town you come from and how that differs from other kinds of Mixteco? 4 5 MR. LOZANO: Yeah, I speak Mixteco Bajo or Low 6 I think there's more than one for Mixteco Bajo or 7 Low Mixteco. Yeah, I know that maybe somebody can identify 8 the percentage of the other types of Mixtecos, but I know 9 that there's a lot of people with the Low Mixteco. 10 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, great. Thank you very much, thank you. 11 12 MR. LOZANO: (Without Interpreter.) Gracias. 13 (Applause) 14 MR. BLANCO: So let's go on, Alejandro Gonzalez? 15 No, okay then let's move on. 16 Victorino Gonzalez, Rodolfo Isordia, Rojelio 17 Isordia, Gloria Cordro, Angel Ponce, Nancy Ibanez, 18 Margarita Gonzalez. 19 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: They are still working. 20 saw them, they are still working. They're coming, they're 21 coming after. 22 MR. BLANCO: They're still working? 23 (Board confirms attendees with audience member) 24 MR. BLANCO: Okay. Victorino Gonzalez. 25 MR. GONZALEZ: (Through Interpreter.)

afternoon. I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to be here and share my experience, everything that happens in the field. And I am glad that you are here. I'm going to talk about my particular experience.

The first thing I want to state is a lot of discrimination, particularly for the people that do not speak Spanish. Yeah, as to the owners of companies that have workers that do not speak Spanish -- the reason?

Because they don't want the people to know their rights, so they can do what is to their convenience. So the workers cannot complain or say anything, because they don't know how to speak Spanish.

I used to be one of those people. When I came to the States I didn't speak any Spanish. I had to make a great effort to learn Spanish, because at the age of 20 I didn't know how to speak Spanish. I had to do it because I noticed there was a lot of discrimination for the people that didn't speak any Spanish. I couldn't defend myself, because I didn't speak any Spanish. So I realized that -- or I was aware that unless I spoke Spanish I could not defend or speak for my rights.

Many of us don't even know how to read or write, so of course we couldn't do it through newspapers, TV or -- so I had to make an effort and study and so I can defend myself. And I'm pleased now to be a different person,

because I was humiliated before. So thank God I can now defend myself, but the employers don't like to have somebody on their ranch that knows how to speak Spanish and can defend himself or herself.

So that's where they start taking advantage of you, they make you work 10 hours or more. And you could be working 11, 12 hours or whatever amount of hours and they won't pay you overtime. So if you request your fair pay or salary all they say is, "Well if you don't like it, you can just leave." So they don't want to pay the full hours that we've worked.

So when we speak out and we tell them, " Why do you not want to pay overtime?" they said, " Because it's not our obligation to do so." So the supervisors take advantage, because they know that we don't know our rights. So I'm very glad that you guys are willing to do something for us. It's not in their best interests to have workers working for them that know their rights.

So they're telling us now that they're going to give us a bonus, which is part of the boxes that we pack. So when at the end of the crop they tell us that's when we're going to get paid and that's where they take more advantage of us. So two weeks before the end of the crop that's the best time for them, because a lot of time they could say, "Well if you don't like it you can just

leave." They know that they can just keep that money. So I don't agree with the fact that they won't take advantage of us.

And, you know, we're working under very hot conditions and they won't let us go home. And if we decide to go home anyway then we know the next day there won't be a job waiting for us. So in spite of the fact that it's very hot and people have to be working, you know, bending down or whatever with temperatures of maybe 90 or 100 degrees -- and I have a picture to show you that I'm not lying -- how they have this person sitting under the sun. This person was injured and there's not even a shade where she can rest. That's what's happening on the field.

So I hope that you really come to the field or to our place of work, but you have come unannounced, so they cannot change anything. If they know beforehand that somebody's coming then they can change things around and make it look better. And that's what happens with the grapes, any kind of crops. And even though it gets really hot they don't want to stop you. What matters to them is just to get their crops.

So I would like you to really come and see, so you can see how the supervisors -- how they are the ones who take most of the advantage of us and they can do pretty much whatever they want. In fact, they wanted to fire me,

because there was a particular situation and engagement that I had, so I had to leave. And when I came back they didn't want to let me come back.

So I asked them why, if I asked permission to leave? I had a bonus with the company, because I worked the whole season with them. So they didn't give me my money and they wanted to kick me out and fire me. I didn't know if I -- once I leave there was no way I could get my money even though I had worked for it. So a lot of people they just leave that money there, because of the fact that they don't how to speak Spanish they just leave the money there and they can't defend themselves.

So that's what happens because for instance, in my case if I try to defend myself the supervisors they take retaliation against me, because I'm defending myself. But some of the people they don't know how to defend themselves. Like my other coworker said earlier that they prefer people from Oaxaca, because they know they can (sic) speak Spanish and it's to their advantage.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: They can't?

MR. VICTORINO GONZALEZ: They can't.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes.

MR. VICTORINO GONZALEZ: So the less Spanish you know the best for the employer, because they know they can't defend themselves. So I'm really glad. I'm happy

that you're doing what you're doing, because that way we know who we can talk to and where we can get the information that we need.

It's really sad. There's a lot of abuse and discrimination on the field. There's places where they only have -- the bathrooms are very dirty or they don't have enough bathrooms. Many times they spray near areas where there are people working and the supervisors, they just don't do anything. Yeah, and then we're breathing that poison from this, you know, the chemicals they are spraying.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: So --

MR. GONZALEZ: Yeah. I would like to get more information. And also that the foremen or foreman and the supervisor get this training, so they learn how to treat the workers with the respect instead of yelling at us like we were a bunch of children. Just because they are supervisors they don't have the right to humiliate anybody they want. We all deserve respect and we have to treat other ones with respect too. That's all.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Now, it is said that there are

-- by many people who have talked to us -- that there are

other avenues through which the law can be communicated to

workers: radio, television, newspapers. So this is the

first time I've been in Santa Maria. Is there Mixtec radio

1 in Santa Maria? Is there Mixtec television in Santa Maria? 2 And are there Mixtec newspapers in Santa Maria? 3 MR. GONZALEZ: Very little and hardly on TV. 4 They may have some ads in Mixteco for the people, but 5 nothing that provides information about your rights. 6 know, I would like, of course, to have that choice. 7 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, do you have a 8 question? 9 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: The photograph, where is 10 that located, like a town or a county? 11 MR. GONZALEZ: Here in Santa Maria, it's a 12 strawberry field. 13 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Oh, it's here in Santa 14 Maria. 15 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes. That's all. 16 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Got you. 17 MR. BLANCO: So she's next. 18 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, well you don't have any 19 questions? 20 MR. BLANCO: No, I don't have any questions for 21 him. 22 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. All right. 23 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. 25 Yes?

MS. IBANEZ: (Through Interpreter) About 15 days ago in the company of 2 brothers we were working in Los Alamos and the heat reached 96 degrees. And I wasn't sure to what -- when the temperature reaches a certain level if they were supposed to stop us. So I called Miguel from the Califco (phonetic) organization to ask him if he knew if there was a law about the limit of degrees that we had to work under. He told me he was going to give me the OSHA's number. I called three people who listened to me and they did talk to the foreman.

He told me that I didn't have to mess around with the company, how come I did it? And I told him I that I didn't want to jeopardize the company. I just called to get information and they were organizing. So I felt there was a lot of pressure. And I just couldn't take it anymore, so the next day I just didn't show up to work.

I've seen a lot of unfair treatments. And people put up with it, because out of need, out of fear, because they need to work. We have our dignity. We don't have to be humiliated. We need to be respected. We need somebody to help us to promote the laws.

The work in the fields is the hardest one and yet we don't have enough protection. That's all.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

Do you have any questions, either of you?

1	BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: No.
2	CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, Genevieve?
3	BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Just I very much
4	appreciate that you took the time to speak with us this
5	evening and to share your story. Thank you very much.
6	MR. BLANCO: So Ms. Ibanez, are you familiar with
7	the La Ley Laboral?
8	MS. IBANEZ: No.
9	MR. BLANCO: Okay. And so you're not aware of
10	the protections that La Ley Laboral gives to farmworkers?
11	MS. IBANEZ: No, to be honest, very little.
12	MR. BLANCO: That's all. Thank you.
13	BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you
15	very much to both of you very, much.
16	(Applause)
17	MR. BLANCO: Well, we're still waiting for these
18	folks to come as far as I know.
19	BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: They might still be at
20	work.
21	MR. BLANCO: So Alejandro Gonzalez, no?
22	(Board confers about speakers)
23	MR. BLANCO: Janet Cruz?
24	BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Oh, she's here. And
25	there's a farmworker panel, this last part.

