STATE OF CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD		
In the Matter of: )		
PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE )		
COMMENT ON WORKSITE ACCESS )		
)		
SALINAS SPORTS COMPLEX		
1034 NORTH MAIN STREET		
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA		
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2015		
Reported By:		
Peter Petty		

### APPEARANCES

#### BOARD MEMBERS

William B. Gould, Chairman

Genevieve Shiroma

Cathryn Rivera-Hernandez

#### STAFF

J. Antonio Barbosa, Executive Secretary

Eduardo Blanco, Senior Legal Advisor

## PERSONS PRESENTING ORAL COMMENT

Mariano Alvarez, CRLA

Ellen Lake, Esq., Former ALRB attorney

Jason Resnick, Esq., Western Growers Association

Lidia Bautista

Maria Amalia Gonzales

Jose Luis Arevalo

Rafael Rodriguez

Laura Caballero

Guadalupe Sandoval

Dominic Forrest, DRI

Ana Toledo, Esq., Noland, Hamerly, Etienne & Hoss

Anjelica

Pete Maturino

# APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Triqui Panel Members

Gerardo Diaz

Jose de Jesus

Miguel de Jesus

Julio de Jesus

Jacinto Diaz

Antonio Martinez

Gonzalo Najera

Steve Scaroni, Fresh Harvest

Juan Carlos Ramirez

Anjelica Bautista

Luis Vasquez

Santiago Hernandez

Pablo Gregorio

Daniela Santiago

Anselma Barrios

Edgar Urias

Jim Bogart, Esq., Grower Shipper Association

Crisencio Diaz

# APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Maria Alvarado

Horacio Ramirez

Jose Aguilar

Daisy Soto

Sandy Olvera

Edith Ruiz

Armando Lopez

Gregorio Bautista

Julio de Jesus

6  ${\tt I} \quad {\tt N} \quad {\tt D} \quad {\tt E} \quad {\tt X}$ PAGE Oral Comment Jacinto Diaz 102, 106 Antonio Martinez 103 Gonzalo Najera 105 Steve Scaroni, Fresh Harvest 108 Juan Carlos Ramirez 118 123 Anjelica Bautista 125 Luis Vasquez Santiago Hernandez 126 127 Pablo Gregorio Daniela Santiago 129 Anselma Barrios 131 Edgar Urias 132 Jim Bogart, Esq., Grower Shipper Association 134 Crisencio Diaz 139 Maria Alvarado 141 Horacio Ramirez 143 Jose Aguilar 143 143 Daisy Soto Sandy Olvera 153

	7
<u>INDEX</u>	
	PAGE
Oral Comment	
Edith Ruiz	154
Armando Lopez	155
Gregorio Bautista	156

### PROCEEDINGS

1:30 p.m.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Gould. And I am the Chairman of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board, having served as the Chairman of the National Labor Relations Board in the 90s.

And with me, here to my left, are Board Members Genevieve Shiroma and Cathryn Rivera-Hernandez, who have been with the Board from '99 and '03, respectively.

In particular today, this afternoon, I want to thank my Senior Legal Advisor, Eduardo Blanco, who's sitting immediately to my right, as well as my Counsel, Karen Snell who, regrettably, has to be absent because of the illness of her husband.

And the two of them have really worked hard to put together this series of hearings, identifying people, getting the word out, arranging so much of the hearing process on this important matter involving access for the Agricultural Labor Relations Board to advise farmworkers and supervisors of the rights guaranteed by the Agricultural Labor Relations Act of 1975, and the procedures to be employed in that connection.

As usual, I'm grateful to Executive Secretary

Antonio Barbosa, whom I always refer to as the heart, and
the soul, and the brains of this agency for three and a

half decades. He and Mr. Blanco came to this Agency three and a half decades ago. And Mr. Barbosa has coordinated these hearings with his normal and extraordinary skill, and dedication.

Now, these hearings, commencing in Fresno last week, and continuing both here in Salinas and in Santa Maria tomorrow, and we are making plans to go to Oxnard in October, are designed to obtain the full public input into this process. A process which already began with a meeting which I held with our newly created Ad Hoc Labor Management Committee, in Sacramento, on August 3 of this year.

And I would like to thank the members of this Ad Hoc Committee, some of whom are here, I see are here today, for getting out the word on the hearings and for appearing before the Board, and presenting public comment on this important subject.

These hearings focus upon worker access, worker education and access to promote such exclusively. While there are many other matters pending before our Board and the courts, relating to the Agricultural Labor Relations Act, these September hearings are not concerned with such matters. And any commentary about them, of course is out of order as not being germane to the proceedings.

However, any number of these other matters can be raised to the Board's attention at our regularly noticed

public hearings, public meetings held in Sacramento.

To ensure that farmworkers are fully able to participate in this process, we have made arrangements to have Spanish, Mixtec. And this afternoon Triqui and Hmong interpreters on hand, who are available to provide language assistance, either in the presentation of public comment to the Board, or in listening to the conduct of the hearing, itself.

The interpreters will be in the back, as they are here, one is here, and will offer simultaneous interpreting as needed.

As I said, on August 3, at the time of our Ad Hoc Committee meeting, and in earlier testimony that I gave to the Legislature this year, this exercise flows directly from the invitation provided us, or extended to us by the Court of Appeal for the Fourth District in the San Diego Nursery Company case, to the Agricultural Labor Relations Board to engage in rulemaking regarding worker education and access to private property. In San Diego, the focus was upon the worker education program devised by the Board in the 1970s relating to the Board's election machinery.

Now, much has changed since then. From 1979, at the time of that exercise through 2015. The focus of the Board at that time was on the election machinery, itself. That's not our focus here, and here today.

Although there are some decertification petitions pending before the Board, there are no representation petitions calling for certification before our agency, and none of them filed since I became Chairman a year and a half ago.

But most of the unfair labor practice docket at present consist of protests by unorganized workers, dealing with employment conditions. That, for the most part, I have nothing whatsoever to do with union representation.

That, itself, is a big change from 1979.

Finally, before we commence, I would be remiss if I didn't take notice of some of the experience I've had over these past 54 years, focusing mainly on the National Labor Relations Act as a practitioner, an academic, an arbitrator, and a government servant in the field of labor law. And that is that the most people are unaware, be they lawyers, as well as lay people, that the National Labor Relations Act and the Agricultural Labor Relations Act, which is -- which mirrors it in major respects, are fundamentally something that is concerned with concerted activities.

That is the bedrock of the NLRA and that is the bedrock of the National -- of the Agricultural Labor Relations Act, concerted activities to protest what workers deem to be low wages, inferior or unsatisfactory conditions

of employment.

And in the private sector, generally, under the National Labor Relations Act, the same holds true. About 80 years after that statute was passed, our statute has been on the books for 40 years, now, it remains the case that despite the distribution of a great deal of written material, and remedial notices relating to violations that this basic reality, that the public is not aware that our statute is concerned with protests about employee conditions. And it is not simply a labor management statute remains a reality.

And so I, and my colleagues, welcome all input and advise from all interested parties, lay people, lawyers, farmworkers, growers, unions and others. And we appreciate your willingness to participate in this important process.

Witnesses will be called by Mr. Blanco. If you haven't given your name to us, please do so now. And you'll be asked to make some kind of opening statement. Questions will be put to you by the Board members, sitting to my left. I may have a few questions. Mr. Blanco, acting as our Counsel, will have questions for you.

And if you have -- you want to try to keep your comments brief so that we can hear from everyone here. If you have additional comments, you're welcome to submit them

to the Board, to Mr. Barbosa, the Executive Secretary, in writing any time before October 15.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

25

After the Board completes this fact-finding process, we'll hold the public meeting where proposals about regulations will be voted upon. And you'll have another chance to express your views at that particular time.

So now, we can begin. And, Mr. Blanco, if you would call the first witness.

MR. BLANCO: Yes, our first speaker today is Mr.
Mariano Alvarez.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Mr. Alvarez, if you would please be seated here and wherever is comfortable for you.

MR. BLANCO: So, Mr. Alvarez, can you identify yourself and where you work?

MR. ALVAREZ: Sure. Mariano Alvarez, California
Rural Legal Assisting.

MR. BLANCO: And how long have you worked for them?

20 MR. ALVAREZ: This year it will be about nine 21 years.

MR. BLANCO: And you worked in, as I understand, the Indigenous Project?

MR. ALVAREZ: That's right, correct.

MR. BLANCO: So, first, we would like to get your

own opinion as to whether or not you are supportive of our efforts, the Board's efforts to create a new regulation that would allow our staff to visit agricultural worksites for the purposes of educating farmworkers and field supervisors.

(Off-record conversation.)

MR. ALVAREZ: I support your regulation that the ALRB is going to -- is planning to have in the future. So, based on our community, different things that we need on those education, I support.

BOARD MEMBER SHIROMA: Can we hear about his work?

MR. BLANCO: So, with the Indigenous Project, the Board received a lot of information regarding that from Ms. Maureen Keffer and Mr. Fausto Sanchez in Fresno. But maybe you could tell us a little about your duties that you performed for the Indigenous Project. And also, in that regard, what languages do you speak?

MR. ALVAREZ: Sure. I am from Oaxaca, so I speak Triqui Bujo, indigenous language from Oaxaca. In my work, at CLRA, pretty much we do a lot of outreach, education, presentation to our community about their rights, laborers right, housing right, school right. All the things that we're receiving an invitation from schools, migrant education. We choose a community meeting. If they invite

us or we go work on the issues, or what kind of presentation they need. So, we go and talk about that to our community.

MR. BLANCO: And how do you accomplish this outreach? What methods do you use to do it?

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, I speak the language, the indigenous language. Usually, I go and I ask in our community what language they prefer to be present that presentation. So, usually, they prefer to have me speak the indigenous language of Triqui, because most of my work is with the indigenous community.

MR. BLANCO: And other than Triqui, are there other indigenous language spoken here in the Salinas Valley?

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes, we have Mixtecos, Zupetecs, Chatinos, and NahvaH. Also, we have Purepecho. But most of the workers that I see here is Triqui.

MR. BLANCO: And there are various types of crops grown here in the Salinas Valley. Are there ones that primarily find Triqui farmworkers in?

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. In our indigenous community, most of our community work with strawberry, lettuce, cauliflower, broccoli, green beans. So, you can -- pretty much you can find them anywhere.

MR. BLANCO: And over the past ten years, how

many indigenous farmworkers do you think you've had contact with? Or the past 9 years?

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, based on my research, most of -- there's about 200,000 indigenous farmworkers.

MR. BLANCO: And that's been in large meetings, small meetings, in homes, et cetera?

MR. ALVAREZ: Anywhere. Anywhere, when we do the outreach, field (indiscernible), school presentation, community meeting at the house or in park, anywhere.

MR. BLANCO: Now, we've received, in Fresno, a number of individuals who said that the farmworkers, including indigenous farmworkers, had access to the internet and Smart phones, and we should be able to use those methods of educating them. And what's your thoughts about that?

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, based on my education outreach and outreach, with our community, the indigenous community, most of them do not speak the language, the Spanish or English language. So, it will be very hard for them to go through even in the Smart phone or by the internet. So, also, the Smart phone is very expensive and computer is very expensive. And to have the access to the internet is very expensive, too.

So, even if they have those equipment, it will be hard for them to get the access or to know how to read what

we have in the computer.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, other questions?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Yes, thank you, Senor

Alvarez, thank you for being here.

Can you tell us about your story, how you are here in the Salinas Valley and now working for CRLA for nine years? Your story on how that happened with you?

MR. ALVAREZ: Sure. Sure. I came in Santa Rosa. All of my family is in Santa Rosa. All my family worked in the field in Santa Rosa. But I start involved in the community because the need of our indigenous community, they don't have access of anything of what we have in the community.

So, at that time have been listening to me. I work in the field, so that's why I started both with the community. And I feel that I have the obligation that I should be delivering some information that I can provide to our indigenous community, the language.

So, also at that time, CRLA was opening up a position to hiring a new community worker, so I applied for there, for the position that they have. So, I explained that why I'm serving in my community, the need of my community, the need that the CRLA need to deliver that services that they have in our community, of course. So, here I did.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Wonderful. Wonderful. For your work at CRLA, are there specific topics, health, wages that you focus on in educating the community? Are there specific goals to educate the Triqui community about, for example, signing up for health care, or are you being paid the wages that you're supposed to be paid, or something else?

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, in CRLA, we can't do all the job, right. But we have -- we have the services that we provide as a service to our communities, the rights, of course. When we go through the presentation in the community, well, we talk about the worker's rights.

(Outside noise)

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: They've moved on so, yeah.

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, when we go to do the presentation in the community, we do the rights, school right. But there is a big concern that our community have. Most of the time, they have a very simple question that they, when they go to a hospital, clinic, or any other urgency, they have a question like where is it. This person, they come to us and talk to us, but we don't -- we don't quite what they say, what they have been saying to our community, to us. So, that one of the big things that we see with our community is that the language access.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Uh-hum.

MR. ALVAREZ: They don't have most of the urgency or most of the providers, they don't have an interpreter for our community. So, that's a big, big issue.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you. English access and language access, what is the view of the government, would you say that you've observed? Is it positive or is there distrust about the government?

MR. ALVAREZ: Can you explain?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: For example, if a State official comes to talk with a community group, are they viewed as experts or are they viewed with distrust, or neither, or neither?

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, the only thing if the government have a person that can interpret for the indigenous community, it would be -- that would be bring the trust to our community. Because usually what happen with the other agency, they just go and present anything to our community. And based on our history, the story that we have as the indigenous, so usually we don't trust people from the outside. So, that is why if anyone that wanted to provide anything or services to our community, it would be better to have someone who can interpret or translate what you want to present to the community.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you. Thank you.

MR. ALVAREZ: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: A primary goal of this process is I'm trying to understand the best mechanism or combination of methods in order to communicate what the ALRB does with their services and how we can help them.

And I am trying to understand, from the testimony we received in Fresno, they talked a lot about the community leaders.

And I'm wondering, what would the reaction be if we showed up at a workplace? Would that be -- would they be receptive to that? Or, do you find it best to meet, you know, in a community setting, with the community leader? Is there another way to communicate information? What do you suggest?

MR. ALVAREZ: Well, if that happened a little bit to go with leaders in the community, but also it would be help more if you have someone that can translate what you have --

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Speak the language.

MR. ALVAREZ: Speak the language.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. ALVAREZ: Because now we -- not all the leaders speak the language, the same language of where you're going to be. Also, not all the leaders have the same point of view of what the community need. So, it

1 would be nice to have your own interpreter or your own 2 translator, so that way would be -- you want to deliver the 3 information that you want to deliver into the community. 4 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN GOULD: I don't have any questions, but 6 I think Mr. Blanco has a few more. 7 MR. BLANCO: You were also an interviewer in the 8 Indigenous Farmworker Study, done by Dr. Rick Mines? 9 MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. 10 MR. BLANCO: And most of those or all of those interviews were done in the homes of the farmworkers; is 11 12 that correct? 13 MR. ALVAREZ: That's correct. 14 MR. BLANCO: And how many interviews did you do, 15 yourself, in that program? 16 MR. ALVAREZ: Between 250 and 300. 17 MR. BLANCO: And the homes that you were in, did 18 you see any computers? 19 MR. ALVAREZ: No, I have not. 20 MR. BLANCO: Now, as I understand it, in your 21 work with the CLRA Indigenous Project, you actually cannot 22 really talk about the Agricultural Labor Relations Act or 23 the rights and protection that the Labor Relations Act 24 provides. Is that correct? 25 MR. ALVAREZ: Excuse me, say again?

MR. BLANCO: You can't -- when you do your work with CLRA, you cannot talk about the ALRB. Is that correct?:

MR. ALVAREZ: That's correct.

MR. BLANCO: And do you think that most -- from your experience from talking to the farmworkers, though, about their rights, and they recognize that if the employer doesn't provide water, or if he doesn't provide bathrooms, or shade from heat, they understand that they have those rights? Do they or not?