	129
1	(Board confers about speakers)
2	MS. CRUZ: I'll be doing mine in English, so will
3	I need someone to
4	THE INTERPRETER: Where is your group?
5	MR. BLANCO: He's going to go back there.
6	CHAIRMAN GOULD: He'll go back and translate it
7	into Spanish.
8	MS. CRUZ: Okay.
9	(Conferring with the Interpreter)
10	MS. CRUZ: Can I start, now?
11	CHAIRMAN GOULD: Surely.
12	MS. CRUZ: Do I have a certain amount of time?
13	CHAIRMAN GOULD: Excuse me?
14	MS. CRUZ: Do I have a certain amount of time?
15	CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, no. You know, we've asked
16	everyone to say what they want to say and to be brief, as
17	possible.
18	MS. CRUZ: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN GOULD: But you don't you're not
20	limited.
21	MS. CRUZ: All right, thank you. So, I'm going
22	to be speaking sitting down. So, okay.
23	So, hello and good evening, everyone. My name is
24	Janet Cruz and I'm currently a Santa Maria senior.
25	How would you feel waking up 3:00 to 4:00 in the

morning, to work in the fields? Every day is sometimes different. It's hot at 90 degrees, especially with double-layered clothes. Why double layered? Because they, the farmworkers, just try to protect their selves from chemicals which are spread on the field and can cause illness.

Sometimes it's really cold and they can't feel their fingers. Sometimes, it's really raining and -- wait. Oh, yeah, sometimes it's really raining and they come home with wet clothes.

They come home with pain everywhere, but they still keep going, knowing that it will cause permanent damage. But why? Because they have a family to feed.

I have come here to stand and speak up for the farmworkers in my community and others who may apply with what I have to say.

Recently, President Barack Obama had said he was going to issue an executive order to force contractors to give their employees a seven-leave of sick days paid.

I am aware that recently farmworkers have gotten this benefit, which is that fieldworkers get three sick days paid a year by State law, though most managers don't inform their employees about this benefit.

Oh, sorry, something happened.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Did you say you're a

senior in --

MS. CRUZ: Yes, ma'am, in Santa Maria high school.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Oh.

MS. CRUZ: Yes. Okay. I'll just start over. I had said that I was aware of that recently farmworkers have gotten this benefit, which was that fieldworkers get paid three sick days -- three sick days paid a year by State law. But, however, most manages don't inform their employees about this benefit.

I know this because my own mom has no idea about this.

However, why can't it be equal like the federal employees? I understand that you're federal workers though fieldworkers are workers. They have a family, too. They spend hours in the fields, whether it's cold, sunny, humid or if it's raining, just working beyond the explainable just to get paid \$9.50 an hour, and go home approximately at 6:30 in the evening.

I strongly believe that all workers have the right to equality. They have the right to fair treatment. We live in a country that advocates and supports equality, yet we fail to recognize on how some of our people treat others, especially to a specific work, the farmworkers.

Earlier in this meeting, I had heard a few of the

farmworkers invite you all to show up at their work. Why? So, you can witness what happens behind the scenes of the food you have on the table. I have a concern with regard to this. My concern is some managers and supervisors are obviously smart and sneaky.

What guarantees me, as the daughter of a fieldworker, that you will actually get to witness what previous farmworkers have testified?

That is all.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

MS. CRUZ: Will you be able to answer my question now or --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, I'll try to answer your question the best I can.

MS. CRUZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You know, what we are here to do today is to conduct, to really engage in kind of fact-finding to see whether there is interest in having a rule which would allow the Agricultural Labor Relations to come on to the worksite, to come on to company private property to --

MS. CRUZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: -- so as to communicate to employees their rights under the Agricultural Labor Relations Act, and the procedures that we employ on how

they can file petitioners or charges with the Board, if they perceive violations to exist. And to actually be there and to explain that to workers.

So, I think your question is about a guarantee. But that, assuming that this rule comes into existence, and we'll be discussing this, and meeting with one another, and going through other steps in the process. What it would do is allow our officials to come to company property to explain what their rights are under the law.

MS. CRUZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And, of course, they would be observing conditions. But we would not be there, fundamentally, to reserve -- to observe conditions but, rather, to communicate to employees as to what their rights are.

MS. CRUZ: All right. I also have another question.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes?

MS. CRUZ: Before my name was called, there was a lady -- or a man, I believe. He had asked a question about if there was a newspaper published in Mixteco, or television that was spoken in Mixteco. Could you repeat your question, I couldn't hear in the back?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I asked the -- several people have suggested that it's not necessary for people from the

Agricultural Labor Relations Board to come on to company property and to communicate with employees about their rights.

Of course, we would have to do this through interpreters and translators.

MS. CRUZ: Right.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Because we don't know all of the languages involved.

And so they said, this is not necessary, some people said, because there are -- there is radio, and there is television, and also the internet as well, and newspapers.

So, it was I who asked one of the witnesses a question as to whether there was radio Mixtec, and television Mixtec, and newspapers Mixtec. And I don't know whether you heard his response or not. But that was my question to the witness.

MS. CRUZ: Okay, because I come from a family that speaks Mixteco. And I know that coming from a family that speaks Mixteco, you have friends that also speak Mixteco. However, those are different types of Mixteco. There's Mixteco Alto, Mixteco Bajo, there's Triqui.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes.

MS. CRUZ: There's many dialects.

25 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right.

MS. CRUZ: So, it would be very complicated to like, you know, have like stations speaking.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right.

MS. CRUZ: Because not everybody speaks that. That's specific Mixteco that one may be referring to.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right. Thank you.

Well, now, we've also been advised of what you have told us, that there are these variations within the language. And I'll put the question to you, as a young woman who observes radio, and television, and newspapers in this area.

MS. CRUZ: Uh-hum.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Are any of the variations of the language spoken on radio and television? You know, we hear of Spanish radio, Spanish language radio. Is there the equivalent of that in any of these media?

MS. CRUZ: Well, what I know of -- I think this is off. What I know of is there actually is a Mixteco radio station, and I believe it's located in Bakersfield.

I'm not really -- I mean, I'm not -- what was that -- let's see, I'm not very sure, but it's somewhere located in Bakersfield.

23 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Uh-huh.

MS. CRUZ: And they like -- they inform those people that are listening, obviously, about like certain

things that are coming up or something.

But I don't hear about like labor, and like rights, and stuff like that.

People, like Mixteco or farmworkers who call, who speak the language, if they have a concern, they have a question, they go and that's like who they go to for help, because they feel connected. You know, they're speaking their language. They feel connected, they feel comfortable talking with them because, you know, it's their language.

And for someone who is -- who speaks Mixteco and wants to come to, perhaps like this meeting, and they're not very good in their Spanish, they're obviously not going to feel comfortable. They're not going to be able to send the message of what they want, you know.

Do you understand what I'm saying?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, yes. Now, but the radio that you've identified and, I don't know, maybe there's more than one station, what variation do they speak in?

MS. CRUZ: They have -- they have Mixteco Alto, Mixteco Bajo. And there is this lady that speaks Triqui, so there's three different dialects.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I see. I see.

MS. CRUZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MS. CRUZ: Did the man have a question?

1 MR. ESPINOZA: Could I answer to that question? 2 MR. BLANCO: Could you stand up, please? 3 MR. ESPINOZA: There's two, a radio station, but 4 it's not --5 CHAIRMAN GOULD: And your name is? MR. BLANCO: Your name, please? 6 7 MR. ESPINOZA: Oh, my name is Ablan (phonetic) 8 I'm also a Mixteco. And there's two radio Espinoza. 9 stations. There's one in Oxnard, which is "Radio Indigena" 10 which just opened a few months ago. 11 And the other one is in Fresno, which is "Radio 12 Bilingue". And they do inform about laborer's rights and 13 everything. 14 But the thing is the signal does not get here, in 15 Santa Maria. So, you could get access through the 16 internet. Like through a radio antenna. 17 And about the language, there are like more than 18 three varieties of the languages. But some of the 19 varieties, they -- like, you could understand like over 20 20 other parts of the varieties. So, you could get to a 21 bigger amount in varieties of the language. Like the 22 Mixteco Bajo, there's like a big variety of it. But I 23 think here, in Santa Maria, there's a lot more people that 24 speak Mixteco Bajo than actual Mixteco Alto. So, that's

25

all.

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. 2 MS. CRUZ: So, like what I understand is that 3 they usually pick the popular dialect that are spoken like 4 most, because like those popular dialects, like for me, I 5 speak it, but I'm not fluent. I understand very well. 6 when I have a friend that's speaking the similar dialect, 7 but it's different, like just as I speak Mixteco Alto and 8 she speaks Mixteco Bajo, I can understand because there's some words that are similar. Just like, you know, in 9 10 English and Spanish, through the Latin connection, you 11 know, you understand some words. Oh, trabajo or -- like, 12 for example, I don't know, Espanol/Spanish, it's like sort 13 of similar. Well, I see it kind of similar. 14 But, yeah, did I answer your question or give you 15 the --16 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I think so. Yes, yes, you did. 17 MS. CRUZ: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I know it's a very big, and as 19 we say, open-ended question. And we're trying to get some 20 information about that. 21 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I just had one 22 Just I hope you will continue to advocate on comment. 23 behalf of your community and your parents, as a whole. 24 MS. CRUZ: Thank you. 25 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Both of my

parents were farmworkers, as well, and it took me years to get to the point that you're at right now, so good job.

MS. CRUZ: Thank you very much. Also, I had one last question.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes.

MS. CRUZ: How long does it take a process for you? Because you are here to listen to the community the farmworkers. How long does it take, like the process to like make a positive impact?

Like, for example, we said -- for example, oh, for the fieldworkers, the benefit of getting three sick days' leave paid. How long -- for example, that took a long time. So, how long would it take you to like make something, like get the feedback that we're giving you, and taking it, and improving and giving us more like --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, I understand your question.

MS. CRUZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, it's a -- but I'm afraid I can't answer to your total satisfaction because I'm not sure I know the complete answer.

After this meeting, we'll go back to our offices and we'll be discussing this with one another. And, ultimately, we will have a -- some of us will put together a proposal, a proposed rule on this subject. This is -- I don't know whether the copies of my opening statement are

available here or not.

But I refer to this idea of what the lawyers call rulemaking. And if we can reach an agreement with one another, at least if two of us support a particular idea, we will probably have a public meeting and you will be -- oh, thank you. Thank you.

(Mr. Barbosa hands a document to the Chair)

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, I'm going to give you a

copy of my opening statement, which will maybe -- will give

you a little more understanding.

But you probably have some more questions as well, and I welcome them.

MS. CRUZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But we'll have a public meeting and we will -- and the public will be invited to provide input into this.

MS. CRUZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And then, if the majority of the Board supports a particular rule, then another process will begin where, again, the public will have an opportunity to provide input.

And I would imagine that that process will take well into next year, and when there's full public commentary again. And it may be, I think it will probably be that some people if -- again, if we adopt the rule,

there will be some people who will not like that rule. And they will object to that rule. And they may file court -- actions in court.

So, I would like to see this work as quickly as possible, but I can't give you a complete guarantee as to precisely what the day is that this process will be concluded.

MS. CRUZ: You can't give like an estimate?
CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, I tried to.

MS. CRUZ: Well, I mean, because you said not precisely, so can you give me an estimate?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, you're pressing me.

You're pressing me so hard. You are much better than my students. And when I am not working on this job, I teach students at Stanford Law School. And so, you have no -- they let me go. And you won't let me go here.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But I can't really give you a precise date. We would hope that the -- we would hope that this process will come into existence at some point next year. Some point, perhaps, in the summer, the late spring.

But -- but, you see, when that happens, as I've said litigation may be commenced against it, and all kinds of arguments will be made against it.

And it's difficult at this point to say what

court the matter will go before. And it's difficult to say, it may be a matter, it probably will be a matter that will go to -- all the way to the California Supreme Court in San Francisco.

And the ability of us to get this going in the interim will depend upon whether we can convince a lower court judge to allow the rule, again if we have the rule, to come into existence.

MS. CRUZ: Okay. And you said --

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Can I just -- can I just add that the -- but the rule that we're talking about is about the communication of rights that currently exist. So, to the extent that these rights currently exist, there are offices that can help those employees. And it's people like you, which is what we're trying to do is find people who can inform other of their rights.

MS. CRUZ: Right, yes.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Those rights already exist. So, they are there and there are accessible for your parents, for their coworkers. And to the extent that you distribute our contact information to others, just so that you know.

23 CHAIRMAN GOULD: That's a very good, a very good 24 point.

MS. CRUZ: Well, in my --

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: It's putting the burden on you 2 to get the word out. 3 (Laughter) 4 MS. CRUZ: Yes, but in my state of --5 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Until the rule is 6 passed. 7 MS. CRUZ: But in my state of --8 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Even after the rule is passed. 9 MS. CRUZ: But in my state of mind it really 10 isn't there if the people -- if the farmworkers don't know 11 about it. 12 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Right. 13 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. 14 MS. CRUZ: So, like to me -- like I don't want to 15 go against this, but to me it's not there. WE have to like 16 inform them, then it's there. You know? 17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. 18 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Yes, right. 19 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. 20 MS. CRUZ: Yeah. And I know previous farmworkers 21 have said that, too, like it's there, but it's not there 22 because we don't know about it. 23 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. 24 MS. CRUZ: And we can't take advantage for our 25 beneficial.

1 And also, you said about to come to a compromise 2 between two. 3 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I didn't say, I didn't use the 4 word " compromise" 5 MS. CRUZ: Or an agreement. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Then, if it was an agreement 7 between two, at least two that --8 MS. CRUZ: Yes. Well, who are those two? 9 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, we don't know what that 10 case will be. We'll have to discuss it and we'll see. 11 We'll have to have this public meeting and we'll see who 12 those two will be, and maybe there will be three. 13 MS. CRUZ: So, is this --14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, there are three members of 15 the Board. 16 MS. CRUZ: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: And they're all here, together, 18 today. 19 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: May I speak? 20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: You may speak. 21 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you, Chairman. 22 thank you, Chairman. I know the Chairman is being 23 realistic of what it takes to adopt a regulation of this 24 kind. 25 I think that -- well, I am hopeful that the mere fact that we have initiated this process, we are hearing from you and others, we are looking at the legal arguments all with a goal in mind to absolutely get the information into farmworkers' hands, and for the employers and the growers to know that those rights exist, and that those rights must be protected. I'm hopeful that even by being here, in Santa Maria, that it's making a difference.

And to just share, I'm also the daughter of a farmworker. And in my case, my father was born in Hawaii. He is Okinawan. And he probably describes that we are indigenous Okinawans, with our own language. Which, I'm sorry, I don't know that language, okay.

And he describes that his parents, when they immigrated to the Hawaiian Islands they spoke Okinawan, they didn't speak Japanese. They didn't speak English. And so, there was the language barrier.

And so he, as one of the children, helped with communication, but there definitely was confusion. Yes.

So, I appreciate that you have come here tonight to share with us. And I don't know if you have any other questions, but you've made a very big difference --

MS. CRUZ: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: -- in emphasizing the importance of our efforts.

MS. CRUZ: Thank you. Yes, I have one more

1 question. 2 (Laughter) 3 MS. CRUZ: The last one. CHAIRMAN GOULD: I had a feeling that would be 4 5 the case. 6 MR. BARBOSA: I think the law school. 7 MS. CRUZ: I have one more question. There's 8 been this issue for approximately, I'll say, maybe six 9 months or so. And perhaps in the beginning, in the 10 beginning when I start right now, you won't understand the 11 connection. But, hopefully, you can see the connection I 12 make. 13 Okay, so there's -- hopefully, you all are aware 14 of the ICE facility, right? 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: The what? 16 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Which facility? 17 The ICE facility. MS. CRUZ: 18 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: ICE. CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, I'm not aware of what you're 19 20 speaking of. 21 MS. CRUZ: Oh, okay. Well, there's an ICE 22 facility here in Santa Maria. And to be realistic, a lot 23 of our farmworkers are immigrants, right, as being 24 realistic. And I feel and I believe that the farmworkers 25 should have the right to like not avoid, but not have

officials, or police, law enforcements coming into their jobs and perhaps taking them away, because that's what I've seen.

I feel that --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, I see, you're referring to the Immigration.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Immigration, yeah.

MS. CRUZ: Like not trespassing. Because that's what they do, they come over. And so as a worker, I believe like if I was a teacher, and let's just to say like in the United States there are some places where they have teachers who are immigrants, right.

And so, the school has like their rules and policies, and where like an ICE official cannot come to school. Why? Because there's students and because it's just not right.

And so why can they come to a place where people are working and take them away? Can there be something like that can -- that will go against ICE officials and law enforcement coming and interrupting their working time?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You know, this is something I really don't know the answer to. This would be a matter of immigration law, which I'm not expert on.

I don't know, perhaps my colleagues are.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Well, there are new -- ICE

is a Federal agency, going by Federal law, which definitely I agree needs to be -- needs to change. It needs to change, okay.

But in the meantime, in the State of California, there are new laws which says an employer cannot bring ICE in, in order to retaliate against workers, okay.

MS. CRUZ: Okay, yeah.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: So, it's a new law that is not part of our law. Okay, but it is -- it is part of another State agency's responsibility.

So with these new laws, hopefully, that will at least help in the State of California.

But on the Federal, for the Federal government, more needs to be done.

MS. CRUZ: Yes. Because I understand it's
Federal and so like the United States Federal comes first.
But then, again, the people come first. So, I'm seeing
that, like that argument. And so, we can't get to an
agreement and I just feel like it's already time to, you
know, end this situation. Like our people need to -- like
they are -- they're not comfortable. They have, perhaps,
low self-esteem. And they're like, oh, if I go there,
they're probably going to reject me, they're going to make
fun of me. They don't want to stand up for themselves.

And that's something that, you know, all human

beings should know and be aware about, like I can do this.

But where I was getting to this is that, I mean, can you, or as you are here, can you do something to improve this? I know it is Federal, but can you guys issue something and that can go to Congress, or that they can like look at say -- I understand it's Federal, but people have rights. And they can't, ICE officials can't come to the fields and pick them up, or do whatever they're going to do against them because they are at work, they are at a property. To me, that would be trespassing, like the ICE Officials trespassing into.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, we become involved only in agriculture. And we do, our law does prohibit retaliation by employers for the exercise of their rights under out law.