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes, they understand when you speak their language. I have a time before worked in collaboration with the other agency that do the same thing, and they having asked me and say, when you do -- when you do the presentation, I feel that they understand enough Spanish. I feel that they -- sometimes agencies try to guess and say that let's do it in Spanish. So I say, that's fine, do your presentation.

But, of course, our community say yes, yes, yes. If you ask them, do you understand what I've been telling you, they're going to tell you yes. But they not understanding.

MR. BLANCO: So, this concept, one of the concepts that the -- as part of the Agricultural Labor Relations Act, in terms of rights provided to workers is

that they can get together as a group and protest working conditions. And then the Act protects them from retaliation from the employer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But do you think that the many workers understand that kind of a protection?

MR. ALVAREZ: No. Our indigenous community, no.

MR. BLANCO: Okay, that should be sufficient.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Are there any other questions that anyone has?

All right, well, thank you very much. Thank you for coming.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MR. ALVAREZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And our next --

MR. BLANCO: So, our next speaker is Ellen Lake.

MS. LAKE: Good afternoon.

17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Good afternoon.

MS. LAKE: I'm Ellen Lake. I am a lawyer. I was a lawyer working -- oh, sorry. Working for the ALRB from the day it began, August 28th, 1975, for sixteen years, until 1991. I worked for a Board member for part of that time and for the General Counsel's office the rest of the time.

And I was the lawyer who principally handled the litigation involving the access rule, ALRB v. Superior

Court, and the San Diego Nursery case.

And my theme, my message is that, as the Chairman said, the Court of Appeals in the San Diego Nursery case invited you to pass a regulation that would set forth the parameters of workers' education. They said that would be an absolutely valid regulation, but it was not valid until it took the form of a regulation.

They said this in 1979. It's 36 years later and I think it's time for that regulation.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Could you tell us a little bit about the reasoning in that regard, why you think this is very important?

MS. LAKE: Well, you have the power. That's what the court, the Supreme Court said in the ALRB case, and the Court of Appeals said in the San Diego Nursery case. You have the power. They also recognized that the Board had made findings about the need for access to the workforce. In the access case, it was access by union organizers.

And in the San Diego Nursery case they recognized the findings of the access case as applicable to worker education. So, there is a great deal of history of the Board making findings about the reasons why more information is needed by farmworkers about the Act.

And I think, from the little I know now, which I haven't totally kept up, is that the workforce, which is

covered by the Act, is more remote, more language -- in terms of languages, as the last speaker spoke -- said, is much more out of the mainstream. They don't speak Spanish. They speak languages where the ALRA is not translated into Triqui very often.

So, these workers know less about their rights than those -- than they did in 1975 or '79, when to some extent they were being educated by union organizers about the processes of the Board.

Here, these workers are getting, I'm guessing, no education about their rights under the Board.

And as the Chairman said, the issue of concerted activity is already an area that very few workers under the ALRA or the NLRA know much about. And since those are the relevant activities and rights that are affected now, there's all the more need for education of the workforce. And that can only be done if you pass a regulation.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any questions?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Yes. Ms. Lake, it's an honor to have you in the room. We've relied on the access regulation all these years and have had a number of cases which have helped to fine tune and define the union organizers' role.

And on San Diego Nursery, itself, it speaks to pre-election petition, the time frames. When back in the

day our staff attempted to or took access as State employees.

Speak up? All right, I'll speak up. So, is it your view or do you have any advice? The Chair has talked about the application of San Diego Nursery beyond elected activity to concerted activity, and that we can interpret San Diego Nursery using the same tests, the same legal tenets to expand that.

And any insights that you have on that would be very welcome.

And in Fresno, we heard from testifiers saying that go forward in the modern day, and don't -- we need to look at the nonproductive time, and what the Department of Industrial Relations has ruled in terms of payment for that time.

We don't have a proposed regulation, yet, but looking at what period of time would we actually be on property, and so forth.

But back to the first part of it, any insights or advice as far as how to look at San Diego Nursery as applying to concerted activity?

MS. LAKE: Yes, I do. both the access case and San Diego Nursery talked about the really broad power and discretion that the Board has to educate workers, as necessary, to exercise their rights under the Act.

It was not -- although those cases came up in the context of pre-election activities, nothing that the either court said was limited in terms of your power to affect, to provide such education outside of the limited pre-election context.

The statute, and I tried to go through it last night, the statute has numerous places in which it specifically says that the Board is to protect the right of workers to engage in concerted activities.

Section 1140.2, it's the policy of the State of California to encourage and protect the right of agricultural employees to full freedom of association, self-organization and free from interference, et cetera, et cetera, or in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection.

So, that's the State's public policy. You're there to carry it out.

And, of course, Section 1152, the real expression of rights of agricultural employees, says, "Employees shall have the right of self-organization and to engage in other concerted activities, or other mutual aid or protection."

So, the statute urges you to protect the right of self-organization and of concerted activity. And, therefore, you have the right, in order to make that realistic, to make that effective for workers you have the

right to present education so that workers understand that these are their rights and they can exercise them.

I also think that even the specific analysis -you remember San Diego Nursery talked about Section

1151(a), the Board's right of free access to all places of
labor. That's an incredibly strong statutory provision
which doesn't even appear in the NLRA. And the San Diego
Nursery court said this means that this is a stronger right
of access by the Board under the ALRA than there is under
the NLRA.

And that right is available not only in terms of hearing investigations in the pre-election context, but also in the context of preventing unfair labor practices.

And as the Chairman told us, the -(Technical difficulties)

MS. LAKE: Yeah, so the right of access or free access to all places of labor is not only available under 1151(a) in the pre-election context, but also to prevent unfair labor practices.

And as the Chairman told us, the concerted activity issues that you're seeing arise, arise -- come to the Board in the context of unfair labor practice cases.

So, you have the right to use that language about the right of free access to all places of labor in a broad array of activities. And I urge you to do that.

1 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I don't have any 2 questions, but thank you. 3 MS. LAKE: Thank you. 4 MR. BLANCO: And I would be remiss to forget to 5 note that Ms. Lake was involved in my getting hired. 6 thank you again for that. 7 MS. LAKE: I think I did and made a good 8 decision. 9 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you very much. 10 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you. 11 MR. BLANCO: And our next speaker is Jason 12 Resnick, who just stepped into the room just in time. 13 MR. RESNICK: Good afternoon. Thank you very 14 much. Well, thank you very much for hosting these 15 meetings. We very much appreciate the opportunity to speak 16 about the proposed access rule. 17 I'm Jason Resnick. I'm Vice President and 18 General Counsel of Western Growers. I'm on the Ad Hoc 19 Committee with the labor management group, so we've had the 20 opportunity to discuss some of these issues already. 21 So, I'll keep my comments brief and discuss 22 Western Growers' concerns and our members' concerns about 23 this. We have several. 24 First and foremost, we question whether the 25 proposal, as we understand it, to give ALRB staff the

opportunity to take access is an efficient way of communicating to employees about the rights and obligations under the Agricultural Labor Relations Act.

As you know, there are many, tens of thousands of farmworkers in California and it just seems like it will be impossible for the Board staff to reach the workers in the numbers that would be expected to have any meaningful impact.

How many staff will you have doing the education?

Two, four, ten, fifty? Whatever the number is, it will be woefully short of what would be necessary to meaningfully educate for workers.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You want the perfect to be the enemy of the good?

MR. RESNICK: Should the perfect be the enemy of the good? No, of course not. But we should consider what's the most effective means and methods of communicating. Is this an appropriate and efficient way?

So, what are the more appropriate and effective alternatives?

Have we considered social media? Have we considered flyers? Have we considered posters? Have we considered doing outreach sessions in areas, in different communities where farmworkers work and reside?

Why do we think that going onto the farm to speak

to a handful of crews, maybe tens of crews, maybe 20 crews over a period of time, is that really going to have the desired outcome and effect educating the workers?

I would submit, respectfully submit that there are many more appropriate and desirable, desirable from the perspective of the Board, from the employers, from the employees that can reach a far number -- a far greater number of workers than access.

So, I don't think that the access is going to reach anywhere near the numbers of workers that you would expect and it would take a significant increase in resources to have any measurable impact or affect.

Next, I would ask who is going to be doing the educating? Who are the individuals, the staff that are going to be tasked with educating workers? What part of the Board would they be? Are they going to be part of the Board, the General Counsel's Office? Are they going to be part of the same prosecutorial arm of the Board that's doing the educating?

It seems to me that's an inherent conflict to have those who are tasked with doing educating also doing the prosecuting or vice-versa. And that it's going to create a great deal of distrust. And you're not going to have the openness from the employers because it's the prosecutors who are doing the educating.

I think there's going to be a great number of workers who are going to have -- who already have demonstrated their distrust of the Board given recent events, in recent election and decertification election proceedings.

There is a lot of questions that need to be answered in that regard.

One model to look at, and I'm not suggesting this is the model, but that one model that deals with this issue is CalOSHA, that has an enforcement branch that enforces the CalOSHA violations and can cite employers for violations of health and safety violations.

And in addition, they also have a consultation branch that goes out, at the request of the employer, to come out to the worksite to give the employer guidance and consultation on how they can comply with the Act.

And because there's a wall between those two units or divisions, there's no fear by the employer that they're going to be cited when they're trying to do the right thing and have someone come in to help them to ensure that they stay or become in compliance with the health and safety provisions.

The next point I'd like to make I think is one that has not been given any thought at all, and it's one that I think is one that should give significant pause, is

how are the workers going to be compensated for their time when they're being educated? And whose time is that?

Workers go to work to work and they earn money while they're working.

There is, as you know, recent Court of Appeal decisions that have now become law. Those decisions are Bluford v. Safeway and Gonzales v. Downtown LA Motors, that say that piece rate workers have to be compensated, separately compensated for their nonproductive time when they're doing piece rate work. And they have to be separately paid for their rest and recovery periods.

So, how are the workers going to be compensated? This is presumably going to be done during work hours and that would be, by definition, nonproductive time that has to be separately compensated from the piece rate activities.

Does the Board expect the employer to pay for that nonproductive time? I'm sure you'll be hearing from Western Growers and, more importantly, constitutional attorneys and scholars who, I'm sure, would forward the argument that that would be considered a taking. If you're taking, requiring the employer to take time to have the Board staff come onto the property and educate, and require the employer to pay for that.

I wonder whether, how has the -- how has this

issue been handled both as a legal matter and also as a practical matter in connection with the access cases that the California Supreme Court has already decided?

MR. RESNICK: You're asking how has this question been decided in other access cases?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, the Supreme Court of California has held that access is part of our statute in the cases that have gone to the Supreme Court in union access.

So, the one question that would occur to me would be, well, how would this differ as a matter of law, and how would this differ in terms of a practical matter in terms of compensating?

MR. RESNICK: Well, first of all, there's been no case that's come relative to access since the Gonzalez case and the Bluford case, that I'm aware of. So, there's been no case that has dealt with the issue of nonproductive time or compensation for rest and recovery periods. That is a relatively new issue under the law, compensation for nonproductive time. Those cases came down in April and May of 2013. And to my knowledge, the California Supreme Court has not opined on access in some time, any time since those cases have been decided.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But weren't the earlier cases, cases dealing with compensation that employees received

during nonworking time, the access not interfering with the employer's business?

MR. RESNICK: Well, as you know, the Act gives the union the opportunity to take access for the purpose of union organizing activity for 30 minutes before work, during the meal period and after work.

So, during those periods of time, that would not be considered hours worked. Before work, after work or during the meal period.

The problem is that because you would be requiring -- well, let me put it this way. How is the Board going to take access before work or after work, and expect the employees to be there and to expect them to assemble for the purposes of education?

And then, also, does the Board want to take the only time during the day where the employees have the opportunity to take free time, where they're not burdened by work, where their time, rest time and meal time is considered their own time? Does the Board want to encumber that time? You're taking the employees' time if you do that.

And I would suggest that is not going to be welcomed by the workers. And again, going back to my first point, it's not the most efficient means and method of communicating with the workers.

I mentioned CalOSHA as an example of having separate enforcement and consultation branches. And it's important to know that other agencies, and there are numerous other agencies in California, none of them do or propose to do what the Board is proposing here, to take access onto private property for the purpose of educating workers.

One, it assumes that the workers need educating. I don't know -- in fact, I'm quite sure that case has not been made, that the workers require educating. We're not opposed to education. We think workers should know and understand their rights.

Again, we just question the access regulation, the proposed access regulation as being the most efficient and effective way to educate those workers. Other agencies are doing this. Other agencies have enforcement powers under their statutes to go onto the worksite for the purpose of doing spot checks, looking for violations, speaking to a couple of workers to see, make sure for the example of CalOSHA, that they've been provided shade, and water, and break times, and cool off, cool down periods.

We're not talking about wholesale educational opportunities where the workforce, whole crews are stopped from doing their productive work, or stopped from taking their lunch, or expected to arrive at work early or to stay

late. None of those seems to me to be appropriate from the employer's stand point, and certainly not from the employees' stand point.

So, I would submit that the Board go back to the drawing board, take a look at other alternative means. A poster, using social media, using a campaign, you know, an advertising campaign. Those things are being done now.

Media, including social media, traditional media, radio and television has all been used to educate workers.

And, of course, the union has the power now to take access and to educate workers about the Act. And it seems to me that they are already doing some of these things.

But that, combined with other media opportunities is really, in the 21st Century, where we should be thinking about spending our time, about social media, radio, television, print media to reach the workers that we want to reach.

And I will end there and thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  RESNICK: And I'll answer any questions, if you have any.

23 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Ms. Shiroma?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Yes. Now, I'm going to study Bluford, myself. But in Bluford, this nonproductive

time was bookended around State regulatory requirements for required actions? If an employee is required to, whether it's education or what have you, required by the State that that became --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You have to speak up.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: I'm sorry. Lo siento. I'm asking Jason his legal viewpoint or -- and I won't hold you to it, even though it's going to be on the record.

MR. RESNICK: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Is it triggered by State regulatory action that then puts it into the nonproductive time? Because the Chair was asking about union access and we haven't seen those union access, and NAs have been filed and so forth, we haven't seen a trigger of nonproductive time.

MR. RESNICK: That's correct. And that's because, by definition, nonproductive time is time during work hours, when there are work activities and the employees are engaged in work. And then the rest and recovery period is separate.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: So, not lunch?

MR. RESNICK: No, lunch is also -- is in addition to nonproductive time. Because there's two cases, and I don't want to get into the weeds, their nuance, but there's nonproductive time and there's rest and recovery periods.

And so, for rest and recovery periods, and nonproductive time, piece rate workers must be compensated separately from their piece rate earnings.

The access rules give the union opportunity to take access before work, during the meal period, and the meal period is not compensated time, and after work, which is not compensated time because it's after work.

So, the current access rules do not interfere with the nonproductive time issues. They're done at different times. Access is not done during compensated time. Does that make sense?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Uh-hum.

MR. RESNICK: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you.

MR. RESNICK: You're welcome.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: As a State employee, I have to take training for ethics, and sexual harassment, and defensive driving, and any number of things. And luckily, I'm fortunate, I get paid to do that.

Is there training currently required that, a course now for them, and are they compensated for that?

MR. RESNICK: Yes, there is lots of training that's required under a multitude of statutes, including sexual harassment, anti-sexual harassment training, or sexual harassment prevention training, heat illness

prevention training, just to name a couple. Those are required to be done and they're done during working time and employees must be compensated for the time they're being trained.

So, if these are piece rate workers, which much of that in cultures, you know, workers are paid by piece rate, and they would have to be separately paid for that training time. Sexual harassment training, you know, heat illness prevention training, for example.

And this training that's being proposed, if it's done during work time, would have to be separately paid by the employer.