So that if the employer, for instance, finds out that this particular employee is protesting, and I don't like his protest, or if he's joined the union --

MS. CRUZ: Right, I understand.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: -- and I don't like the fact that he's joined the union.

MS. CRUZ: You're going to bring ICE in to intimidate that person.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Then that would be part of a pattern of intimidation.

1 MS. CRUZ: Right. 2 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I have to say, and it would be 3 against -- the retaliation would be against the law. 4 But I have to say that as a practical matter, in 5 terms of deportation, you know, the Federal law is supreme. 6 MS. CRUZ: Right. 7 CHAIRMAN GOULD: And so, we would not have 8 jurisdiction over that subject matter. We do have a big 9 legal controversy has arisen about the ability, and it's 10 something that was discussed in the immigration law that 11 was being debated in the Senate, the ability of agencies, 12 such as ours, to aware back pay to workers who are 13 undocumented. And in the past we have. 14 Our counterpart, at the Federal level, has been 15 held not to have that authority. And I suspect that is an 16 issue which will be coming back before us one of these 17 days, again. 18 MS. CRUZ: Okay. So, to summarize your answer, 19 it is no, right? 20 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: That's right. 22 MS. CRUZ: All right, that was all. All right, 23 that is all, thank you. 24 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, you're good. All right,

1 thank you. 2 (Applause) 3 (Panel conferring) MR. BLANCO: Okay, so let's see who's here. 4 5 right, so let's go with these folks here, because this is 6 also one of them. So, Jesus Gomez, Gonzalo Hernandez and 7 Santiago Martinez. 8 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, welcome. Welcome, 9 gentlemen. And whoever would -- let's see, who do you 10 have? Who have you listed first? 11 MR. BLANCO: Well, I don't know who's -- I don't 12 know which one's which. 13 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Whoever would like to speak 14 first, whichever person, please do so. Tell us your name 15 and what it is you would like to say. 16 MR. HERNANDEZ: (Through Interpreter) Good 17 evening, my name is Gonzalo. I work in the field. 18 What I want to tell you, nobody has told me. 19 What we're looking for is for respect. And I'd like to 20 know who's who, so I know who to address? 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: You're addressing all of us. 22 MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. I heard or I was informed 23 there was an attorney representing the employers. 24 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Representing the employers? 25 Well, there have been various attorneys representing

employers, who have spoken here, and at the other meetings that we've held. But none of us are attorneys for the employer.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, because there were so many questions that I wanted to make. Why, how come they don't want the information to reach the field?

I've worked many places. I've known a lot of companies. I've known good ranchers and ranchers that aren't so good, some bad ones.

I've worked in places where they don't pay overtime. In places where you could work 11 or 12 hours, and they cut you off one hour so that they don't have to pay overtime.

And when you ask them for an explanation, what they say is because we're not doing what we're supposed to. There are employers that mistreat the people. And there was a particular company, where I worked for many years. Yeah, the only way that I was able to put up to it is I just didn't pay much attention to what they said. It didn't mean anything to me.

Yeah, and for them it's very easy to just fire you because they say, well, there's a lot of people who want to work, so it's easy for them to get rid of you.

Yeah, I would like for you guys to come over to our places of work so you could see the facts. And it's

just not the same of what you see on TV.

And also it's not the same to be watching or reading the information in a newspaper or watching a TV versus you coming and giving us the information in person, in our place of work.

Yeah, when it comes to regards to complaints, in this particular case I was working at a company, that I liked the company and I liked working there. I got injured at work and they gave me compensation, but did not allow me to go back to work.

They -- as to the benefits, they're happy for that, just they just work and they don't speak up. That's why they like to have people that don't know how to speak Spanish so they cannot -- well, they don't know their rights, so they cannot defend themselves or speak for themselves.

Yeah, we work very long hours. Our work is not easy. It's not like watching TV. And it's our work, but that's what we're used to doing.

Yeah, we -- the fact is that we need to work. We need the salaries to support our families. And they know that we have that need and that's why they take advantage of us.

Yeah, there's definitely a lack of organization.

And if you try to complain or something for your rights,

1 the whole company, you know, goes against you. 2 So, the easiest thing to do is just to leave the 3 company to avoid retaliation. Yeah, that's just one of the 4 So, there's no time to cover more. But that's 5 why I think it's a great idea to come to the place of work 6 and see how we're treated. 7 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. 8 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any of you have questions of --BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you. We'll turn 12 to --13 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's what -- having 14 information through the ad, TV or radio, they wouldn't work 15 because it's very hard for us. For instance, probably 16 information at 4:00 o'clock, it's very hard for us to be at 17 a certain time at home to watch it. Because we might be 18 able to leave early or work is to work, so it just wouldn't 19 work, not everybody would be able to see it. 20 Is it 4:00 in the morning or 4:00 in the 21 afternoon? 22 No, in the --MR. HERNANDEZ: 23 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: In the evening? 24 MR. HERNANDEZ: In the evening, yeah. 25 CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, the significance is what,

just that any program from then -- there's no particular program at 4:00, but any program from 4:00 on?

THE INTERPRETER: No, it's just an example he gave that not everybody --

MR. HERNANDEZ: (Through Interpreter) It's just an example of time. Just a time giving that --

MR. GOMEZ: Because workers can come out early or they can also come out pretty late. It depends on how the work and demand is going on at the time.

So, if people were to give information at a certain time, and then that specific day you were to come out at 6:00 in the afternoon then, obviously, you would have missed out on the information.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right.

MR. GOMEZ: So, that would have been a conflict between that.

17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, yes. Okay.

MR. HERNANDEZ: (Through Interpreter) In my particular work right now, I have to start tomorrow at 5:00 in the morning. And I don't have a particular time to start. I could start at 3:00 in the morning. Maybe I need to go at 4:00 or 5:00. And I don't know what time I'm going to get out. And I could be there, you know, until late. So, I never know the time, the hours I'm going to work.

Yeah, that's why I think it's better to have the verbal information. Because, for instance, I know that speaking Spanish, but I know my Spanish is not that good, and sometimes I have a hard time understanding if I'm reading something.

But if we have the opportunity to have somebody in person, then we could ask questions to get more information, to clear up, you know, any doubts that we may have.

So, that's what we do. We work hard because that's the only thing we know how to do. And it's hard for the workers. And sometimes we don't have too much of a choice.

And that's why I would like to encourage you to come over and check our places of work and see how we work.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MR. GOMEZ: Hello, my name is Jesus. I am 17 years old. And I am the son of an indigenous mother, as well. And she does speak Mixteco. Unfortunately, I don't. I had to learn the English language and the Spanish language. And, unfortunately, during that process I lost my native language.

And I just came here to retouch on a few things that I thought were important and speak out for my community. Unfortunately, many of my -- most of my

community is not here right now just because of the fear of them coming out and ICE being here, as well. So, that's a big contributor to take into account in this group right here.

Only very few people here are brave enough to come here and give you guys a little taste of their story.

I think that it is important for them to be educated and know their rights. Although that, realistically, most of them aren't from here but, yet, they do have rights as humans, and they should know them.

And I also have worked in the fields. I know the hard labor, the hard labor that goes into it. And I have also worked in retail stores. I have worked in building airplane parts. And I can honestly tell you that the fieldwork is the most intense work there is, and especially for the pay.

I've worked -- an example would be I've worked at building airplane parts at Aerospace, over here in Santa Maria, C&Z Zodiac, and I worked night shifts. And that was so much easier. There was water access just going around the corner, the rest rooms are clean all the time.

Compared to the fields where sometimes they would put the water like too far to even go get it, sometimes, or even the rest rooms. And I know that can cause many problems, even for health.

And I think -- sorry, I had to write it down here.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: That's okay.

MR. GOMEZ: I think that it is important for our farmworkers to be healthy in order for them to be more productive people in doing their job right. Well, also, they should not be taken advantage of.

Because I know that when I worked in the fields, like just because I had the advantage of knowing the language, knowing my rights, I did not go back to work and he told me go back to work, because I was thirsty. So, I went to get my water, you know. Like I'd rather like drink water than go out there and like dehydrate myself.

And another thing that you already touched on, and you guys said you have no real power over or control over, was the ICE facility here.

But I would definitely love it if you guys would tell me something, if you guys can at least help us out with having protection against farmworkers.

For example, say that -- just protect workers, that while at work they're not harassed or anything, or ICE would go over there and pick them up, as many times I've heard.

Another thing is just I think that people are scared, just because of the misinformation there is. And

like he was touching on, many of them don't have a concrete schedule. They have so messed up schedules, where they can go in at 3:00 in the morning, come out at 6:00 in the afternoon. And it all depends on how much work is needed that particular day or that week.

And I know that some of these companies have gotten away with a lot of things just because the people are afraid to speak up, to go look for a lawyer because they're going to get deported. That's the main fear here.

I mean, I would totally be afraid as well, you know. I have my kids, I have -- and stuff like that, and I don't want to lose them. I'm pretty sure, if you guys were in their shoes, you guys would do the same thing.

But -- I'm sorry, I'm just getting a little emotional on this. Because this is tough on me, too. My mom is there for me, so I'm here for her as well. And I was touching on some of these companies. My step-dad's cousin was killed at a company and they didn't do anything about it. And they got away with it.

Recently, this was about last Friday, I think, another person that used to live with us, he said he was being harassed at work by fellow workers, and the supervisor. And I guess he was fed up with the harassments one day and, unfortunately, he couldn't -- he didn't think about it and he just -- he had an incident at work where he

stabbed someone. And that was because -- and my opinion is because of the company not being there for their workers and not informing them, or even just sending them to another place. Because he quit that same job, but them the supervisor kept harassing him and kept calling him to come back. So, he finally did. Because he didn't have a job nowhere else, so he went back.

And then I guess he was still being harassed and he didn't know his rights. And then one day he just didn't come home and they told us that he was in custody for attempted murder.

And I think that if things like that keep happening to our people, this is just going to be a repeating cycle all over again for them. So, I think that they need to know their rights. And I think that the bosses should not take advantage, as well.

And I know many cases, as well, where when women are pregnant and stuff, they don't let them go back to work because they don't want to be liable for them, or anything like that. Or, when people get hurt, they don't want them to go back to their job because they don't want to be liable if something, again, happens to them.

And again, those are just some things I just wanted to tough on, again, and so you guys can also take into account that labor work is one of the hardest works

there is. And, of course, it's also a dangerous one. You can have heat strokes, accidents at work, and stuff like that. And then there's also people that take advantage of the workers.

And I don't know if you guys have any questions for me, I would love to answer some of your questions.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you. Thank you. What do you think is the best way for us to get information, say, to your mother about her rights as a farmworker?

MR. GOMEZ: I think that one of the most effective ways, as touching on him, is having a one-on-one conversation with someone, and having even a translator. Because most of our community does speak different dialects. There's, like they mentioned, the high Mixteco and low Mixteco. There's some distinctions between them, but there's still a language barrier, as well.

And if we were to have translators one-on-one, people would be less afraid and have more courage to ask the questions they want to ask, and address some of the issues that are happening at work, which may be illegal or not right. And then it would be brought up to you guys, as well, so you guys can be informed about it and have a -- just one-on-one, I'd say, and have a full on maybe week or two to help people inform them. To come like at a -- even

here, you know, have a lot of people that -- that if they want to come, but they also need to have the assurance that they will not be deported because that's the biggest fear here. And I totally understand them, you know. I was even afraid until recently. I got the AB540 Act, which is the DACA. And this is why I'm here. I chose to go to school because it is a thousand times better than doing the hard labor work they do. Which I admire. You know, like I can't do that. I tried it, but it's not for me. And I'd rather be here and support them. And even though they're doing hard work that they have rights, and they should be aware of it.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Well, thank you. Thank you very much.

MR. GOMEZ: All right, thank you guys for your time. I really appreciate you guys coming down here. I really wish that people would have known that there was no ICE office down here because I know that was a big conflict for people not coming over here.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, let me just say one thing about that. As I said before, in response to the previous question, we are -- the law, as it is now, makes the Federal law in the area of immigration supreme. And Federal law, thus far, has -- that law has trumped labor law.

1 But it is against the law for you to be 2 retaliated against for, for instance, participating in any 3 process of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board. And so, it's --4 5 It is illegal, but it still doesn't MR. GOMEZ: 6 stop people from doing it. 7 CHAIRMAN GOULD: It wouldn't stop the -- it 8 wouldn't stop a possible deportation, that's right. It 9 wouldn't stop a possible deportation. 10 MR. GOMEZ: Yes. And because it's happened and 11 they've seen it, and it's something nobody wants to 12 experience. Because like I said, people have families 13 here. 14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right. 15 MR. GOMEZ: You know, they come here to work. 16 And they don't want to go to work one day and not come back 17 and see their kids again. 18 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah. Well, that's why, of 19 course, the change in this law is so necessary, in my view. 20 MR. GOMEZ: Yes, and I agree. That's why I'm 21 bringing it up as well. 22 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. 23 All right, so any other questions? MR. GOMEZ: 24 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: No. Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

```
164
1
             MR. GOMEZ: All right, thank you guys for your
2
   time.
3
                               Thanks very much.
              CHAIRMAN GOULD:
                                                   Thank you.
4
             MR. GOMEZ:
                          I really appreciate you guys coming
5
                          I really wish our people knew that
   down to Santa Maria.
6
   there were no ICE officers that were going to be here.
7
   They would all be here, I promise.
8
              CHAIRMAN GOULD:
                               Thank you.
             BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you.
9
10
              (Applause)
             MR. BLANCO: Okay, so --
11
12
             BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: How many people do we have
13
   left?
14
              (Board conferring)
15
              CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, we'll take a ten-minute
16
           Thank you.
   break.
17
              (Off the record at 7:11 p.m.)
18
              (On the record at 7:23 p.m.)
19
             MR. BLANCO: Angel Ponce, Raul Diaz, Santiago
20
   Martinez.
21
              CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, welcome, welcome.
22
   right, whoever wants to go first can go first.
23
                          (Through Interpreter) My name is
             MR. PONCE:
24
   Angel.
           Good evening. My personal experience in working
25
   out in the field has been difficult and complicated. Yeah,
```

there's many things to say. There's the sad reality of working out in the field. I'll start with the long hours. I don't even know if I'm going to finish. We are always working based on the hours that they need us and the amount of work that we need to cover. It doesn't matter whether it was cold, or hot, or if I'm sick, I just have to finish my assignment.

And I know that there are many irregularities and I know that they don't respect my rights as a worker.

Yeah, my hours, whether it's lunch or breaks, they change constantly. I never know when I'm going to take them.

As I said, the first items they cover is the amount of work or whatever the product, the amount of work that we have to do, and then taking breaks or lunch that's secondary. You know, that comes after.

I always speak out and they always tell us that they have their right to request the work first, and that's -- that they're not doing anything wrong.

And like right now, the temperatures have been really high and when we work under those conditions, we need to take, you know, breaks. But they say that we don't really need the break from the heat because we look all right, and that it's not that hot, so we can just keep on working.

Yeah, if they asked us, you know, how we're

feeling, you know, one by one, we will express our opinion because it's really hard to work under those conditions.

Many times we're tired and we just need, you know, to rest.

Yeah, they say that they're acting according to the law. And I know that we're supposed to have certain hours, but they just don't follow them.

Yeah, and also there are irregularities regarding the tools or the equipment. Many times we request equipment or tools and they just deny it. And they, in fact, either humiliate us or just put us down because we're just requesting equipment or tools.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Fumigate?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Tools.

THE INTERPRETER: Equipment or tools.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Equipment or tools.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Okay.

THE INTERPRETER: Working tools.

MR. PONCE: (Through Interpreter) Yeah, were request, many times, tools because we just need them at that particular time. And they say that we have to wait until it's time to really get them.

Another problem is that the amount of money that I get paid on my paycheck versus the amount of hours that I worked, it never matches. It's always not what I think I should be getting on my paycheck.

Yeah, what I understand is how come they say -they always claim that -- when we complain about it, the
hours worked, they say that the machine is not working
properly. How come it's always wrong or the clock is in
their favor, not in our favor? You know, if it wasn't
working properly, sometimes we should be getting paid more,
right, instead of less. It's always less.

Yeah, and always the supervisor's always on -- he represented the employer. And if we complain or say anything, the supervisors say that we Mexicans just want to be pampered, you know.

Yeah, all we're trying to do is just state -- speak out and state our rights, but they react like if we were trying to, you know, attack them.

Yeah, and every time that we try to complain or try to get a raise, they tell us that they're really trying to give us that raise, but they never -- there's always an excuse, you know, that it's not the right time, or we have to wait until the end of the year, or six months, whatever.

Yeah, and another thing is that we, after eight hours, I think we should be getting paid overtime. And we sometimes work ten hours and we don't get paid overtime. And, particularly, if we work, you know, more than 10 hours, up to 12 hours, we should get an extra break.

Yeah, and like in particular, like on Sundays or

holidays, they never ask us if we want to work. They just take for granted that we're going to work and they just tell us to be there on holidays or Sundays. And they just say that if we don't want to work those days that they're just going to fire us.

So, they either give us layoff, or they fire us, or they just won't pay us, you know, for an extra day.

Yeah, so it's all right for somebody to intervene on our behalf because many times we're just working too many hours and they treat us like animals. We're just being over-worked.

Thank you and I hope that you guys can do something.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you.

MR. DIAZ: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon, my name is Raul Diaz. I'm a fieldworker. I've seen a lot of irregularities regarding the payment and also the time worked.

Like my coworker just said, in this country I know that the regular work time is eight hours. Anything after eight hours should be paid us overtime. But, however, when you work in the fields, overtime is anything after ten hours. So, I think this is unfair.