So, unless the proposal is going to mirror the access provisions that exist now, where the Board agents can take access before work, during the meal period, or after, then you're going to run into these NPT, nonproductive time and rest and recovery compensation issues.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: But I just wanted to make sure I understood because when you made the point about no other State agency does this, it sounded like we were setting precedent. But it sounds like workers are educated on their --

MR. RESNICK: By the employer.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Right, by the

1 employer or a contractor. But the time, so it's about who, 2 like you mentioned before. 3 MR. RESNICK: Yes. 4 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Not so much that 5 there isn't education as to their rights occurring at the 6 workplace. 7 MR. RESNICK: Exactly right. That's a very good 8 point, thank you. 9 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay. 10 MR. RESNICK: The education that's being done now 11 is being done by the employer, or third parties brought in by the employer and not by the government. 12 13 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay. 14 MR. RESNICK: And that's a very important 15 distinction. 16 CHAIRMAN GOULD: It's mandated by the government. 17 MR. RESNICK: I'm sorry? 18 CHAIRMAN GOULD: It's mandated by the government? 19 MR. RESNICK: There are mandates. The two 20 examples I gave are both mandated by the government. 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah. So, if we mandated this 22 and brought in -- had someone else do it, would that be 23 comparable? 24 MR. RESNICK: It wouldn't be because the 25 employer, under the current statutes where there's

mandatory training, the employer gets to choose who does the training and when the training is done.

I assume, and because we haven't seen a draft proposal we can't speak to any points, but I would presume that the proposal, as I understand it, is that staff would just show up or maybe make a phone call and say we're coming on your farm and we're going to do training today.

That's a very different proposition than the employer choosing when they're going to provide training. And they're providing the training, not having the government, the ALRB come in is a very, very different notion altogether.

And because of the trust issues, I think the issues of the reputation of the Board, not this Board but the Board generally, the Regional Offices of late, the General Counsel's office, there are issues that would need to be resolved before we could even talk about the ALRB staff enter the farm.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I just wanted to,
I would not want anybody to leave believing that there have
been proposals in regards to us calling and saying we're
going to show up, and here we are.

In fact, one of the reasons that we're doing these hearings is in fact to listen to the issues so that when we develop whatever ends up being the proposal, it

will be an informed decision.

So, I just want to make sure that that's not put out there as something that's been proposed by the Board, because it hasn't.

MR. RESNICK: I understand. Thank you for that clarification.

MR. BLANCO: Do you have -- okay, so with respect to the trainings that are mandated, the content of those trainings is designed by the State regulation.

MR. RESNICK: No. The question is, is the content created by the regulators? No, the content is not created by the State. The content is -- there are outlines about what needs to be covered.

So, for example, there's nothing in AB 1852, which is the statute that -- the bill that created mandatory sexual harassment training for supervisors. It creates a broad brush of what is to be required. But it leaves to the practitioners and the experts to create the content.

The same with the CalOSHA heat illness prevention standard training. There is a regulation in place and those who do the training know the regulation and what's required relative to shade, and water, and emergency services, and an emergency program, for example.

And so, any good training is going to have

components that mirror what's in the regulation.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Just one quick question because our next group of speakers are the farmworkers who have to leave by 3:00 p.m.

Let me just ask you this, if for instance the ALRB prepared a video and said that -- to the employer, you're going to have training, you reserve a certain amount of training for the workers to see this video or social media. Good idea?

MR. RESNICK: I think that you're on the right track. Now, you're using video, social media. That's a much better way. And so, I would applaud that and encourage that as a method and means to reach more workers on their time, and to be more effective.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, this would be during the workday, part of the regular training.

MR. RESNICK: Part of training. And that, we do training all the time, that would be -- that would be welcome.

20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: All right, thank you very much, 21 Mr. Resnick.

And I'm going to now turn to the farmworkers who have to leave by 3:00 p.m.

MR. RESNICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Board.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you.

1 MR. BLANCO: So, is this the group of Laura 2 Caballero, Emilio Garcia, Maria and Jose Luis Arevalo? Is 3 it that group? No. BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Which group? Which group? 4 5 MR. BLANCO: That's the group that's supposed to 6 be at 2:00. Well, we'll find out who's who. 7 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Who's who, yes. 8 (Multiple off-record Spanish conversations) 9 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Maybe if they could each tell us 10 their name, and maybe we could go one by one, each person 11 tell us who they are and what they want to say. 12 (Interpreter translating) 13 MS. BAUTISTA: So, good evening, my name is Lidia 14 Bautista. I am from Oaxaca, Mexico. I speak Mixteco and 15 Spanish. (Inaudible) -- but also I have been 16 17 (inaudible) -- and now I am temporarily working for that 18 UFW organizing. I support this regulation for ALRB to pass 19 this because a lot of farmworkers don't know their rights. 20 And lots of them don't know about ALRB. 21 In the past three months we have been informing 22 workers in the field about their rights and organizing them 23 to get a union contract to get better benefits. 24 We've seen in the field, we have been visiting, 25 is to (inaudible) -- most of -- all you know those are

workers that are a culture by other countries, that they are being here to work. And most of them, they don't know their rights. They don't know anything about ALRB. And the reason is because that most of them, it's their first time coming here to work.

So, now my question is how can ALRB protect these workers, these H2A (phonetic) workers?

MS. GONZALES: (Through Interpreter) Good evening. My name is Maria Amalia Gonzales. I am from (inaudible Spanish). I have worked in several packing sheds locally, packing lettuce, cauliflower for about seven years. I suffered a work injury and after that I opened my own store.

At the store I sell items that the agricultural workers use at their work. And I see all kinds of workers there. And I've met people who have come here from other countries or other places to work by contract. And I've heard some very sad stories. Forgive me if I cry.

Some have told me stories that they've suffered abuse. That they somehow are injured and they're pushed or told to not to say that they have no rights. They're told that if they get injured and they say, well, I'm hurt. And they say we have no rights here. And they're also told that if they say something, that they will make them write something so they can go back to their -- where they came

from.

And I would like to be the voice of these young men, because they are young men. A lot of them have come to work here, got injured and most of them were sent back to their place of birth or where they're from. And I don't think that's fair. I would like to now have this amendment or this law that's going to help protect them.

And I would like to know how is this law or amendment, how is this going to be -- how is this going to help? These young men come out here and work and they're not working by the hour, they make them work even worse.

Well, it would be nice if you could go and see these people and their setting, how they're being abused. They're actually -- you could say they're incarcerated. They have nowhere to go, no one to see. I tell them, at least come out and talk to me. And I thank God that they did so that I could come here and let you know what they tell me. They abuse them. And if they say anything, they make them go back to Mexico. And they tell them, you know what to expect, go back to Mexico, there's plenty more down there.

Thank you for listening to me and I hope that this amendment helps these -- helps and protects these young men that come out here to work. And I hope that they come out here and work and enjoy their working conditions.

And they should be able to come enjoy it, and come back and work some more. Please look into this and I hope that this can help these young men. Thank you.

MR. AREVALO: My name is Jose Luis Arevalo. I've from the south state of Michoacán (phonetic). I've worked, I've been a strawberry picker for the last 16 years. I'm not currently working because of a work injury. But as soon as I get well again, I will go back to my job.

In my work, I have met other fellow workers who come here, either work for a labor contractor or for the company directly. And I've met any other workers who are contracted from Mexico that we call -- well, they call these the "H" workers, in the country that come here.

Working either through a labor contractor or directly for a company I've worked there, my parents worked there, my brothers, my cousins, my neighbors. They all work. And to set the stage, as local workers we don't know our rights as employees. And it's sad.

At the start of the season they weather is very hot. And they told me there was this young lady who fell down, fell to the ground. She was a victim of the heat. And they didn't do anything to help her. They just put her to the side, under some small shade and that's all they did. They didn't provide any help for her.

At the same ranch, they have a crew with people

working by rate piece. And on the same ranch they have another crew where they have these H2 workers that come from Mexico. And on that crew, there was a young man who also fell ill. He fell. And in speaking to the quality assurance ladies who were standing there, and they said, well, no wonder they're falling down, they're undernourished. All they had was a taco.

Well, my question to you, respectfully is, how is this amendment going to help us, the local workers and the H2 workers who come down here? How is it going to protect them at their worksite? How is it going to protect them where they live or even where they gather to eat? They need protection.

We, as farmworkers, take the blunt of punishment. But no one comes out and tells us what our rights are.

THE INTERPRETER: I'm asking him anything else. And he says, "I'm waiting for my answer".

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, I was -- I had assumed, given the period of time we have available, I hope this translates into Spanish, but your question was rhetorical.

MR. AREVALO: Well, my question is very urgent -- well, statement. It's very urgent that we get this information.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. Information about the law or --

MR. AREVALO: This law that protects the workers. We all get up at about the same time every morning, 4:35 in the morning and go to work. Don't come back home until 5:00 or 6:00. So, it's kind of difficult for us to go to you. It's a lot easier for you to come to us.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Can I -- I really appreciate the stories that they're sharing. And I think I want to answer you and let you know that we are here to find out how best to inform you and your fellow workers about their rights. And if you have an idea about is that do we show up in the morning at your workplace? Do we show up in the evening? Do we go to your church? What is the best way so that we want as many workers to know that we are here to help?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And just speaking for myself, I want to reiterate that. I want to emphasize that, that how do we get information about the law to working people?

That's what we're -- that's the purpose of these hearings.

And we need your advice and your input.

MR. AREVALO: The best way to get that information to us would be at our workplace because that's where you will find us all. That's all.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Gracias.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: (Through Interpreter) My name is

Rafael Rodriguez. I've lived here for over 40 years, in Watsonville, he said. And he has worked for Monterey Mushrooms for 30 of those 40 years.

My question is -- my question is, does the La Ley Laboral have the resources needed by region to fill the needs of all these hard workers?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, we get appropriations from the Legislature to do our work. And we are always seeking more appropriations, more resources to do our work. We can't do our work without resources.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I have a brief question. I drive by some fields and on the way to my work I see that Driscoll has these tents or tarps that they are covering. And I've noticed that the smaller farmers don't have any of those. That's all, thank you.

MS. CABALLERO: I'm going to try to do it in English. Good afternoon, my name is Laura Caballero. And yet to hear to ALRB when I arrive in this country and for Mexico. I come here when I was about 16 years old. I work 35 years in the fields.

I'm part of the organize the union. They recruit me in the Central Valley. And I was a lead in Tulare County. And then I worked, I helped to organize, I was working in Sandrini Brothers in that time, in the time to try to do access to organize.

And back to my point to this comment, I hear to say to use our time. And I am really disappointed. Last fellow that talked, I forget his name, he say why do you want to inform people on their own time? This is our own time.

And I think the really difficult, to my years right now, how to change the different agriculture and industrial. So, it's difficult for a union to organize farmworkers. And this really is a struggle because more and more mediators, you know, labor contract, under labor contract, under labor contract, under labor contract and it's never worked. And it's getting really tough for the Farmworker's Union to organize in the fields.

And also, when you take the union, and no matter that you agency tried to get informed they have a right to organize, the big problem is intimidators. And that's my situation.

I was fired in 1979 for to try to want the union come in on the lunch time to inform, you know, what is the situations. And I got fired.

And then I was working in Central Valley and also -- and it's no matter where you work, no matter under ALRB or under, you know, there was the same -- there was always intimidation for farmworkers when they try to organize, to try to get it together and have a security job

and have a contract.

A big concern in these days, farmworkers getting worse because they make the less money. Their rent is high. Their bills is, too. And that's why we have a -- you can see the history. I even for right now, for the last three years in the grape fields. And I know the indigenous community right now, when they arrive, and right now you have a family that are probably either 30, 20 people in one housing. And how they live is because there's no way. To farmworkers, we only work seven months of the year. And plus they pay, right, and plus babysitters. And, you know, you can go on and on. At \$9.00 an hour, and the cost of living.

And I have these concerns always they say for the farmworkers like here, the thing is like every year we see in the last -- probably in the last 20 years, more labor farm -- you know, labor contract be performed. Well, why it was that difficult in the fields and in the industry of agriculture, in the industry, why they form more and more labor -- you know, farm -- you know, labor contracts to abuse these farmworkers.

And like I said it, I know I have the privilege to organize for the UFW, for the Teamsters, for the United Farmworkers. And no matter where you are. But it's hard for me these days is to organize the farmworkers because

everything is industrial and farmworkers. And it's difficult because you have a lot of people. And in case you inform, to try to those farmworkers to organize and in case the few they have, then as soon as they saw you, that you go talk to probably later, no matter they listen to you, later they say they will come and say people, what did they say to you and what do you think? Oh, I have a right to this. And they probably say, well, that's not true. We have the authority to fire you, to not give a job anymore. They can give (inaudible) -- and that's just my -- but I'm glad, I hope we have in the future change.

I know for a fact, in all the laws we have, no matter we have pretty good, different laws they've been passed to progress it on the Farmworker's Union to try to organize and pass a good -- like the heat, you know, like the different proposals.

But the other thing is they always on the board, the school board, and I know we have it difficult to let the parents, when they have difficult, the bosses always complain. You want to know for the doctor, you want to know where you go. They want everything. They have a lot of control. And I know the fact because I was working in the fields.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, you think apropos of the idea of having the ALRB communicate about the law on the

worksite, would that make a difference as far as you're concerned?

MS. CABALLERO: Probably some. It probably is in the future to only try to change. How do we organize not only another culture, because we know that probably, say, the argument is we not in the culture anymore, we in this here. Because that was part of this agreement, you know longer in machinery, this takes a lot of machineries in the field. And it's difficult. And it's difficult to organize those farmworkers. And in case you inform, it's difficult.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Well, thank you. Thank you very much. And I thank all of you for your contributions very much.

MR. BLANCO: So, our next speaker is Guadalupe Sandoval.

MR. SANDOVAL: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Good afternoon.

MR. SANDOVAL: It seems like there's been a couple of different formats here, from a little Q and A to semi-formal presentations. I'm not sure which your preference would be.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Whatever you feel comfortable with, sir. If you would like to tell us a little bit about yourself and what kind of work you do, and what your views are on the ideas that are being discussed here today, that

would be terrific.

MR. SANDOVAL: Sure. I don't come from Oaxaca or from Zacatecas, or from Guanajuato. My parents immigrated from Mexico from Jalisco and from Sinaloa.

And we did farm work. That was the work my parents did. That was the work we did as kids. We were seasonal farmworkers, picking -- we were raised in the Modesto, California area, a little town, Riverbank. We were picking cherries, picking boysenberries, picking apricots, picking peaches. Doing the crops that were there, local, during the months when we weren't in school. My parents continuing during labor during the school year, when we were in school.

For the last 28 years I've been a worker, health and safety educator and consultant. And in that context, I've worked for the University of California, at Davis, developing pesticide safety training materials and programs. I've worked in the insurance industry in trying to help our clients reduce injuries to their workers, reduce their worker's comp rates, stay in compliance with OSHA, and other regulations.

I continue consulting as an independent consultant these days. And one of my largest clients is the California Farm Labor Contractors Association, for which I serve as their Executive Director.

The Association is dedicated to helping employers, specifically farm labor contractors, to comply with regulations, to understand the changes in the regulations, to learn how they can take care of the valued workforce.

It's a challenge. I wish I could say I could speak for all contractors. I can speak for our members and most of the contractors out there. They are required, as part of their licensing, to participate in nine hours of continuing education each year. They're required to be tested, so they are supposed to know the laws and regulations.

As was attested to earlier, there's a wide range of training requirements by these employers. Those that are established by CalOSHA on issues such as heat illness. I'm sure that every -- I would be very surprised if every worker that's here hasn't received some kind of tailgate training, some kind of information on heat illness through their worksite.

There's also requirements on a vast range of issues, such as the sexual harassment training requirements for supervisors, now being extended to employees of farm labor contractors.

There's requirements to inform workers about their right in case of a workplace injury, to medical

provider networks and to get medical treatment in the event of injury, and transportation to medical providers.

There's a requirement to train workers about pesticides and their exposures to pesticides, whether they're applicators or fieldworkers.

All of these requirements are placed upon employers, farm labor contractors, farmers. As a result, employers are given the mandate. Employer, thou shalt train your employees. And this is whether they do it themselves, or through a third-party consultant, trainer, their insurance person. There's a wide range of persons who are out there, required to provide this type of training for workers.

Part of what we have to train the farm labor contractors on, as part of the curriculum that's established by the Labor Commissioner, is about the ALRB and concerted activities.