Secondly, many times we work the ten hours, but

they just give us like 15 minutes overtime. So, if you took into account that we worked after ten hours, 15 minutes overtime, maybe on a Monday or Tuesday, or different days during the week, and you add it up, then at the end of the week we should have some overtime pay. And those extra 15 minutes of our time, we take out of a lot of production.

So, however, our check does reflect the overtime of those 15 minutes extra that we worked because they -- you know, it was just very little and think that we're just going to ignore it. That's regarding the overtime pay.

And another thing is their treatment towards the workers. You know, if you came and told us what our rights are, and see for yourselves what's happening. I want to go -- one of our coworkers say that sometimes we don't have time to watch the news. Many of us that are here, we just finished working and just came to the meeting.

Many times the news are available in the morning and there's no way that you can watch them, you know, when you're working. It's very important you guys intervene and give the information to the workers so they know what their rights are.

When the company investigated about this, with regards to the checks, we told them that some workers got more hours and some got fewer hours. We told them we went

to the office to talk about the issue and they wouldn't give us an answer. They told us that it was our responsibility and our obligation to keep going back to the office until the issue was resolved.

I think as a worker, my responsibility is to go there only once. Yeah, the secretary or the person responsible is the one who has the obligation to follow through. Yeah, they told us it wasn't their responsibility, that it was our responsibility to be following up.

Yeah, that is just an issue. But, however, they are banking for they're working in the office, but when we go to the office we're losing time and money because we have to sometimes make a special trip just to go to the office.

They only concentrate on whatever's best for the company, but not for the worker. Yeah, and other coworkers, they don't speak out because they're afraid of retaliation and to be fired.

Yeah, another person that went to complain, the thing that they said, well, you're always the one who's complaining. So, that gives the impression that they don't want you to tell them or to speak out and tell them what's going on.

Yeah, so that we would like you to go to the

places of work so you can see for yourselves what's happening, and also give us the information that we need to know our rights and be confident on what our rights are, and claim our rights.

Yeah, if the companies know that they are being audited constantly, that means it's more likely to avoid irregularities.

Yeah, I wish these audits will be on a regular basis and not when there's just a claim from someone.

That's all, thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Can I just ask a question?

14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, sure.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: You stated that your coworkers are afraid of retaliation or being terminated. If our agency staff showed up at lunchtime to meet with workers and it was voluntary, do you think your coworkers would go? Or, do you think they would not go because of a fear of retaliation?

MR. DIAZ: I say half and half.

22 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

MR. MARTINEZ: Good afternoon. I'm glad you guys
are here. This is my first time here. A person from the

25 Cesar Chavez union asked me to be here and recommended --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: What is the gentleman's name?

MR. MARTINEZ: Armando. I don't know the last

name, just the first name is Armando.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MR. BLANCO: But it's not his name, though.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: No, that's not --

MR. MARTINEZ: I was working at a company. I don't know what happened, but then the foreman called me and sent me to the supervisor. I said hi to the supervisor, but he didn't answer the greeting. So, I greeted him a second time and he said I have nothing to talk to you, so just stand right there.

So I told him, you know, you're responsible for us workers, how come you don't want to respond? Yeah, just I don't need to talk anything to you, so just stand right there and somebody from human resources is going to come to get your information.

So, I asked him why do I have to wait? I mean, is there anything wrong that I have done? Is this a warning or -- so, he told me I have nothing to talk to you, so just stand right there. And I wait for 30 minutes for human resources and they never came.

So, I went home and I told one of my kids. I asked him to take me to the office. I asked for somebody from human resources. So, he told me that I had some

problems from the union when I started working. So, at the meetings that they have in human resources is like this one here, that they ask a person a question and each person answers. I asked him if I had a warning and so I gave him my phone number. So, I waited Monday and Tuesday and I asked if I was allowed to go back to work. They never called me and then it became Wednesday and then early in the morning on Wednesday the human resources called me, and I told them that I would be there in half an hour.

So, they just told me that they laid me off. I said, that's okay, just give me my final paycheck. So, they had an envelope. They had all the papers ready for an employment, my check was included. They told me that I was fired. So, I said that's okay.

So, I want home and then I went to a notary public's office --

17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: He did what?

THE INTERPRETER: Notary public's office.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: A notary public.

20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh.

MR. MARTINEZ: (Through Interpreter) So, I got Armando's phone number from the Cesar Chavez union. He gave me an attorney's phone number. So, I called him and first I thought it was a private attorney, but it turned out to be a state attorney.

1 I was upset because I couldn't find a branch of 2 the Cesar Chavez union around here. Originally, I wanted 3 to file a claim. But because the supervisor didn't give me 4 an answer or a reason, then I just decided to file a 5 lawsuit. 6 One day, I wanted to study something regarding 7 immigration, because most of the people here are from 8 Mexico. And I tracked through the radio that there's 9 immigration is around Santa Maria, that there's an office 10 close by. Many of us are undocumented workers. 11 Yeah, being a male I don't mind, but I'm 12 concerned when it comes to women. Many times people are 13 afraid to go out, or leave their homes to go to see the 14 doctor. Also, the State Police, we can see that they're 15 around and that's one of the reasons why I came to talk to 16 you. And that's all I have to say. 17 Thank you. CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. 18 and your name is Santiago Martinez? 19 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, Santiago Martinez. 20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, okay. Thank you, thank 21 All right, thank you. you. 22 Is there anything that either of you want to say? 23 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Yes, thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, thank you very much

25

for coming.

(Applause)

MR. BLANCO: So, Francisca Pacheco, Paty Cantu, Olga Santos.

MS. SANTOS: Good afternoon. First of all, I would like to thank you guys for your time for coming out here. I really appreciate it. And I know a lot of the people that are here today appreciate it.

As you heard, many of the people that were here today work in the fields. I, myself, work in the fields.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Could you tell us your name?

MS. SANTOS: Olga Santos.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Olga Santos. Okay, thank you.

MS. SANTOS: The last time I worked in the field I was really disappointed. A lot of the workers, including myself, didn't know my rights. I didn't know that what was wrong and what was right. Everything, for me, it seemed like why are the growers, why are the supervisors treating us this way. And every time somebody would stand up to speak up for their rights, they were fired.

I, of course, didn't know as a child and would always speak up. I would always say something and I would get myself and my parents fired. We got fired from so many places, at that time I couldn't understand. I was like, why do we have to keep looking for a new place to work?

And my parents, after the last time they said,

1 you can no longer come and work with us because you keep 2 getting us fired. And I said, all I'm saying is I'm just 3 asking, you know, why don't they have water for us? 4 don't we have shade? Why are they treating us this way? 5 Again, I didn't know. You know, I didn't know my 6 rights. I didn't know, as many of the workers don't know. 7 If we had somebody that can come and talk to us, 8 show us what our rights are, we would be able to stand 9 together and advocate for ourselves and our rights. 10 (Speaking Spanish) 11 And I'm going to say it a little in Spanish 12 because I want my fellow workers to know that I'm here for 13 them, too. 14 (Speaking Spanish) 15 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Could we get it --16 THE INTERPRETER: She's saying what she said in 17 English. 18 MS. SANTOS: Yeah, I'm just saying what I said. 19 That, you know, it hurts and it breaks m y heard that 20 because you speak up for your right, you end up getting 21 fired. And that's one of the reasons that a lot of the 22 workers don't speak up. A lot of the workers that I've 23 invited today didn't want to come because they're scare. 24 They fear of losing their jobs and it shouldn't be like

that. You shouldn't have to go to work and have that fear

25

of losing your job.

I know you guys sit there right now and you guys know your rights. You guys know that you guys can't get fired for saying certain things. But imagine being in our shoes and not being able to speak up for your rights because you know if you say something, you're going to lose your job.

Imagine going to work every morning, leaving your kids early in the morning, getting off late, and not getting paid your overtime.

People that work in the fields don't make a lot of money. But, yet, the work that we do, being out in the sun, whether it's rain or shine, we have to -- you know, you're picking strawberries, you know, all day long or you're in the greens. No matter what job you do, it's hard work. Yet, you don't get treated right. They step on you. You have no rights, you have no voice.

Yes, there's places that do treat the workers right, but there's those that don't. And that's what we want, we want all the growers, all the companies to respect the workers, to allow them to speak up for their rights.

That's all we want. We want to be treated like humans. We want to be treated equal. We want to be able to have a voice. Is that too much to ask? It could be. I may be just, you know, day dreaming. Maybe I'm way out,

but that's all we want. We want to be respected. We want to be able to go to our families and say, you know what, I worked really hard, I made my money, but I was treated right.

Not going home and saying, man, I was treated horribly. There was no water, there was no shade. I can't even speak up for my rights because I'm going to get fired. It shouldn't be like that.

I get emotional when I speak about this because there was one time, this was, what, in 2000 and -- I want to say 2002, when we were working. And across from where I was working, on the other field, they were spraying. There was a patch they were spraying. And, of course, there I am again, why are they spraying? It smells. It's giving me a headache.

My mom was like, "Mija, stop, be quiet, you're going to get us fired." And I said, "Why? And, you know, it's giving me a headache."

My parents looked at me, in my eyes, and looked down. They said, "I'm sorry, there's nothing I can do for you," and they kept working.

I don't know if you guys could do that, look into your kids' eyes and say, son or daughter, you know what, I'm sorry, we have to keep working. We can't lose our jobs, and keep on working.

If my parents lost that job, we wouldn't be able to pay the rent, we wouldn't be able to get food. And so, that's why they'd rather be quiet and not say anything.

And maybe that's why I'm so passionate and emotional about this topic because I don't think it's right. And I'm not the only one that was affecte3d by it. There was a whole crew just recently.

I'm really known in the community by a lot of indigenous communities because I speak Mixteco, myself. I got a call from I want to say three members of a crew, where a lady had fainted. This was recently. She was not taken to the hospital, 911 was not called out. The workers were calling me, hey, this lady passed out, we think she's dead.

And, well, the supervisor's going to call 911?

No, they're just looking at her and they're just like testing her, like for her to wake up.

And I'm like, did she wake up? They're like, she's not moving.

I quickly got on the phone and called other agencies to see if they won't go out there. And I don't know if I can say the name of the agency, but we ended up going out there. And the lady that was in charge, the supervisor, had no idea of what the procedure was.

She was like, well, I'm new. I've only been

here -- but that's a lie, because the crew, the workers have told me that she's been there for some time. Yet, she didn't know what the process was, that she needed to call 911, what the symptoms were for heat stroke. She didn't have her first aid kit. It was in her truck, which was pretty far, a far distance.

They had called this lady's husband to pick her up and take her home. And afterwards they were saying, oh, it's because her blood pressure dropped. That day was really hot. Is that right for them to do that? I don't know. Only you guys know.

But will the workers try to say something? No, because they're scared.

The lady, she was going to come and speak today, but she was fearful, amongst other workers, because they say they can't afford losing their jobs, especially because the work is starting to slow down. They said, I need to work and make as much as I can to save up. Because when this job, the season ends, I'm not going to have money to pay my rent and my groceries.

I don't know. Thank you for your time. I appreciate it. But I do hope that you guys do allow aiding any unions to come out and talk to the workers, educate them about their rights, and let them know that they're not alone and that there can be change.

Thank you for your time, I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Thank you very much.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you very much, indeed.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I'd like to ask a question. Thank you so much for coming out and sharing your story and the story of your family. And I know it's probably the story of many other workers.

You talked, and other workers have talked about the fear. And as we've shared, it is our goal to inform as many workers as possible of their rights and what we can do for them.

My concern is if we do that, if we go out to the fields, is there such a fear that they will not voluntarily come and listen. And even if they do know their rights, as a group, do you think that would give them the power, then, to file a charge?

Because the only way we get involved and the way we can enforce the law, it unfortunately required employees to do that. So, I just really want your perspective on that.

MS. SANTOS: I've seen the fear is really big, unless they can be assured that they're going to be protected. Like I said, even a lot of people that came in, while they were signing in they were scared. They were

scared to give out their name. They were scared, like when the media was here, they're like, oh, my goodness, we're going to be on TV.

And I said, I asked the reporter to please blur out their faces. Because this is my people, and I always say my people because I used to work there. I used to work in the fields and I know how big the fear is because I experienced it. My parents, they didn't dare to come today. They still have that fear. Even though I've talked to them, I've tried to encourage them to stand up for themselves, the fear is so big that they can't.

I respect and I admire all of the people that came out today because it took a lot for them to come to this meeting. And especially those that spoke. A lot of the ones that came in today wanted to speak, but they wouldn't dare. They said, no, my name is going to be on there, my information is going to be on there. Anybody can get access to it. That's how big the fear is.

And regards to being voluntary, I think if you guys required and said everybody, I'm going to talk to every employee and like they won't have to be, oh, you know, I was singled out, or I went out. No, I think if you guys go out there and be like, no, all the employees are required to talk to us and everything is confidential.

Because again, if you go out and they have to

give out their name, they're not going to say anything.

This crew that I'm talking about, this incident recently, after we had left and the workers that had told me that they told the workers, which one of you guys -- which one of you guys made that call? Why did this agency come out here? One of you guys made that call and one of you guys are going to get fired.

Of course, none of the workers said anything.

You know, a lot of them may know that's wrong that they can
be told that. But are they going to say and speak up? No,
because of the fear.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

MS. PACHECO: (Through Interpreter) My name is Francisca Pacheco. I want to thank you for being here for us.

I'm a field worker. Since I came to the States,
I've been working in the fields. Sorry if I'm emotional.
In the time I've been working in the fields, I've seen a
lot of unfair treatments. I've seen a lot with my
relatives. On one occasion, a cousin fractured, broke his
fingers. They didn't give him any compensation. They kept
him working, they didn't even let him rest for a while.

So, the finger lost its motion and it's just numb. They denied him one day rest, they just kept him

working. Yeah, it was terrible. I know he had some protection, but it was something terrible about his finger. And they didn't give him even one dollar of compensation.

Another occasion my own daughter, she got her hand cut on a machine. Took her to the hospital. As soon as we came back from the hospital, went back to the office and they wanted to keep her working there. And I was working, and I didn't let her work and I took her home.

The next day the supervisor ordered that she had to go back to work. She couldn't go back to work because of her wrist was so swelled up. She didn't get paid for the day that she was home. And when she called the insurance and she explained to them what happened. They said that the insurance was going to send the payment on the part of the company and they never paid her, and they owed her hours. All the time that she was disabled, they had her working eight hours. And whenever she had to go see the doctor, she didn't get paid for that time.

Yeah, they prescribed therapy, you know, physical therapy on her hand, but they said they wouldn't pay for that time. That she had to leave work, but she wasn't going to get paid.

Yeah, when we started working in that company, they made us sign a document stating that if anything happens during our work that we had to reach an agreement

between the worker and the supervisor, if there were any problems with the company.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: If anything happens, what was that again? If anything happens, what? What did she say?

THE INTERPRETER: That there has to be an

agreement between the supervisor and the worker if there were problems with the company.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: What was the agreement?

MS. PACHECO: (Through Interpreter) Yeah, the paper stated that if we had any kind of injury at the work that we couldn't use an attorney. Yeah, that they would send us to their doctor, but the doctor doesn't care, you know. The doctor, they just send us back to work. And if anything happens to us, the paper said that we couldn't try to look for another doctor.

Yeah, they provider work, like in a cooler and also on the field. And the people work in the cooler, after eight hours they get paid overtime. But the people that work in the field, they don't get overtime. You have to work ten hours before you can start earning or, you know, have the right to get overtime.

Yeah, I would like you to convey this message to the workers so we know our rights and we can defend ourselves.

And I really wish that they could pay us overtime

after eight hours because working on the fields is just really hard. That's all, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CANTU: My name is Paty Cantu and I've lived in Santa Maria my whole life. I lived in Clovis for a few years. I worked for the Department of Health Services as an auditor, and I did seven counties. I, myself, was in charge of auditing more than 400 clinics.

And I think that in doing audits, I think that it's really smart for you guys to come out and do audits. As an auditor, I didn't call myself an auditor. I called myself a facility evaluator, because auditor tends to scare a lot of people. Like, obviously, the Farm Bureau earlier, they don't want you guys there. That's obvious.

I also work for a grower. And I don't think it's all of the grower's fault that this is happening. I think there's like a middle person in between. It could be the contractors, the supervisors. So, I don't think it's all on the grower. I think the grower tends to turn a blind eye and kind of say, just pick my crop. That's money on those trees, that's money on the ground that I need in my pocket.

So, they tend to kind of just let that, you know, whoever's in charge of the people, take care of the people.

And not all growers are bad.

But I'm assuming you guys are here to educate all of the employees about their laws, and their rights. And with the grower that I work, I actually go out in the field and I tell all of the workers, you know, when did you start? How's it going? Did you take your break?

I'm kind of like my own inside auditor because I was an auditor, and so I like to see how the people are being treated.

And I was -- I'm overwhelmed at today's meeting. You know, I had to go pick up my kids. And I don't want to pick up my kids, I wanted to stay here and hear what was going on. Because there's obviously injustice going on. And Sangria seems to be a really hot spot for exploitation of its workers.

And I'm really glad that you guys came here because this is the first time that we've had, actually, somebody come and listen to us that can do something about it. I don't think that anybody, you know, has ever come and actually asked the workers what -- you know, do you know what your rights are?

And I think that it's real important to educate them. And I think it starts with you guys building a relationship with the growers and with the supervisors, getting the supervisors trained and making sure that the

supervisors follow through as far as teaching all of the employees.

And not necessarily -- when I was an auditor, I always tried to build a relationship with a lot of the clinics, and we had a lot of, obviously, problem clinics that didn't follow the rules. And I learned to build a relationship with those clinics and kind of teach them, you know, I'm here to help you. I'm here to help you be the best. I'm here to help you, you know, do what my goal was as an auditor.