What we are teaching, in our classes, is what the ALRA says about access and how that's achieved through petitioners through the ALRB, and what the employer's obligations are as far as not harassing, or discriminating against, or retaliating against folks as a result of union access if there is a petition that's been formally accepted by the ALRA.

It sounds as if there are no such petitions these

days. I think that's a thing of the past, it seems. I'm not sure. Evidently, that's an historical artifact. I'm not sure why. I'm not sure if workers now feel that they are receiving the wages that they deserve, the conditions that they deserve.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Do you train them, also, about their right to speak and protest about wages that they think are inferior or unsatisfactory?

MR. SANDOVAL: Part of what we discuss in our program is not just the union issues, but also about concerted activity, which isn't just limited to being able to talk about unions. It's also about when a worker speaks on behalf of other workers, that they have the right to request better working conditions, wages, treatment, et cetera, without fear of retaliation, without fear of losing their jobs.

Obviously, employers are not required to provide higher wages. They have to provide at least a minimum wage. But what we do tell them is you can't discriminate against somebody or retaliate against them for having asked for better working conditions, better wages, et cetera.

At least that's my understanding of what the ALRA concerted activity means. I could be wrong. Am I off base on that?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, no, no.

MR. SANDOVAL: One of the things that we also advise farm labor contractors about is that there's an interesting quirk in the definition of agricultural employers under the ALRA. And there's a significant segment of the agricultural community who's not considered an agricultural employer under the ALRA. And that's farm labor contractors.

If a worker is going to ask for union representation, it goes through the grower or the packing house. It does not go through the farm labor contractor. I'm not sure why the ALRA was written that way, but it was written that way. Back in the 60s, 70s, when I did farm work, there was no ALRA. If you wanted better working conditions you went to the grower, you went to the contractor and you asked for better working conditions, better pay. And sometimes you got it, sometimes you didn't.

Nowadays, I don't know how many people haven't heard that there's a significant shortage of farmworkers in California. Which is why I heard several complaints here, not about our typical farmworkers that we've had in the past, but about H2A workers. The condition of their work is governed under contracts, which are designed by the U.S. Department of Labor. I don't know if that's within the realm of the ALRB to address U.S. Department of Labor

regulations under the H2A. And it is a testament to the fact that there are labor shortages to the point where employers now find it necessary to go recruit workers under visa in Mexico, and other countries. Bring them here, pay for their transportation, pay for their costs of the fees, pay for their housing, pay for their food, pay for their transportation.

Costs which are typically not borne by an employer for non-visa workers. This is -- in fact, I find it hard to believe that if somebody really wanted to create better working conditions that the workers couldn't achieve that in this day of labor shortages.

Workers are -- a lot of what we are talking about in our farm labor contractor classes is the need to really value those workers. That those workers will leave you and go down the street, and find a better employer if you're -- and we're not talking about wages. We're talking about the way you treat and respect your workers.

That workers might leave because of wages, but they can also leave because they don't like the way their supervisors are treating them.

The unfortunate thing is that while farm labor contractors are highly regulated, I don't know to what extent people, if they rely on extensively, which are the majordomos and the crew leaders who bring workers to them.

Who, under Federal law, have to be registered as farm labor contractor employees. If they either furnish, recruit, hire, transport or house workers, they're considered to be farm labor contractor employees who must be Federally registered.

Unfortunately, it stops at that. Unlike farm labor contractors, who must be -- who must engage in nine hours of continuing education each year, who must undergo testing at least every two years, there isn't really a specific Federal regulation or State regulation on the qualifications and the training for those majordomos who control a lot of what happens to a worker.

That's going a little bit off the path of where we need to be.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, but I was wondering what your views are about the ideas that are being discussed here tonight?

MR. SANDOVAL: In regards to access, I think it also becomes, as was discussed earlier about the nonproductive time, and would this be, unlike CalOSHA, Worker's Compensation, the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, sexual harassment, where you have posting requirements, where you have notification requirements, where you have training requirements.

Those are given as mandates to the employer. The

employer chooses who trains and how they're going to train, and what the message is going to be. And as long as it complies with the guidelines set forth in law on what you have to train on harassment, worker safety, wage-an-hour posting, Worker's Compensation, medical provider units, et cetera.

I'm not sure how, if you do move forward on this, how you're going to design a regulation that gives that power to the employer. And I think that's critical when you look at is this going to be paid time, which I think if -- the way I understand the access rules, as they exist, when the union is provided access, it is, as was discussed earlier, half-hour before work, during the lunch hour, and half-hour after work. Okay. Unpaid time. Lunch hour is not paid. Before work is not paid. After work is not paid.

I think that's what might be one of the discouraging facts about why don't workers want to hear the union message. Well, I don't want to hear it on my own time. I'm tired. I'm going to show up to work when I have to and I'm going to leave as soon as I have to.

And during my lunch hour, I probably want to eat my lunch rather than listen to somebody talk about what a horrible situation I have, and why I need to join a union and give them three percent of my wages.

I think that if it's given to the employers, a mandate, here is as CalOSHA does, as other agencies do, here are the topics that you must cover and educate your workers on. Just as the Labor Commissioner requires us to provide a certain amount of education on ALRA to our contractors, it seems that there would be a way of outlining one of the requirements, if this is going to be a message, or of having a posting that is available to workers.

Currently, as far as I know, we have a myriad amount of posting requirements, which are either stacked around the bathrooms, on a big poster, or in a binder available to workers. It is required as a way of notifying workers about their rights, to what's your payday, what's CalOSHA say, how do you contact one of their offices, what's your protections against discrimination and harassment. What's the migrant agricultural seasonal worker MISPA (phonetic) requirements say?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, the point that's been made here is that written materials would be difficult for a member of the -- one of the points that's been made is that the written materials would be difficult for some of the workers.

MR. SANDOVAL: There were some discussions about using social media. I would assume that if I know about

it, then most farmworkers know about "Habe Bilingue", "La Hora Mixteca", which provides a wide range of information. I'm assuming that there's the opportunity for people to go on "Univision", and some of their local news programs and provide outreach and information.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MR. SANDOVAL: I'm assuming there's a lot of NGOs that are willing to go out and provide that information. I'm assuming the Mexican Consulates would love to have an opportunity to further engage with their community that's here in the United States, and educate them about their work, about their workplace rights. There's a wide range of opportunity to get that information out there, besides the workplace and what employers can do for them.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Your point is that there are a number of alternate avenues.

MR. SANDOVAL: You know, it could be part of the workplace, it could be a variety of other avenues as well. It could be a multi-faceted approach to worker education.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I'll turn to my colleagues and see if they have any questions for you.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Just to reinforce, now we're going to hear from a representative from DIR. But the FLC training that includes information about the ALRA, I'm recalling that some years ago previous General Counsel

worked with DIR to include, I think it's a -- I don't know 1 2 if it's adopted into the regulation. As you recall, the 3 FLC training where they talk about the ALRA. I don't know 4 if it happened under -- but as far as you know, it's a 5 requirement by DIR that it be included in them? 6 MR. SANDOVAL: There is a regulatory requirement. 7 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: A regulatory. MR. SANDOVAL: About the number of hours that are 8 9 required for contractors to have their license. And it's 10 set at nine hours under Senate Bill 1087, last year. 11 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Uh-huh. 12 MR. SANDOVAL: It increased from eight hours to 13 nine hours. 14 The Labor Commissioner, Julie Su, has outlined 15 the content for those nine hours. It's very specific and 16 it includes information on labor relations, which includes 17 the ALRA. 18 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Okay. 19 MR. SANDOVAL: And that's -- the content is not 20 spelled out in regulation, but the Labor Commissioner has 21 spelled out, with her mandate, what the content is of the 22 nine hours. 23 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Subject matter.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Subject matter.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any further questions?

24

25

1 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: No, thank you. Thanks.

MR. BLANCO: I have a few questions for you, Mr. Sandoval.

MR. SANDOVAL: Sure.

MR. BLANCO: So, you were talking about the supervising foremen are not being required to be trained under the various regulations?

MR. SANDOVAL: As an employee of the contractor or the grower, they are required to get their sexual harassment training, and they're required to get training on CalOSHA requirements. But I think it could be -- you know, they've got to get to be first aide certified. There's a wide range of training requirements.

But it seems like there should be something more focused on what it means to be a majordomo, what it means to be somewhat of an intermediary between that employer and the workforce.

MR. BLANCO: So, you would -- if we designed a video that would assist in training foremen and supervisors regarding the responsibilities for an employer under an ALRA, would that be helpful?

MR. SANDOVAL: I'm sorry, video training is one of the worst types of trainings I've ever seen. They're not interactive. They don't allow people to participate.

25 I think a video would be one of the worst things you can

do. As a person who dedicates a lot of time to training workers, I feel if you're not interacting with the worker, you're getting question and answers, doing situational stuff, doing scenarios, pointing out situations, I think that a video -- people tune out on those very, very quickly. Unless there's, you know, car crashes, naked women, drugs, they're probably not going to pay attention.

MR. BLANCO: So, how would you recommend to us to assist farm labor contractors in ensuring that their supervisors and foremen do get some training about the ALRA?

MR. SANDOVAL: I think that there are ways that you could create requirements. You know, just as CalOSHA requires employers, thou shalt train your supervisor.

You know, the Labor Commissioner requires supervisor training on harassment and signing off affidavits that they haven't been accused of or found quilty of harassment in the last three years.

I think there's ways that through the employer it can be mandated and that they can stipulate -- I can state from the farm labor contractor perspective that it can be mandated as part of their licensing requirements to make sure that supervisors are training specifically on certain issues.

MR. BLANCO: Okay. And I was kind of curious, so

between the grower and you, the FLC, who's being employed by the grower to provide the workers, which one of you has the obligation to do, for example, the heat illness training?

MR. SANDOVAL: The employer.

MR. BLANCO: The employer.

MR. SANDOVAL: So that would be the farm labor contractor who is the employer of the crews. And again, that also creates some interesting things with the ALRA because you're going to do a mandate to the grower, who is an agricultural employer. But where does the farm labor contractor fit under that when they're not stipulated as an employer under the ALRA?

MR. BLANCO: And from your perspective, as an association, what percentage of a grower hires FLCs to provide their labor?

MR. SANDOVAL: It's been on the increase. When I was a kid, working in agricultural, we would occasionally find ourselves working for a farm labor contractor. You showed up at a worksite and you started working, and you found out who was your supervisor, and what they're paying. And then, you got paid at the end of the week, usually, and that's when you found out who you were working for.

Sometimes you found out further up front.

Now, it's a little more up front. And back then

it was maybe 10 to 15 percent of the agricultural payroll was with a farm labor contractor. I'm talking about in the 60s and 70s.

Now, I believe the amount of payroll that goes to farm labor contractors is closer to about 45 percent. And that's a result of everything from immigration reform,

Worker's Compensation Insurance rates, ALRA. There's a lot of reasons why growers have opted to go through farm labor contractors.

And the fact that most of the farm labor contractors have a ready access to farmworkers that sometimes non-Spanish-speaking growers might have a difficulty accessing and recruiting those workers.

I would probably also throw in a little warning.

I think sometimes regulations are passed without

consideration of possible unintended consequences.

Earlier, we heard about the Gonzales v. Downtown LA Motors decision and the Bluford v. Safeway decision, which mandate separate payment for nonproductive time for people who are not working hourly.

As a result, this summer I saw a lot of farmworkers, who typically would have been making their prime wages in piece rate harvest season. But because of the fear of class action lawsuits for not properly compensating workers for that nonproductive time, many

growers are now saying straight hourly pay. I don't care if it takes more workers, more time, and it might even cost us some more money immediately. But I'm not going to risk a multi-million dollar class action suit by paying piece rate.

So, a lot of the workers are making a lot less money, from a regulation that I think was supposed to make workers whole and make them have a more complete income stream.

For some farmworkers it has resulted in the opposite.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, you're saying -- is there a trend away from piece rate, now, as a result of this?

MR. SANDOVAL: I have seen, this summer, several growers who were not paying piece rate, who typically did. And workers are making minimum wage, 9 bucks an hour there, versus making 15 to 20 bucks an hour, which they would have been making under piece rate.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Here in the valley or all over California?

MR. SANDOVAL: I saw that specifically in the Fresno Valley area. I don't know, I don't think that's yet impacting strawberries. I believe it is. I've heard of some growers trying to figure out how can we do a fair compensation system that is not piece rate? Can we do an

hourly plus production bonus? But I would almost guarantee that most workers are probably not going to do as well under alternate compensation systems, as they would under a straight piece rate system.

MR. BLANCO: So, and how many members do you have in your FLC Association?

MR. SANDOVAL: We have 80 members in our association. And that's out of approximately 1,200 farm labor contractors in the State of California.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Eighty out of 1,200.

MR. SANDOVAL: We started with five, six years ago, so we're making progress and we're getting there.

MR. BLANCO: And do you know, do any of your members have foremen and supervisors that are indigenous?

MR. SANDOVAL: There are some. I do know that some of our members do have indigenous workers who are supervisors. What I also know is that when there are training programs that are held, if there's not an indigenous person who's a crew leader, he is being accessed as -- they know who the leaders are for these groups of indigenous workers who have been recruited. And they know who can translate, who's that multi-lingual person that speaks both Spanish, and Triqui, Mixteco, Zapoteco, whatever the language might be. There's usually somebody, who is the leader of that group, who is bilingual, Spanish

and that native tongue, who does the translations. 1 2 MR. BLANCO: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you. Thank you very 4 much. 5 MR. SANDOVAL: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. All right. 7 MR. BLANCO: So, our next speaker is Dominic 8 And I understand, Mr. Forrest, you have a Forrest. statement you wish to read into the record. 9 10 MR. FORREST: Good afternoon. 11 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Good afternoon. 12 MR. FORREST: My name is Dominic Forrest. 13 the Chief of the Labor Enforcement Task Force with the 14 Department of Industrial Relations. And I have a statement 15 on behalf of the Department of Industrial Relations 16 regarding our education and outreach efforts. 17 So, DIR, or the Department of Industrial 18 Relations is committed to education and outreach for both 19 workers and employers. The mission of DIR is to improve 20 working conditions for California's wage earners and to 21 advance opportunities for --22 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Can you speak up a little, Mr. 23 Forrest, because the translator has to hear you loud and 24 clear. 25 MR. FORREST: Okay. The mission of DIR is to

improve working conditions for California's wage earners and to advance opportunities for profitable employment in California.

DIR publishes materials, and holds workshops and seminars to promote healthy employment relations, conduct research to improve its programs, and coordinates with other agencies to target egregious violators of labor and tax laws in the underground economy.

LETF, or the Labor Enforcement Task Force, under the direction of DIR, is a coalition of California State governments' enforcement agencies. We work together in partnership with local agencies to combat the underground economy.

In this joint effort, information and resources are shared to ensure that employees are paid properly and have safe working conditions, and that lower-abiding businesses have the opportunity for healthy competition.

LETF is committed to raising public awareness of fair, safe and healthful work places.

To this end, LETF has developed and implemented a model education and outreach effort to support the communication, outreach and enforcement activities of the LETF. The objections are the following; to raise the awareness of workers in the underground economy about their rights and to raise the awareness of employers about their

legal responsibilities, and to promote compliance with requirements related to wages, insurance, licenses, benefits and safety.

LETF has released new education materials, including the booklet titled "All Workers have Rights in California". This booklet covers such topics as minimum wage, overtime, rest and meal breaks, safety and health on the job, and benefits for those injured or unemployed.

The booklet is available online, on our website. It's being translated to English, Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese.

We also have resources for employers. The fact sheet's an overview for employers and provides information about what an LETF inspection entails. And we've also developed customized brochures for construction and restaurant employers. And we're currently working on new employee brochures for other specific industries, including agriculture.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, do you have anything about the Agricultural Labor Relations Act in your booklet?

MR. FORREST: I don't believe we do. No, not for -- I don't believe a work, as writes one, does contain that.

I've actually brought a sample with me here today, so we can maybe take a look. But I don't believe

so.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Uh-hum.

MR. FORREST: DIR participations regularly in outreach events statewide. We participate on local radio, television broadcasts in multiple languages. During the summer months, DIR representatives educates agricultural workers about their rights and, specifically, about heat illness prevention.

The LETF website is available in English and Spanish, and includes an online referral form. The public can now use this online referral form, available in English and Spanish, to submit leads to LETF. And all the educational materials I've referenced are also available online.

During on-site inspections, the Labor Enforcement Task Force investigators have an opportunity to engage face-to-face with workers. LETF teams interview workers during on-site inspections and also provide workers with resources to help them understand their rights.

Deputy Labor Commissioners, from the Labor Commissioner's Office, will explain to workers that their employer cannot fire, demote, suspend or discipline them for answering any questions or for speaking with a government agency.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Can you tell us a little bit

about how that occurs? How do you get on site? Under what circumstances.

MR. FORREST: Well, on our Task Force we have the Labor Commissioner's Office is a primary member. And under Labor Code 90, the Labor Commissioners is able to go onto any, essentially any site of business. And we have CalOSHA as one of our partners, as well. And they have a similar situation where they're able to inspect pretty much any work that's in California, anywhere where there are employees.

So, for our Task Force, wherever we see employees, that's where we're going to do our inspections.

So, Deputy Labor Commissioners, they also sometimes speak with the workers and arrange to meet outside of a workplace, with workers, to give them an opportunity to provide more information or ask more questions without the fear of retaliation from the employers.

CalOSHA inspectors, on the Task Force, they will also advise workers on how to stay safe on the job, how to use certain equipment safely.

Agricultural inspections, during the summer months, CalOSHA inspectors ask employees if they have been trained on heat illness, if their employer provides shade and water, and if the workers are aware of the symptoms of

heat illness. We find this is an effective way of ensuring that employers are complying with heat illness prevention and to also make sure that workers stay safe while working outdoors in high temperatures.

DIR has also engaged employers and workers through worker's rights training. Participants learn about basic workers' rights through interactive methods. They brainstorm around resources in their region to support workers facing issues at work. They learn about the work of the Labor Enforcement Task Force, and other State agencies, and they explore ways how to support workers facing problems.

Participants develop a short action plan to implement the ideas and strategies in their workplace. And these workshops are being conducted in both English and Spanish.

And I think that concludes the statement I have.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. All right, questions from

Board Members?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: We heard from Mr. Sandoval about the Labor Commissioner's requirement that training for farm labor contractors include information about our Act, the ALRA. And are you familiar with that particular program?

MR. FORREST: I'm afraid not. No, I'm not really

involved in the farm labor contractor program under the Labor Commissioner.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I've been told that an employer can say no if you show up, or if CalOSHA shows up at their place of employment. But that the threat of getting the warrant and then coming back is the reason why they usually allow them on. Is that your understanding, as well? I mean that -- when you were saying they have the enforcement mechanism, can they show up to any employer in the State and say, I have the right to walk into your employment right now and do these things, or is it the threat of going and getting the warrant is why they are allowed, essentially?

MR. FORREST: Yeah, some of the -- with Labor Enforcement Task Force inspections, employers sometimes do refuse us entry. And in that case, we do notify the employer that we will issue a warrant. And then we would leave that business and consult with our legal department, and try to obtain a warrant to return and do the inspection.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Who gives the warrant?

MR. FORREST: It's issued from the -- I believe

from the Labor Commissioner. We consult with the legal

unit of the Labor Commissioner. Because as I mentioned, under Labor Code 90, the Labor Commissioner does have the right to inspect the workplace. So, I believe it's issued from the Labor Commissioner's Office.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Have you been involved in an inspection of an agricultural operation?

MR. FORREST: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Can you tell us about that? I mean, how did that go? What was your experience in that respect?

MR. FORREST: Yes. So, back in August I joined a team, with the Labor Enforcement Task Force, so we had a member from the Labor Commissioner's Office, a member from CalOSHA, and a member from EDD. And we all went out, together, and inspected some businesses up in Yuba City area. And we were specifically doing agriculture that day.

And so we did three inspections on that day. I should also mention, what we do on the Task Force, we do targeted inspections. We don't do any random inspections. So, our team members share information in advance and pick targets where we believe there is underground economy activities.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: So, you have a basis for going to those employers that you chose that day?

MR. FORREST: Correct. Yeah, through -- we do

surveillance and data sharing. And so, for example, the first thing we'll do is we'll go as a team, we'll introduce ourselves to the employer or to the foreman, explain who we are and why we're there. And then ask permission to talk with some of the workers.

And the Labor Commissioner's Office will do their inspection related to wages per hour. CalOSHA will look at the health and safety issues. And EDD will do their inspection related to tax laws.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Did all three of the employers allow you to come on?

MR. FORREST: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: And were the workers receptive to talking with you?

MR. FORREST: It was a sort of mixed reaction. Some of them, actually most of them did talk with us. A few of them very briefly. They answered a few questions very briefly.

The employers were very helpful, very cooperative, as were the foremen. But I think we find sometimes some workers don't want to speak with us and sometimes we can't oblige them to speak with us. But other times, workers do want to ask us questions and solicit our help, so it depends.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: For the workers'

rights training, how do you reach them to invite them to the training?

MR. FORREST: We've been -- I believe we've been reaching out to various worker rights advocacy groups. And we also, through the Labor Enforcement Task Force, we collaborate with LOHP, which is the Labor Occupational Health Program at UC Berkeley. They help us and they actually, sometimes, conduct these workshops on our behalf.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

MR. BLANCO: You mentioned the employee handbook, booklet and you talked about the various languages in it.

And, conspicuously out of that were any of the indigenous languages. And can you tell us why that is?

MR. FORREST: I think that's a challenge to find sort of a written translation. I'm not sure if we've pursued that to a certain extent, looking into that.

I know that -- well, for example, our Task Force, we inspect other industries, other than agriculture. So, for example, construction, automotive repair, garment manufacturing. Those are our -- some of our key industries. And some of those languages, such as Chinese, Korean, Vietnamese, a lot of the workers in those industries speak those languages.

So, I'm not sure if, yeah, we've actually pursued looking at those other languages.

MR. BLANCO: And so, that booklet is a generalized one meant to reach workers regardless of industry. Is that right?

MR. FORREST: Correct, yes. It's for any

MR. FORREST: Correct, yes. It's for any worker in California, and specifically for workers in the so-called underground economy, who may not be aware of all of the rights that they have.

MR. BLANCO: And you mentioned that's also on your website?

MR. FORREST: Yes.

MR. BLANCO: And in Spanish, as well as English. But that's also more designed for the urban area employee, as opposed to rural area employees, would you say?

MR. FORREST: I'm not sure we designed it with that in mind. It's for, essentially, anyone who has internet access. Yeah, it's available. We also, on some of our LETF trifold brochures, we now have a QR code which, hopefully, people with Smart phones can employ that and use that.

MR. BLANCO: So, you were mentioning the DLSC representatives that will discuss retaliation protections with the employees, when they're on these LETF visits. And the workers gather around the group, are they working? What are they doing when this is going on?:

MR. FORREST: We try to minimize disruptions of

business operations. So, we generally inspect -- or excuse me, talk with one worker at a time. So, we -- for example, yeah, we try to avoid having them all stop working and gather round.

So, for example, we'll have -- we'll just go around one by one. And we won't necessarily interview everyone on site, but we'll try and get to as many workers as we can.

MR. BLANCO: In the span of what time are we talking about?

MR. FORREST: That depends. If, for example, we don't find many issues, then the inspection wouldn't take very long. It could take, say, 20 minutes if we interview the employer or some of the employees, and there's no issues.

If we do find -- for example, if CalOSHA finds a serious health and safety violation, which is causing an imminent hazard, then that could take a bit longer because we have to address that.

So, it's hard to put an exact timeframe on our inspections. It really depends on what we find when we get there.

MR. BLANCO: So, on the three visits that you participated on, on the average how many workers, farmworkers did you guys actually talk to that you saw?

MR. FORREST: We probably spoke to, I would say, between five and ten on each inspection.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Out of what kind of complement of workers?

MR. FORREST: I remember two of them had quite a few workers, maybe say 50. But these two sites, in particular, didn't have too many issues, so they were relatively quick inspections.

So, obviously, when you have larger farm sites, with lots of workers, we can't expect to interview all of them, so we'll just -- we'll try and talk with a few and get an idea of if there are any issues they have and what's going on.

MR. BLANCO: So, this issue about idle time, that doesn't really come up in your situation because the workers are working when you're talking to them?

MR. FORREST: Correct, yeah. I haven't had any issues of idle time.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: These Task Force inspections are for inspecting for violations as the first priority, versus worker education?

MR. FORREST: That's correct, yeah. Well, I mean they sometimes go both hand in hand. We are looking, our mission is to target the egregious offenders in the underground economy. So, we're looking for those

violations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

But then, at the same time, when we interview workers we can say, towards the end, say the end of the interview with them, you have these rights. Call us is you need anything here, some resources.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: But the interview is towards finding fact on whether or not their employer has violated the law?

MR. FORREST: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Okay, all right.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thanks very much.

MR. FORREST: Thank you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And we'll have one more speaker,

Ana Toledo, and then I think we will take a bit of a

stretch and a break for, according to our schedule, an hour

17 and a half.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: No, no, no.

19 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: We better

20 reconvene in half an hour.

21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, half an hour, okay.

22 MS. TOLEDO: Good afternoon. My name is Ana

23 Toledo and I'm an attorney with the law firm of Noland,

24 Hamerly, Etienne & Hoss, here in Salinas.

And I represent employers in labor and employment

law matters. And many of my clients are growers or related to the agricultural industry. I have also represented employers at the ALRB and interact very frequently with the Salinas Regional Office.

And before I share my comments, there's a few observations. Because we don't have a lot of information regarding what the ALRB is contemplating, except this general access to workplaces for the purpose of worker education, there's a lot of questions that I have.

And my concerns, in part, stand by my experiences with the ALRB. And this question of nonproductive time has come up with a few speakers.

My experience, when the ALRB shows up at the workplace, it is for purposes of investigation. So, whether it's interviews, examining a worksite, noticing because it's been mandated by a Board order, or the parties have entered into a settlement agreement.

All of these situations, the employer is paying for the time that the agency is on their premises. And what I mean by that is they're interviewing, let's say a foreman, they're paying for that foreman's time. They are speaking to the crew, they're paying for that time.

So, when we hear the ALRB having access for educational purposes, I'm hearing it's going to be on employer time. And I understand that that has not been

clarified or defined, but that's a genuine concern.

So, I think any -- and tied with that is the takings issue, which I think several people have spoken on that issue, not just here, or submitted written comments regarding some of the constitutional issues around that.

The other concern that I have is that as far as I understand it, the Labor Code Section 1151 does grant the Board access to places of employment for investigative purposes and for hearings, not for educational purposes.

So, I would question the Board's authority to be able to do that unless it is tied to one of those goals or proceedings.

With respect to the notion of educating farmworkers, myself, and I'm sure a lot of my clients are not opposed to worker education. But I am here to speak, today, against the ALRB having access for purposes of worker education. I think today, in 2015, there are multiple ways in which a government agency can reach farmworkers. And several people have mentioned social media, print media, radio. There's also collaboration with employers.

And to my knowledge, there has not been an intentional effort on collaboration with employers for worker education.

It's been pointed out by several people here,

today, that CalOSHA went down that path and, with some success, did education on heat illness prevention.

And I would ask that the Board consider some type of collaborative effort with employers on this topic before it takes the step of mandating worker education, where the agency is going to come on to worker property.

And I'm also not aware of extraordinary educational efforts by the Board in terms of outreach to workers. I think all of these efforts should be explored and tried before the Board takes the step of mandating access to private property for the purposes of education.

I understand the issues of a workforce that has unique language needs. Agricultural employers are not the only ones who face that challenge. There are other industries, there are other languages that may have a specific workforce that does not have a large number of workers with that language.

But yet, both agricultural employers and other employers are already figuring out ways to educate workers on the topics that they're mandated to do trainings on.

And so, I go back to exploring some collaboration with employers because there are employers who are -they're already dealing with this issue and they're already finding effective ways to communicate with workers.

And I think government agencies can learn

something from the private sector because they're being forced to do this, whether it's heat illness, safety training for equipment, sexual harassment prevention training it's they're already having to deal with the situation.

The other issue that I want to put out is, as you're probably all aware of, there's a fundamental distrust by the agricultural employer community of the ALRB. And the expectation is that this agency should be conducting itself in a fair and unbiased manner.

And I don't have to go through any litany of reasons why that isn't the case. But that's the reputation this agency has right now with the grower community. And again, taking the step of forcing employers to accept your presence on their property will further deteriorate any positive, collaborative relationship that this agency can have with the agricultural employer community.

So, that's -- in summary, I would ask that the Board first engage in a very focused, aggressive worker education program before it takes a step like this. And if the Agency has tried it, I would be happy to hear about it. I would be curious to hear about it. But as far as I know, it's usually education happens through the office. I mean, I don't really know, to be honest of you. I'm not aware of any campaign that this agency has done with farmworkers.

But I would suggest that the agency try that before it takes a step of accessing private property for education.

Any questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: No, thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MR. BLANCO: So, when you talk about this collaboration with employers, how do you envision that occurring? What do we -- what do we need to do to make that happen?

MS. TOLEDO: I think that there's significant agricultural associations. Western Growers is here. believe Jim Bogart is here, now, with the Grower Shipper Association. There's the Farm Labor Contractor Association. I think there's enough structure in the agricultural community that you can begin to have some of those conversations regarding -- because there are employers right now who are providing education to their workforce regarding the Agricultural Labor Relations Act. It's some are doing it, are already telling workers about the Act and their rights under the Act.

So, I would suggest having some conversations with some of those stakeholders and figuring out what might make sense in the community we're talking about.

MR. BLANCO: That sounds like a topic for the

```
1
   Labor Management Advisory Committee.
2
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you very much.
3
   Thank you very much.
4
             MS. TOLEDO:
                           Thanks.
5
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Now, we'll take that break and
6
   we'll come back at 4:30.
7
              (Off the record at 3:57 p.m.)
8
              (On the record at 4:33 p.m.)
9
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: We can go back on the record.
10
   Okay. All right, let's go.
11
             We're back on the record. Let's see, who do we
12
   go to next?
13
             MR. BLANCO: Anjelica Isidro.
14
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. Do we have Anjelica
15
   Isidro?
16
             MR. BLANCO: Yes, I made sure. Ms. Isidro,
17
   Senora Isidro?
18
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: How are you. Welcome, Ms.
19
   Isidro.
20
             MS. ISIDRO: Good morning. (Through Interpreter)
21
             CHAIRMAN GOULD: Do you want to say something by
22
   way of introduction as to what you do and what your
23
   interest in these proceedings is?
24
             MS. ISIDRO: Yes, thank you. My name's Anjelica
25
   and I'm here to urge you to do some work for the
```

1 farmworkers.

MR. BLANCO: And can you tell us what you do for a living?

4 MS. ISIDRO: I am currently a Mixteco 5 interpreter.

MR. BLANCO: And you work at the -- with the Natividad Hospital Program?

MS. ISIDRO: Well, I wouldn't want to mention where I work. I'm just here representing the community.

MR. BLANCO: Okay. So, outside of your official work, do you work with the Mixteco community?

MS. ISIDRO: Yes, I do.

MR. BLANCO: And can you tell us what that work is? And let him interpret.

MS. ISIDRO: Well, when I worked out in the fields I would help my fellow workers, interpreting for them what the foremen and other people that they needed to speak with. I really don't know how they're getting by, now. How they're getting -- how they're getting other people to understand them, I don't know.

MR. BLANCO: And so today, the Board is gathering information regarding the right to allow ALRB staff to visit agricultural worksites for the purpose of educating farmworkers and field supervisors. Can you -- can you tell us what your position is on that, what you think of that?

MS. ISIDRO: Well, what is it you want to talk about because there's so many things that could be covered? What do you have in mind?