I think here the goal is we need to educate all of our agricultural workers that they have rights and that, you know, their lives are valuable.

The eight-hour rule, I think that's -- that's like a slap in the face for them. They're out in the sun all day. And after ten hours they get, you know, overtime? That's just -- and I know that's the law, I understand that. But I think that, you know, growers and other businesses, if that's not the law, yet, they need to stand up and say I'm going to pay my employees that are out there eight hours. You know, and after eight hours I'm going to pay the overtime, even if there's not the law, yet. But they need to start that standard until you guys can make that, you know, actually the law.

There's a lot of steps that need to be taken on

your guys' part, as far as teaching all of us. And then coming out, once you do build the relationship, then do unannounced visits.

If you see that there's, you know, some people that are kind of bumping heads with you guys and are saying, no, you can't come on my property, obviously they don't want you there for a reason.

If they're doing something right, they're going to welcome you with open arms and say how can I make my business better? How can I help my employees want to come to work and enjoy working, and not have the fear that a lot of the agricultural workers have here right now? There's a lot of fear in Santa Maria.

Since the ICE building came, I think -- you know, the ICE, when the ICE building did come, I think that kind of stepped up the fear for a lot of people around.

But I think it's made some good thing.

Obviously, there's a lot of the children that are up here talking on that behalf. Because before, we didn't think about it. But now that it's here, we actually have a lot of leaders coming out and speaking up.

So, you know, I don't know what -- how many staff you guys have or how you guys separate your counties, or how you guys regulate, but I think auditing is the best way to do it. Be out there, build relationships and, you know,

1 let them know that you're there to help them. you're not 2 the bad quy. You're not the auditor that's coming to say 3 you did this wrong, you did this wrong. 4 I think if you tell them you're doing this right, 5 that's the good way to get the growers on your side. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you. 7 Now, are there any questions at this point? 8 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 9 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: I have a comment. 10 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you. 11 MR. BLANCO: So, when did this ICE facility open? 12 MS. CANTU: You know, I think it was about -- it 13 just opened this year, but there was a lot of controversy. 14 We had, actually, over 4,000 people come out to one of the 15 city hall meetings. It was record numbers. Never had we 16 had that many people come out in regards to, you know, 17 something that was going to be built. 18 But it was going to be built, regardless, because 19 obviously the city had it planned. But they had a lot of 20 opposition to it. 21 MR. BLANCO: And so, it's a detention facility, 22 is that what it is? 23 It is a detention facility. MS. CANTU: 24 BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: I was going to make a

I will make a comment. First of all, thank you

25

comment.

very much.

In growing up in a farmworker family, my experience was the reverse. My mother would speak up and she is speaking up -- and this was as a secretary, as a clerical worker. She didn't get fired, but she quit her job.

So she came home. I was the eldest daughter and I was so worried about -- because at that point she was raising four of us by herself. And I was so worried, as the eldest daughter, how were we going to pay the rent? I was aware at the age of 11 or 12, how were we going to buy food, how is the rent going to be paid?

My mother, she just kept saying don't worry, don't worry, I'll find something else. And she did.

But I remember that keenly. And the feeling of -- the feeling of no safety, no safety net, and vulnerable. Vulnerable.

So, I thank you for sharing your stories and --

MS. SANTOS: May I speak?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, yes, please.

MS. SANTOS: And I think that's one of the things that a lot of the kids' parents -- because that's what I can remember about my childhood, I was always worried. And again, after that my parents had told me that one time that I was no longer able to go with them, I felt horrible

because I know that was -- that meant one less income.

Because I was helping out and my income counted.

But that's a lot of the feeling that the kids have. And, again, the parents don't want to worry the kids. But a lot of them, they'd just rather keep quiet and keep working.

But that's why I'm so thankful that you guys are here and I do hope that you guys do the right decision and allow people to come in and show the workers what their rights are. The ability that they can either become a union, or whatever, but for them to be able to know what their rights are and to not have that fear. Because it's horrible getting up every day, going to work, knowing that you can't speak up for rights because if you do, you're going to lose your job.

And I hope, and I do hope that you guys really take that in consideration. A lot of these people that are here today came right after their work. Some of them didn't even have dinner because they told me that. They go, oh, man, I just came right after. I showered really quick. Some of them didn't even, they just came right over here.

And that's why I say I respect them because they had the courage to come in today. That takes a lot for our people to come out.

(Speaking Spanish)

Our lives are in your guys' hands. You guys have the power to change it, to make things a little better.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Well, now, what we want to do, I just want to make a few concluding comments. I think I'm told by counsel that you are the last people who are speaking here. And so, what I want to do is conclude this and really speak on two -- in two areas.

One is that to speak, personally, I must tell you that I am the great-grandson of slaves. And that my great-grandparents lived under a system where we were not even regarded as human beings under the constitutional system of the United States, were not regarded -- the Supreme Court of the United States said that we were completely without protection in this country.

And my great-grandparents escaped from slavery and made a life for themselves and tried to shape their own destiny.

Now, I went into law school because of a very important decision by the United States Supreme Court in 1954. Dred Scott had become history because the post-Civil War Constitutional Amendments invalidated Dred Scott, even though some of the Republican candidates seem not to think that is the case.

But the major decision that made me go into the law was a decision called Brown against Board of Education, which held that segregation by the races was unconstitutional.

There was similar litigation here in California, involving segregation of people from Mexico from Anglos here, in California.

And so, all of my work has focused upon labor law. How can we give dignity to people under a system which has historically denied dignity?

Now, so I want to tell you that because we are the most -- this system that existed, that I saw and heard about since I was a child, and experienced, was the greatest degradation, except possibly the treatment of the Native Americans in this country, ever witnessed here, in North America.

Now, we are a small agency. I was Chairman of a much larger agency in Washington, under -- when President Clinton appointed me there.

And then, Governor Brown asked me, a year and a half ago, to come to Sacramento and to Chair this relatively small agency. It was much bigger 40 years ago, in the days of Cesar Chavez, and the birth of the United Farmworkers, and the birth of the labor movement.

But a lot, as we know, has changed. And that's

why we've heard the absence of protection is why we've -and the absence of awareness of protection is why we've
heard so many of the stories that we have heard today, from
so many people. And they are powerful, eloquent stories
that I don't think any of us will ever forget.

Now, we're trying to do, trying to do very many things with this relatively small agency. But I told Governor Brown that if I couldn't make the people in this State aware of their rights, then I couldn't do anything at all.

And so, that's been my approach to the subject. I don't know, I tried to talk to the young, very bright high school student who spoke here a short while ago, and give her some estimate as to what would happen and when it could happen. Our law, itself, is not as strong as I would like to see it be.

The National Labor Relations Act is not as strong. Both statutes should have been amended long ago and strengthened. But it does offer some element of justice.

I want to point out, as I've heard so many of these stories, one thing about the limits of law. Our law is not only administered by a small agency that doesn't have a large staff, but also it's not focused upon what we call -- I don't know, I guess it would translate literally

into Spanish, substantive rights.

That is, the State has regulations about hours of work, and overtime pay, you see. And I heard many of you speak about this. And it may be that one of the things that we'll look at is whether we can work in some measure in concert with other agencies that do have control over it.

What our agency is concerned with is the basic right of workers to stand up and to protest, and to organize, and to join with one another. This Act protects so-called concerted activities, which are designed to protest and improve employment conditions. The kinds of things that you have been talking about, overtime, the failure to pay promptly, and the like, and the firing. Protest about it.

But other agencies control the substance, the rules, the basic substantive rules. We deal with the procedure. The right of people to band together and to speak up, and to protest. And if they wish to do so, to join into labor organizations.

I think that we're going to make a great effort to both realize these rights and to accomplish this goal. Which seems like just a simple, elementary idea, the idea that workers should know what their rights are. But you see how controversial it is.

And we will be meeting with one another and trying to discuss this, and trying to move forward with one another, and to be people who you can look to in asserting your rights to stand up and protest, and stand together.

And so, I want to say -- I want to say what a great opportunity this has been for us to hear from so many of you directly. And I must say, we've had three of these meetings and this is the third of them, and I have never heard so much in the way of very graphic, eloquent testimony. And you've given us a charge.

And I want to thank you very much for being here. And I wish you Godspeed. And I hope that we can do something.

You know, my father used to say to me, when I represented some black workers in Detroit, who were in a big fight when they were discriminated against because of a denial of fair testing, denial of promotional rights, they were put in lower level jobs and other people were promoted over them, he would always say to me, "Bill, when are those guys going to get their money? When are they going to get their money? What did you do for them today?"

And so, we'll try to do something for you. And we will do the best we can. I will do the best I can.

That is why I took this job, when Governor Brown called me.

That is all I'm interested in doing. And I will do the best I can. And I know I speak for my colleagues in that they would say the very same thing. Thank you very much, all of you. And this meeting is concluded. I really, very much appreciate it. (Applause) (Off the record at 8:31 p.m.) 

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of September 2015.

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of September, 2015.



Myra Severtson Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET\*\*D-852