MR. BLANCO: So, we're specifically talking about the rights provided to workers under the Agricultural Labor Relations Act.

MS. ISIDRO: Okay. Well, first of all, you need to start with providing an interpreter so they know what it is that you're telling them.

Well, you need to be able to communicate with them and you also need to work with them to be able to go back --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I didn't understand you. What did you say?

THE INTERPRETER: Not only do you need to let them know, be able to speak with them with an interpreter, but you need to work with them. Go back, inspect these worksites.

And I don't know if you work with CalOSHA or you're similar to them, but the foremen fear CalOSHA. They hear CalOSHA is coming around and they're afraid. And if you have the power, authority, you should do the same thing, show up and inspect.

MR. BLANCO: Now, you mentioned you were a farmworker. How long did you do that?

1 MS. ISIDRO: From '91 to 2012. 2 MR. BLANCO: And in all those years did you ever 3 hear about the Agricultural Labor Relations Board, also 4 known as "La Ley Laboral"? 5 MS. ISIDRO: No. 6 MR. BLANCO: Okay. And do you think that many 7 Mixteco farmworkers currently are in that same situation, 8 that they don't know about the Agricultural Labor Relations 9 Board? 10 MS. ISIDRO: Well, perhaps some of them, but not 11 everyone. 12 MR. BLANCO: And I think she also said the 13 majority --14 THE INTERPRETER: Most of them do not. 15 MR. BLANCO: Okay, thank you. 16 Now, in the work that you do with the Mixteco 17 community, do you have the occasion to visit their homes? 18 MS. ISIDRO: Yes, I do visit them in their homes. 19 And some of them, actually all of them are farmworkers. 20 Some of them work in the peach, some of them work in the cauliflower and other crops. And I speak with them or they 21 22 speak with me about many things. But it's not the same as 23 if I speak with them or you speak with them. 24 MR. BLANCO: So, when you visit their homes, have 25 you noticed whether or not they have computers?

MS. ISIDRO: Okay. No, they do not have access to the internet or computers. Some of them -- well, most of them don't even speak Spanish, can't even -- or some can't even read or write. They get up, they work all day, they start at 5:00 in the morning. They get home very late, 5:00, 6:00. They get home, they have to cook -- or let me go back. They go pick up their children from the babysitters. Go home, have to cook for themselves for the next day, for their kids. And they're not able to do anything else.

MR. BLANCO: Now, we also hear and have heard for a long time that all farmworkers have cell phones. And would you agree with that?

THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

MR. BLANCO: That all farmworkers have cell phones and if she would agree with that statement?

MS. ISIDRO: Well, yes, because they need to be able to communicate with their family and they're easy to have them. You know that.

MR. BLANCO: And do you know if these are basic phones or if these are what is now called a Smart phone?

MS. ISIDRO: Well, I believe some of those are intelligent phones, but they may be able to use them because their children show them how to do it. But as I said, some of them don't read or write so they can't do

1 much with them. 2 MR. BLANCO: And in the indigenous language that 3 you speak, which I believe you said was Mixteco, are you 4 aware, is there a written version of the language? 5 MS. ISIDRO: Well, there is. But just like 6 English, if you don't know English, how are you going to be 7 able to understand it? It's got to be easier to do it in 8 Spanish or do it in their own language. 9 MR. BLANCO: I think she was referring to talking 10 to them in their own language. No, okay. 11 Does the Board have any questions? 12 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Okay, yeah. Thank you. 13 Thank you. So, for the farmworker community, who do they 14 listen to for advice on dealing with the government? 15 MR. BLANCO: It looks like it's not on, now. 16 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Oh, you have to press it 17 down until it's red. No, maybe the battery is -- it's on 18 the side. You have to hold it down for just a second. 19 MR. BLANCO: Nope. 20 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Maybe it ran out. 21 works, that's it. 22 It may be low on battery, it's THE INTERPRETER: 23 blinking. 24 BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Oh, okay. 25 MS. ISIDRO: Well, just like everybody else,

there are people in the community that we can contact and we have access to them.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Okay. You mentioned how busy the lives are of many of the community members and farmworkers. When is the best time to try to talk to them about their rights?

MS. ISIDRO: Well, during the working season it would be very difficult because they work all the time. But once the season ends, they're at home and you can have access to them -- you can have access to them. That would be November through January.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Oh, okay.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: You mentioned reading the language -- reading the written language. Can the average Mixtec worker read the -- his own indigenous language?

MS. ISIDRO: Well, you would have to go to school to learn something and I would not recommend that. I would recommend that you explain it either verbally, in Spanish, or in their own language.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: But again, can the average Mixtec farmworker read something in their own language?

MS. ISIDRO: No, I don't think they would understand. They would still have to go to school and learn Mixteco to be able to read it or write.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay. All right, well, thank you very much for your time.

MS. ISIDRO: Well, now, since I'm here I would like to say something. Do you have the authority, can you help the community, to help them with childcare? They're having strangers take care of their children. I don't know, could something come from the government that could help them with childcare, that would help them protect their children?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, our agency is -- is not involved directly with childcare issues. I believe that there are other portions of the California government who have responsibility for this. And speaking for myself, I cannot speak with any measure of expertise on this.

Our agency is designed to protect employees who want to change their working conditions, or protest against their working conditions, or who want to either join unions or who want not to join unions.

And so our mission, while we think it is important, is a narrow one. Sometimes -- oh, sorry.

(Interpreter translating)

CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, sometimes employers may have their own policies. Where there are unions, that is an issue which can be negotiated between the union and the employer. But we are not directly involved with an issue

like childcare, regrettably.

Thank you, thank you. Is there anything else you would like to say?

MS. ISIDRO: No, that is all right. Thank you for being here and I hope you accomplish what you're looking for. Please protect the farmworkers because they live from their work and they need protection before something happens, not afterwards.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you very much, indeed.

(Off-the-record conversation)

MR. MATURINO: My name is Pete Maturino, and I'm with the UFCW Local 5. (Speaking Spanish)

So, we invited several people to be here to talk to you today. And we just found out that when you're talking the language, Triqui, that there's three dialects. And the interpreter that's been provided by the State does not understand or cannot translate the dialects that the workers that came here today.

So, it's going to be next to impossible or not possible at all for these employees to talk to you today, and for you to ask these questions that you might have.

And I'm not sure what, if anything, we can do about this, other than try to schedule another meeting or something like that.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Would it be

1 possible to have them testify so that we have it in the 2 record, and then we can have -- at least get that 3 translated at a later date, so that what they want to 4 communicate is at least on the record? 5 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, this is a question. 6 think this is a really technical question for the reporter. 7 And that is you're taking an auditory -- so, you could make 8 a recording of what they say and we could arrange for this 9 to go to someone who can do this. The only problem, of 10 course, the regrettable problem here is that there can be 11 no back and forth, unless we do that at a subsequent date. 12 But I think maybe that's -- maybe that's the 13 answer. MR. MATURINO: That could be the answer except 14 15 that how do I really -- that information to the people, for 16 them to --17 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, yes. 18 MR. MATURINO: And that's the problem. 19 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes. BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: So, there's no 20 21 one in the group that knows any Spanish. That can even 22 look at --23 MR. MATURINO: I don't know what to tell you. 24 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, maybe --25 MR. MATURINO: Can I say this?

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Sure. 2 MR. MATURINO: (Speaking Spanish with audience) 3 He says that there is individuals that are here, 4 that are willing to come up and talk, and then you can 5 record them, and then figure out what the translation is. 6 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, go ahead. 7 (Testimony of Triqui witnesses to be interpreted and transcribed at a later date) 8 9 TRIQUI WITNESS: (Through Interpreter) I also 10 speak Mixteco, Espanol and Triqui. 11 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yes, can you --12 THE INTERPRETER: I will just inform that we 13 actually spoke Mixteco and we do have a Mixteco interpreter 14 here. But the other gentlemen spoke Triqui, although they 15 didn't say what their petition was on the issue. 16 Can you communicate to them that CHAIRMAN GOULD: 17 we would like to -- if you can communicate to him in 18 Spanish, that we would like to hear from them about what 19 the position is on the issue before us. 20 (Triqui Witness Speaking) 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, can you repeat that? 22 (Through Interpreter) Yes, my name is MR. DIAZ: 23 Jacinto Diaz. I am a farmworker and I speak Triqui. 24 agree with what is proposed here because we need to protect 25 the -- to be protected at work. A lot of farmers look down upon us if we complain.

(Triqui Witnesses Speaking)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. Also, I can speak a little bit of Spanish. And, hopefully, what you're trying to accomplish does happen, that you'll be able to go in and speak to the workers at work. But make sure you bring an interpreter for each dialect, specific dialect, whether it be Triqui or Mixteco. Because if you speak in our language, that would make it easier for us to trust you.

If you bring somebody that speaks Spanish, that would make us nervous, the same with English. So, please, if you come in, bring somebody that speaks our specific language. And go with each worker and have them tell you about their own experiences. Thank you.

MR. MARTINEZ: (Through Interpreter) Yes, thank you. I also speak a little bit of Spanish. Earlier, I interpreted for Jacinto Diaz. Now, I'm going to say what I would like to say.

Thank you for the opportunity for me to be here. Before I get started, I'd like to mention that I am a member of the Indigenous Union.

Well, I want to let you know that there are many problems, that there are many, many, many problems. When they know that you're coming, they make sure that we wash

our hands. They tell us there's a buyer coming in and they make sure that we wash our hands, that all the ears are clean.

And on these days where they tell us that a buyer's coming in, we really don't know if it's a buyer or "La Ley Laboral" coming in.

So, yes, please come into the fields and talk to us. And when you do, please bring your two interpreters, Triqui and Mixteco. And I'd just like to point out it's -- it is not a dialect, it's a language.

And we will know that here or there people look down at people that are not able to speak much. And they speak all the time in their language in Spanish, the supervisors do. And they don't pay much attention to the indigenous person.

When they do bring information out, they do their spiel and then they start yelling, did you understand? Is it clear to you? And they did it in Spanish, not in Triqui or Mixteco, Zapoteco or whatever may be out there. They do it in Spanish and they have no idea if the person understood or not.

Now, let's see, some action out in the fields.

Sometimes we tell them something and they ignore us.

Again, thank you and that is my comment now. My name is Antonio Martinez, a member of an Indigenous Union.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: We're trying to find out the best time to provide information on your rights to workers, like yourself. When is that time? Is it before work, after work, on a Saturday? When would -- when would you take the time from your day to do that, to have that education?

MR. MARTINEZ: As farmworkers, the best time to speak with us would be on a Sunday. There is very many of us that have problems and have comments that we would like to get to you.

I am a little confused. The best time would be like a Wednesday or a Thursday, any of those days.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: That would be -- that would be at work?

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you very much. Thanks you very much for -- oh.

MR. NAJERA: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon to everybody. My name is Gonzalo Najera Santiago. I am from the State of Oaxaca and my native language is Mixteco.

I have worked for Huntington Farms for 18 years.

25 And I have worked for many other industries in the fields

to make many different vegetables.

I work with our union contract and I also, temporarily, am working with the union to provide information to the workers in the fields. And I have witnessed the needs that are still present in the fields.

And my question is, is why is the ALRB forbidden from visiting the worksites?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, one of the questions -we're looking right now at the question of what the best
way to communicate with workers is. And one of the things
that we are considering is that -- is to have access to the
fields.

There is a decision, a judicial decision which has said to us that we have that authority to have access to the fields.

So, we are trying to obtain the best information, the best facts from everyone who would be affected by this. Because the court has said that we must engage in a fact-finding process. And if we do something, come up with something which is based upon facts.

MR. NAJERA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

THE INTERPRETER: He's going to translate what he

24 said.

MR. DIAZ: (Through Interpreters) Well, another

1 thing I want to mention, as an employee of Asconan 2 (phonetic), is that we have need there. They need to 3 provide us with knee pads and they're not providing them. BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: With what? 4 5 BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Knee pads. 6 MR. DIAZ: And as far as work goes, sometimes 7 they provide water. They should provide clean water for 8 There's times that we take the water and it has a 9 strange taste or smell to it. And we need clean water. 10 Most of us speak Triqui and our foreman speaks 11 Spanish, and most of the time we cannot understand him. 12 And he gets upset when we don't understand him. 13 Well, I hope that the ALRB passes this. 14 don't speak just for myself. I speak for many, many, many 15 others. And it's not just at my workplace, there are other contractors or the companies out there, the Perez, the 16 17 Navarro. And we need better working conditions. We should 18 have a foreman that we can understand, maybe get somebody 19 that speaks Triqui. 20 That's all I would like to say, thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, thank you. Thank you very 22 much to all of you. We deeply appreciate your 23 participation in this process. 24 (Interpreters Translating) 25 MR. BLANCO: So, Mr. Scaroni.

1 MR. SCARONI: Good afternoon. Thank you for 2 taking the time to have this forum and this ability to 3 discuss these issues. 4 Oh, okay. Thank you for taking the time to be 5 here today. I know you all have a lot of work to do, as we 6 all do in the room here. 7 My name is Steve Scaroni. I'm the owner of Fresh 8 Harvest, Incorporated. We, the ALRB and I, have similar 9 histories. We both started in business in 1979. So, I've 10 been out there for a long time. 11 CHAIRMAN GOULD: We actually started in 1975. 12 MR. SCARONI: I though the ALRB was voted in --13 it's '79? 14 CHAIRMAN GOULD: It's '75. But that's 15 unimportant. That's all right. 16 MR. SCARONI: I was just -- I was still in high 17 school. 18 (Laughter) 19 MR. SCARONI: Okay, you guys got me by four years 20 then. 21 CHAIRMAN GOULD: We're a little older than you, 22 yes. 23 MR. SCARONI: But I go way back, having observed 24 the ALRB be created and grow into what it is today. 25 born and raised on a farm. I started doing farm work at

eight years old, before the child labor laws were in place.

And done everything that most of the folks in this room have done.

Over the years, we've built a labor farm -farming, labor, harvesting company serving mostly the
larger brands that you see in the stores here in California
and Arizona.

And one of our -- I'm not sure if it's a claim to fame or a claim to being put out of business, but we're one of the largest H2A providers on the West Coast and the fourth largest in the nation.

So, one of the things I wanted to offer is you've heard -- I've heard some comments here today about the H2A program. And I would like to be a resource to the Board as far as helping you to understand how H2A works. We've been doing it for 11 years. I'm not the only guy doing it, but we've been doing it for quite a while.

And the program is growing every year, now. We went from a thousand H2A workers last year to 3,000 this year. We still employ a lot of domestic workers. Our workers, whether they be H2A or local domestics, as we call them, are our most important asset. We have absolutely no motivation to not take care of our workers as best as we, both economically and morally can. They are our largest asset.

The H2A program is a very complex program and very heavily regulated, and a lot of oversight.

But with that little introduction aside, what I wanted to do -- and excuse me, I'm a little bit scatterbrained here, so I want to just go through some of my notes.

And I would just like to ask the Board, I've heard the word "indigenous worker". Can you explain to me how does the ALRB describe indigenous worker?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, I don't think the ALRB is in the business of describing indigenous workers. There's a literature that exists about this and dealing with the question of definition. There's a lot that's been written about this.

But we are not in the business of describing who is indigenous and who is not.

MR. SCARONI: Okay. Well, I've always thought it meant, and I barely got out of high school, so I'm not a very educated person, but I always thought it meant someone who really didn't have any education or --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: No, no, no.

MR. SCARONI: No. Okay, well, I guess my point is that as I've been in the industry since 1979, I've witnessed my workers and the workers in the industry become very educated as to their rights and to what services and

agencies are available to them when there is abuse in the workplace.

So, sometimes I think we get a picture painted that these farmworkers are out here without any ability to resource agencies that are in place, both governmental agencies and agencies like the CLRA, who just stand ready to help them and defend them against any employer who would be abusive, not pay them correctly, et cetera.

I can almost promise you and I invite you to come out and visit my workforce, 95 percent of my workforce all have Smart phones. They're all on Facebook. They have grasped social media like a teenager and it's amazing to see.

My H2As I bring up here, who are basically people that we've recruited out of Mexico, and really have never come to the United States, they're unfamiliar up here. They're even educated to the point that the first thing they do when they come to the United States is they go buy a Smart phone and they get on Facebook.

I can show you how we manage our social media campaign. We use social media to educate and to communicate with our workforce because we are spread out over a large geographically area, and it's hard to see everybody every day. And we're constantly feeding information and part of that information we feed our

workers is their rights and, you know, what's available to them.

The H2As, specifically, we spend hours in an onboarding indoctrination process before we even bring them across the border explaining their rights to them.

We are required by law to do that. And that's one of the, probably, a thousand things that if I don't do it, the Department of Labor, when they come out to audit and interview my crews, which they do every season, and if they find out that I did not indoctrinate or onboard my H2As and my employees about their rights, they will debar me. And it's like being fired. If I don't have my H2A program, in today's labor shortage reality, I'm out of business. And that's how I feed my family.

So, I even have a customer that was present earlier today, I'm not sure if they're still here, that I provide H2A to, that contractually requires me to read every right that an H2A worker has under the H2A program, and under the Federal, and State, and local labor laws.

But we're doing it anyway. Even though this customer puts it in the contract with us, we're doing it anyway because we're required by the Department of Labor and we have to do it.

So, without becoming redundant or going off on rabbit trails today, I'm here today to tell you that I

would appreciate it that if you all would focus on the business of ALRB. We don't need any more agencies out educating the workforce. We have a very educated, knowledgeable workforce out there. And here again, I invite you to come visit my workforce. See how many people have Smart phones. See how many people have Facebook. See how many people are communicating and spreading the word about, you know, where the CLRA is, where the UFW is, and where the Department of Labor is, and how you can contact this agency or that.

I would challenge someone to take a poll here of how many of these great farmworkers that are here today have Smart phones and are on Facebook. I would probably tell you that most of them do, even the ones that have the -- because we employ a lot Oaxacans in our company, in our H2A and our domestic -- H2A and domestic programs.

So, I -- there are so many different ways to communicate workers' rights out there today. And I don't think it's a secret and I don't think I'm speaking, I'm telling you anything new, the ALRB and the ag industry is really viewed as being pro-union, and doing all they can to help the UFW organize workers.

I think this would just be another negative thing to drive a wedge between the ALRB and the farmers as far as what is perceived in the community, that the ALRB is biased

towards assisting the UFW.

And my question would be is if you were to have access to the farmworkers, there's been some really great points brought up. When are you going to do it? Who's going to pay for that time?

And I guess the one question I haven't heard today is who's going to bring accountability to the ALRB staff that will be out there talking to the employees, giving them their rights, and making sure that they're only given their rights and not doing referrals to the UFW or the CLRA.

I think it's statistically a fact that many ALRB field people and employees are former CLRA and UFW sympathizers. That's just a reality. I won't comment at this time on the right or wrong of that.

But who's going to bring accountability to the ALRB? You're here to bring accountability to the UFW and the grower doing the right thing. But all of the sudden, if the ALRB inserts themselves into this process of giving out information, who's going to keep the ALRB teams that are out there, making sure that they're sticking to the letter of the law and the regulations, and they're not giving them pro-union advice and encouragement?

These are some things that I just think that this is not an area that the ALRB -- first of all, we all -- I

don't think we have to tell you about the backlog that exists within the ALRB of all of these ULPs, and elections that haven't been counted, et cetera, et cetera. I'm not sure, where is the backlog? Still quite a backlog going on there? Our ag attorneys are all nodding their head yes.

So, I'm not sure we're going to get the staffing to go out and do all this education. And I didn't know that the State of California was in a very flush position to go out and hire a bunch of people to go out and do all this education.

What I'm trying to say is the vehicle for education of a farmworker is out there. There are many agencies, public and private, that are out there educating the farmworker. The social media is a tremendously effective tool. I believe in it. I invest in it for my workforce, to communicate with them.

You've also got Capancino Radio that the farmworkers are listening to.

There's many ways to disseminate information to the farmworkers out there. The information is out there.

And I think I will close, and to the joy of many I will close in a minute. I did want to share one thing to you. You talk about -- we talk about transparency. I just want to show you the binder. I'm not sure if you can see this. I'll be glad to e-mail it to you. This is the

binder that every one of my crews has. It's literally about six inches thick. It has all these disclosures. These are just some random shots of the pages in this binder.

We're required by law, by several agencies to have this information available to the crews, either in a large poster format or in a binder format. Because we move around a lot, it's more efficient that we keep a binder right there with the crew. And we literally hang it. On our harvest machines, we literally hang these binders from a rope, right there where -- you know, it's not hidden in someone's pickup. It's not under somebody's seat.

So, I just want to exhort you to really look into, you know, is this just another feel good thing to go out and think we're solving a problem that I'm not sure exists. I don't think there's any problem with the current private and public vehicles and agencies that are out educating the farmworker.

I'm very proud of the fact, we have a very educated farmworker base today. And it's because of all these efforts of many agencies, public and private, educating the farmworker.

So, thank you for your time. And like I said, i would like to continue this conversation with some housing visits, some field visits. Talk to my employees.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I think there's some questions for you.

MR. SCARONI: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: So, Steve, you mentioned you had the one client that requires you to read all the rights to the workers as part of the contract you've got with that client.

Do you include the ALRB information about the right to be free from retaliation for speaking up?

MR. SCARONI: The information that we give is pretty extensive. And I don't want to sit here and say we actually give a piece of paper to the farmworkers, saying it's from the ALRB.

If it's required to give to them, we give it to them. But I'm not intimately knowledge -- I will be glad to confirm whether we have a document.

We do give them a document from several agencies that repeats that, that they are -- that they have the right to not be retaliated against for concerted activities, or age, gender, discrimination, et cetera.

So, that particular notice appears in several different papers or disclosures that we give all the employees, and is in the binder.

Now, whether there's one that specifically has an ALRB header on it, I can't answer that. But I will ask my

HR team about that. That's a fair question.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any more questions?

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: I have nothing, no. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thanks. Thank you very much.

MR. SCARONI: Okay, thank you very much for your time and thank you, again, for coming to Salinas, and not doing this in Imperial Valley, where it's 115 degrees today.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MR. BLANCO: So, is there a Juan Carlos Ramirez here?

MR. RAMIREZ: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon, my name is Juan Carlos Ramirez. I work for a strawberry company. And the reason for me being here is to give you my point of view. And not only mine, but many fellow workers on what we're speaking about here, about the access of going into the fields and giving information.

Well, I don't think it's a good idea to go out there. First of all, you need to change the perspective of the workers on the ALRB. They all consider you as a governmental agency and some things have happened that I believe we're not very trusting. And things might not have gone the way they believed they did, but some do.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I don't quite get that.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Did he also say we're too slow? No?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: I didn't quite get --

MR. RAMIREZ: They might have believed things happened a certain way that maybe they didn't happen that way.

Oh, yes, I don't trust the ALRB much because I don't think you're there to take the employer to employees rights. I think you're there to take more the rights for the employee to join a union.

Well, whatever you do as part of the -- with, or as part of the Board or the ALRB, some things, whether -- something with discrimination or anything like that, anything that you do, I'm not saying everybody does it, but if someone does, people believe that everybody is part of it.

When the company that I work for gave access to the union to come and talk to us, we weren't happy with that. We sought the ALRB and we didn't get help. We felt like we were discriminated.

I spoke to a lady attorney about filing a complaint against the UFW and the attorney was with the ALRB. And there were other people present, who were there and saw this. And, basically, what she told me was that, well, sir, the UFW, it's up here and you're down here.

You're not going to do much against them.

I filed a complaint against the UFW and what came of that was I got a letter, six months later, asking me if I had current phone numbers for the witnesses that I had. And then a year later, I got another letter telling me that my case was dismissed.

I can give you many examples. Another one was when I testified in favor -- I actually helped clear some lies that the UFW was saying about my employer. There were several of us that helped.

And the ALRB was quick to go and respond to something that involved the UFW, but not when it was us. They came down and asked several people, some of my fellow workers in advance, and interrogated them with several attorneys. And I don't think that's fair.

I could spend all afternoon giving you examples of situations where the ALRB acted differently with employees who did not want to join a union.

And, again, I don't think it's a good idea for you to go out in the fields and stuff. The people are working. Number one, you don't have much credibility with the workers. And secondly, the workers don't like it when you go and stop them from earning their living.

I know what I'm about to say is going to sound very harsh and I hope I don't offend anybody. But I

believe that the ALRB needs the unions. Because if the unions weren't out there trying to take the money away from their employees, because that's what they do, they make business with the employees. And if they weren't getting farms out there, then with that the ALRB wouldn't continue. Couldn't continue working.

And I know that it would be probably easy for you to get the -- it would be a good idea for you to go out in the fields and bring your interpreters, all the interpreters, Triqui or whatever it may be. But it would probably be easier if you used the media. All the employees have phones. You can do it on Twitter, on Facebook, or any of those. You can make a television commercial and everybody watches the TV.

I think that's going to be all. Thank you for the opportunity for me to tell you this. And there's many ways to get this information out there. I know there's a lot of people out here who are representing the union. And I tell you most of them are probably being told what to say. And again, what I said is that there's many ways to get that information.

THE INTERPRETER: I need to go back and say something I omitted from the last statement he made. He said, take time to figure out or find out what the people, the workers actually, truly feel about you, if we have

credibility with you or not, or if they trust you or not.

And now he says that, while there's charges have been made against the -- something that the employer did wrong, the union makes up these posters to place them out near the bathrooms, where people go do their thing. But there's also charges where the union failed the worker. And you don't see them making these posters and placing them where they can be seen.

I thank you for your time. And again, take time to find out what people, the workers feel about you. It sounds terrible for me to tell you here, but I don't trust you. And please figure out what your posture is with the workers, first of all. And I hope you take action on that.

And please don't take it as I'm saying it for each one of you in particular that are in front of me. By that I mean, when I say ALRB, I mean any person representing ALRB comes out and does something against it, we take it -- we feel that it's ALRB, wholly ALRB.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Any questions?

It would be improper for any representative of the Board, or anyone else, to say to you that anybody is up there and you are down there. And so, if you have information about that, you should bring that to the attention of the Acting General Counsel in Sacramento, and to me, personally. Because I don't know anything about

many of the things you've talked about. But I know that that would be wrong if, in fact, it happened. And you should say when it happened, who said it, and who was there.

Thank you. Thank you very much.

MR. RAMIREZ: At the moment I did place a call to Sylvia Torres and she never returned my call. Instead, she referred me to someone local, I believe in -- and she told me that perhaps I didn't understand what they were telling me. But like I told her, I may not have much education, but I know when somebody's telling me that the union's up here and I'm down here, and that it's impossible for me to do anything.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you very much.

MR. RAMIREZ: Thank you.

(Off-the-record conversation)

MR. BLANCO: So, let's see Gonzalo Najera,
Anjelica Bautista, Pablo Gregorio, Santiago Hernandez, and
Selma Barrios, Luis Vasquez, Edgar Urias.

MS. BAUTISTA: Hi, my name is Anjelica Bautista. I work for (inaudible). And I support the ALRB because I want my people to know their rights and the laws of California.

And one other thing I want to know is that I want to tell you that there is -- I want the people to know

about the new regulation over here, and about sick days, because many people don't know about it. And one of the things the Fresh Harvest supervisor, I think he said, that the people had like Smart phones and everything. But it's not like enough for them to know their rights.

Some of them, they don't like their food and it's paid for. And I know that because I went to work for the union for a month, and I talked to those people and they told me that there's like -- their living's not okay for them. Their food, they don't like the food, but they had to pay for it. So, that's all.

And one of my questions is what are you guys going to do -- what are the themes you're going to take to the field?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Excuse me?

MS. BAUTISTA: What are the themes?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: The things?

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: The themes we'll take to the field, what will we say?

20 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, themes. Well --

MS. BAUTISTA: Well, what information are you going to take to the field?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, we're trying to -- we're talking about the idea of advising people about what is contained in the Agricultural Labor Relations Act and what

procedures could be employed by the Board.

MS. BAUTISTA: And one other question is how often you're going to go?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: We have no idea. And that would be -- the whole question of where we go, under what circumstances we go, how often we go, if indeed we do go, is something that is under consideration. And we want the best advice of all parties.

MS. BAUTISTA: Okay, thank you.

MR. VASQUEZ: (Through Interpreter) Hello, my name is Luis Vasquez. I'm from the State of Oaxaca and I speak Zapoteco. I work for Dole Berry Leaf Farms.

I am currently working with the UFW and visiting, working with the farmworkers as an organizer, visiting the crews. And for me, it's very important that the law passes. To be able to go out and disseminate information.

But my question to the ALRB is what information or training are you going to give to the foremen or management? That is all.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, so again, this is something that is being considered by us. We would like the advice of everyone, including yourself, as to whether we should speak to management. You know, whether we should say something that is different in structure or form from what we say to employees. We want your advice and input.

MR. VASQUEZ: Okay, thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Santiago Hernandez. I am from the State of Oaxaca and I speak Mixteco.

I am working under a union contract with Dole
Berry. I am currently working with the UFW as an
organizer, bringing information to the workers about their
rights. And I agree it's important, the amendment that the
Labor Relations Board is proposing.

Because we have gone to several of the companies where the employees do not know their rights. Also, since we have no access to the labor contractors, it would be good if you went in there also with them, and with the growers, also.

And I have a question for the ALRB. How can the ALRB get information to the workers as far as the working hours and such in their own language, providing different interpreters?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: How can we get what?

MR. HERNANDEZ: How can the workers have better access to the resources at the ALRB, such as shifts, working hours, and their rights?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, the statute is concerned with the rights of employees to have a voice and to speak on employment conditions, be they wages, conditions of

employment that they are unsatisfied with and not to be retaliated against.

And the statute is also concerned with the rights of workers to join unions or, if they wish, not join unions. And we are not concerned -- it's not our charge, it's not our responsibility to deal with the particulars of the working conditions, themselves.

It's the right of employees to speak about these working conditions that is our concern.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: A tambien, and we hope to have the help of translators in Mixteco, Triqui, Zapoteco and so forth.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. I also would like to mention that maybe your office should keep longer hours. We work long hours and we would like to be able to file, talk to you, and we cannot do that if you have no more hours to draw this.

MR. GREGORIO: Good afternoon. My name is Pablo Gregorio. I am also from the State of Oaxaca. And I have been working here many years. I'm currently working -- for the last two or three months, I've been working as an organizer.

We've been visiting workers and we've been finding that they have no idea of their rights. They have no idea of what their rights are.

And I don't understand why there's so much opposition against this. Or, maybe I do, because they don't want the employees to know that they have the right to speak out. There are many violations out there and I believe they don't want their employees to feel, or know if they can speak out and tell about it.

As far as going to go in, you can go in and disseminate the information in 15 minutes. My boss is not going to pay me for something that I don't produce. So, go during our lunchtime, it's not going to take long. And the only way you're going to get this information out to us is going out to the fields.

I have also heard about the internet and about us having phones. But many people don't know how to use the internet. Many others don't even know how to read or write. Yes, some may have -- a few may have Facebook and such. But, no, the best way to do it is to go out to the fields.

What are the topics of information that you'll bring out to the fields? Is it going to be -- how often? Is this going to be on a continuous basis?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, as we said, I think a similar question was asked earlier. And what we're doing is discussing should there be a rule of this kind? If so, what are the circumstances relating to the rule? How

frequently should we go into fields? Which fields? There are a wide -- and what should trigger our attention? There's a wide variety of issues that we haven't begun to address and that we would sit down, subsequent to the close of these hearings, and the input of all involved and make some kind of judgment about.

MR. GREGORIO: Thank you. I am in favor of this amendment. And there are thousands of workers out there, waiting for you to come out and give them the information, for you to deliver the information on their rights. Thank you.

MS. SANTIAGO: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon, my name is Daniela Santiago. And thank you for the opportunity to be here. And I would like to let you know that the language, Triqui, you cannot put it on a sheet, or a tablet or the internet. It's a struggle.

Just like someone else came and gave their point of view, I'm going to give you my own and I'll give you an anecdote. I work for a company in Watsonville for eight years. And the labor organizer came from the union, came directly to me. This organizer came and told me about my rights as a worker, as an immigrant. I tried to help. My boss found out and he fired me. And only for asking for what was just, good working conditions, a lunch period on time, only those essential.

I thank the UFW and the ALRB for showing, teaching me what rights I have as a farmworker. And I would like to ask you, fervently, get to work, please, and provide a better working environment for the indigenous immigrant worker that they would deserve. We're not here to commit crimes. We're only here to earn a decent wage.

Please enforce the laws. There are many, many injustices out there. And the only one who benefits from all this is the owner.

I'm asking not only for the indigenous group, but for many others who shouldn't have to work in the fields.

But because their parents cannot take care of them, they must work in the fields.

I would also request of you to give information and train the farmers, and their subordinates, whether you call them foremen, supervisors or whatever.

I would like the ALRB to at least take the time to get the information out to the workers in the field. And like the person next to me said, there are many who can't read and write. But if you get somebody that can help -- some can't even speak Spanish, but there could be somebody that could translate for them.

I could share more of my experiences but, instead, I'm going to tell you that we're here -- we came here to work. And all we ask is that we have fair working

conditions and to be treated fairly.

Unfortunately, I suffered an accident that left me permanently disabled, but I would like very much, even if it was from afar, to continue helping my fellow workers getting them to know their rights.

I thank you. And if it's within your means to get these laws into effect on working conditions, I would be very grateful. And it would help our workers to feel better, produce better for their employers.

Thank you and God bless.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

MS. BARRIOS: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon, my name is Anselma Barrios. I am from the State of Oaxaca and I am working under a union contract.

I work for Dole Berry and I have worked for them, picking strawberries, for 16 years. I am currently working as a temporary organizer, taking the information to my people, letting them know more about their rights.

My question is, how would ALRB disseminate the information appropriately to farmworkers?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, again, this in a way is the two questions that were asked earlier. This is what we are here to get your input about, whether this can be done, how it can be done, the circumstances relating to it.

25 Nothing has been decided. The purpose of this process is

to get input from you and others.

MS. BARRIOS: That is all, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, thank you.

MR. URIAS: (Through Interpreter) Good afternoon, my name is Edgar Urias. I worked for 15 years for the union contract as a picker for Countryside Mushroom.

I am currently a temporary organizer for the UFW for the last three months, but I've gone to the fields to inform workers about their rights.

For example, I know this law -- this year a law was passed about sick leave. And also, others were passed for heat-related illness. And that's the information we're submitting to the workers.

We have seen and heard about the need of very much information about their rights, and we see that all over in this valley. We have also noticed that there's an influx of young workers, coming into the valley, who can't read or write and have no idea about their working rights.

I'm in support of this amendment. And I don't say it just as an organizer, a union organizer, but also as a farmworker. In giving consideration of whether it be before, or lunchtime, or after work.

There are many companies, crews for labor contractors who are very large, and it may be very

difficult to get this information out. But if you do it, perhaps at the times I specified, do you think that would be peaceable?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Do we do it -- if we do it what? At lunchtime?

MR. URIAS: Before or after?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah. Well, this is something we're considering. This is what -- we're asking you this.

MR. URIAS: Well, I believe that would be the best option because I hear many people worried that their production may be disturbed.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: And you think that would be less likely to interfere with their production?

MR. URIAS: Yes, I believe that kind of work would probably pay more attention and feel free to ask any question and free its reply.

Please make sure that at these meetings, where you give this information to the workers, about their rights, that you have no one from management. Because we have noticed sometimes, when we have sometimes meetings, usually someone's there from management. Please make sure that there's no one there.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Okay, thank you to all of you. Thank you very much.

MR. BLANCO: Mr. Jim Bogart.

MR. BOGART: Good evening, Chairman Gould,
Members Shiroma and Rivera, Board Counsel. It's good to
see all of you again. Welcome back to Salinas.

My name's Jim Bogart. I'm the President and General Counsel of the Grower Shipper Association of Central California. We represent approximately 400 growers, shippers, packers, processors and other businesses affiliated with the agriculture industry here in the Central Coast Counties of Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Benito and Santa Clara.

I've had the opportunity and distinction to practice before the ALRB since its inception, back in 1975.

I appreciate you giving me the opportunity, and other stakeholders, to address this proposed regulation.

And I want to state at the outset that my organization, me personally, I think the agriculture industry in general is not opposed to education at all.

I think informed workers, informed citizens, enlightened people are better off having that knowledge.

That said, I'm not sure promulgating a regulation is the best way to go about educating workers. And I'll express my concerns and reasons for that as we go.

I'm kind of operating at a disadvantage here, kind of flying in the dark because I haven't had the

opportunity to see a draft regulation. But I'd be interested in --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: There isn't one.

MR. BOGART: Yeah, well --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: That's why you haven't seen it.

MR. BOGART: It's tough to comment on basically what we don't know. So, I'm just kind of flying in the dark here, maybe some concerns I may have.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: The subject is -- the subject is worker education and access in that connection.

MR. BOGART: Okay. Well, thank you. I guess
I'll start right up front with my belief that the Board may
not even have statutory authority to promulgate a
regulation like this. I've looked through the Act several
times, specifically Section 1140.2. And I haven't seen
anything in the statute that talks in terms of educating
workers. So, I'm troubled by that.

Another concern I have is the -- is there really the necessity for a regulation that would allow worksite access for Board agents to educate workers?

I think that there are alternative means of communication. Some have been expressed by speakers before me. The internet, brochures, public meetings that are not on Board time, social media. I think that there's alternative means and methods of communicating with workers

that should at least be explored or tried before promulgating a regulation like this, which would give worksite access to Board agencies.

I mean, I would think that that would be encumbent upon you to say we've tried these other methods and they just haven't worked for various reasons.

Therefore, we're left with no alternative, other than to take worksite access to educate workers.

I also think -- the methodology, I think that's all got to be worked through. You know, who's going to do it, when are they going to do it? Who is going to be doing the educating? Are they from the General Counsel's Office? I'm sure all of this stuff has to be worked through.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, what are your ideas about those questions?

MR. BOGART: Well, you know, I would want to look at the credentials, honestly, of the people that would be doing this training. I mean, like it or not, there is a perception of bias among many in the agricultural community, with respect to the objectivity of the Board.

So, I would think that maybe with input from the community, the broad-based community, you could get the information, which would be provided to workers. I would want to know that.

Along those lines, it's kind of interesting

because how would employers be selected? Would it be random? Would they have a track record of unfair labor practices?

But be that as it may, it would seem to me that there would be a perception among workers, and even the employer of, well, why is the ALRB visiting my farm? Why are they educating my workers? Have I done something wrong? Does it give rise to the inference that I've done something wrong?

I think that's a real problem. And I think there needs to be a lot more discussion along those lines or exploration of that very issue.

Because it could even be interpreted by workers that, well, if the Board agents are here, informing me of my rights, that must mean my employer is doing something wrong. And I think that is a real problem.

Another thing that -- I call it the Pandora's

Box. I'm wondering why a regulation like this, which would

confer upon agents of the ALRB access rights, when other

representatives of other regulator and administrative

agencies don't have that right?

And my fear is that somebody over at DFEH says, well, gee, the ALRB agents can take worksite access. We should have that for our agents. And EEOC, or the Labor Commissioner, or somebody could chime in. And it could,

like I say, open up Pandora's Box.

And the other thing, too, if I didn't mention it, that deals with the methodology is that if the worksite access takes place during working hours, you know, nonproductive time is now compensable. So, you know, I mean I hate using expenses and costs as a reason for opposing something like that. But that's something to take into consideration. Not just in terms of compensation, but would it disrupt production?

How long would these meetings, how long would these educational sessions take place? And is there an extensive Q and A session that's going to take place for each one of these visits? That could take up a lot of time and it could disrupt production.

So, I think that's something that should be considered.

And then, when I talked about the Pandora's Box, similarly I look at this as here are agricultural employers being singled out for special rules. And there are other industries, other employers throughout the State that would not have to have this burden.

And so, it kind of ties in with what I said before, with the Pandora's Box, with all the administrative regulatory agencies wanting in on something similar to this.

And then, it's all of my members saying, well, why me? Why is the farmer -- why is the farmer being singled out for something like this? So, that's troubling to me, as well.

I think I've covered just about all the points I wanted to make. But I appreciate the opportunity to engage with you. Always appreciate seeing all of you. And I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SHINTO: Thank you.

12 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, Jim, thank you very much.

13 Thank you.

MR. BOGART: Okay, bye.

MR. BLANCO: Fritz Conle, Crisencio Diaz, Susana Hernandez, Maria Alvarado.

MS. DIAZ: Hello. My name is Crisencio Diaz. I work for the Teacher's union here, in Salinas. Okay, I argue in supporting, and not only supporting, but I would explain the reasons why we're supporting this regulation.

I mean, we know that people in agricultural, they are not stable for 20, 40, for 30 years. They keep on coming every year, every other year. They move along in the industry. And always, we have a lot of young people or new people coming to do the harvest in the fields.

Most of the people come from different states in Mexico and Central America. They don't know the law of this State. They don't know the rules of the game. So, if we want them to play with the rules, we need to instruct on the rules.

I hear pros and cons. I agree with some of them. For instance, recently Mr. Bogart say that he agreed that education is valid. I do agree with that, too.

But, you know, one thing that was brought up was when and how going and educating the people in the fields? I think we need to pay attention when there is issues, such as violations to the law, like wages not paid properly. We have a lot of (inaudible) -- when there is discrimination of any kind, when there's complaints about anything, you know, against the workers, I think at that time is when ALRB should go and instruct the people and let them know -- and instruct them, sorry, and let the people know their rights under the law. Okay.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: So, to answer one of the -- he's left, now. But to answer one of his questions, he said a lot of employers would say why me, as opposed to any number of other people?

You would say those, where there have been a violation of some employment law established, or something to that effect?

MR. DIAZ: That is correct. Yeah, why me?

Because there is these complaints and violations to the law, because why people need to know of their rights.

I think that pretty much that's something that benefits everybody here, especially all the workers working in the fields. Because a lot of these people, they don't care all that education they were claiming that they have. That's going to be in the middle of the nice novella, Spanish novella, a commercial from ALRB or somebody else saying, you know, by the way, these are your rights. It will cost a lot of money and I don't think that would happen.

I don't think that at this point they are any -I don't believe that there's the media that they can reach
out to them better than face to face, because that's a
better communication. It's the best way that you can
convey a message to people.

Okay, so for that reason, our organization is this. Thank you, all.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER RIVERA-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. ALVARADO: (Through Interpreter) Good evening, my name is Maria Alvarado. I have lived around here for 26 years in this, the Watsonville area. And I have worked under a union contract and without the

contract, or without the union.

And throughout all those years I have worked with many companies. But TNE, Escamilia (phonetic), Foothill.

I have also worked for many packing sheds, but that's a different story. I've been -- I've worked for many places and I've seen many injustices, many violations. And most people don't speak out because they're afraid to speak out.

I hope this amendment passes. And you're going to have your work cut out for you. And because we need this information, we need to let people know about their rights.

And like I said, you have your work cut out and it's going to be a big task to get people to get rid of their fear. Because a lot of people do know their rights, but they're afraid to speak up.

I will speak about some of the violations.

Sexual abuse, yes, it happens out there. Lunches and breaks are not given at the time they should have. And, yes, I am in support of this amendment passing. And I would love to see you out in the fields, giving us the information on how to make our rights upheld.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you.

MS. ALVARADO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Any questions?

1 Okay, thank you very much. 2 (Off-the-record discussion) 3 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay, let's take a five-minute 4 break. Come back in five minutes. 5 (Off the record at 7:07 p.m.) 6 (On the record at 7:17 p.m.) 7 MR. BLANCO: Horacio Ramirez and Daisy. Okay. 8 MR. RAMIREZ: (Through Interpreter) 9 evening, my name is Horacio Ramirez and I'm a farmworker. 10 I have worked picking strawberries, picking berries with 11 many years. Six of those are with Dole and I'm working 12 under a union contract. 13 MR. AGUILAR: (Through Interpreter) My name is Jose Aguilar and I'm also a farmworker. I have worked for 14 15 Dole Berry for 16 years, eight of those under union 16 contract. 17 And I'm here to see what suggestions you have to 18 make our work environment better for us. 19 MS. SOTO: (Through Interpreter) My name is 20 Daisy Soto and I work for Monterey Mushroom. And I'm an 21 alternate mushroom picker. 22 MR. AGUILAR: (Through Interpreter) My question 23 to you is what is the purpose of this amendment? Is it to 24 open more doors for the unions to go in and organize freely 25 or is it to disseminate information to the workers?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: There is no proposal at this point. But the discussion is about worker education and the question of whether or not that education should take place on company property.

The idea, if this comes into reality, the idea would be that in some way workers would be advised of their right to protest employment conditions that they find unsatisfactory, wages that they consider to be too low. If they wish to become part of the union, they are aware of that right. And if they wish not to become a member of the union, they're aware of that right, too.

And so, the idea is for the ALRB to, in some way, play a role in promoting of this educational process.

And the purpose of this hearing is to get views from all interested parties, as they say these days, stakeholders, to see what you thing about this. And so, that's why we're here today.

MR. AGUILAR: As a union contract worker, I need to ask you who -- who would put in check a union -- an employer who does practices outside of the contract?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Who would what?

MR. AGUILAR: Who checks on the unions? Who regulates the unions when they don't comply with the conditions of the contract?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, under our law, there are a

number of ways that employees could complain about the failure to adhere to a contract.

Although, principally, our law provides for the enforcement of contracts in court and, through that, the promotion of arbitration, if there's an arbitration provision in the agreement.

MR. AGUILAR: Well, I have filed many complaints and I've only had one response. On one occasion I was taken to court. Being a farmworker, a worker, a union member, I was taken to court by the UFW because I was not agreeing to their practices. What can be done in that situation?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, there are a number -- the question of whether the union has violated our law could arise where the union is, to use the language of the statute, restraining or coercing employees.

You could complain through unfair labor practice provisions. You can complain through representation petitions.

But the fundamental purpose of our meeting today is to consider the question of whether the Board, this Board, should disseminate information in some way, about the basic purposes of the statute? The statute protects employees to complain about employment conditions and not be retaliated against for complaining, where they do so

with other employees in a group.

The statute provides that workers have the right to both -- to protest wages, which they consider to be unsatisfactory, or conditions that they consider to be unsatisfactory when they do so as a group.

The statute also provides that workers have the right to join unions or not to join unions. And that is the major purpose of our meeting today, to see whether it's a good idea to try to disseminate this information. And, if so, how to do it.

MR. AGUILAR: Well, I don't understand why is it now that you want to do this. I have several complaints against the UFW and I have never received help from the ALRB.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, the question of whether you have a complaint against the UFW, which could be heard by the ALRB, would depend upon the facts and what it is that you're alleging. I don't know about your personal experience and I'm very uneasy about giving advice on a particular case that may or may not before us.

I would suggest that a good thing to do would be to go to the local Regional Office here, which could make available to you a copy of our law. I think some of the people will sit down and discuss this law with you. And you could -- that would be one way you could learn more

about the law.

I don't want to get in the business of advising you how to proceed in connection with a particular complaint. So, I'll just leave it there.

And they could show you, at the Regional Office, how -- if you wish to do so, and you think the facts warrant it, to file an unfair labor practice. They would be able to put that information in front of you.

MR. AGUILAR: Well, like I mentioned earlier, I have several cases pending -- or they were filed, never resolved. Only one of them was. One was where several workers were intimidated and threatened by UFW members. And the result of that was that the UFW members were going to be counseled as to not do that again. But what's going to happen after that?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, did the -- it depends on whether or not the General Counsel, the Regional Director acting on behalf of the General Counsel, finds that they have cause to believe that that conduct violated the unfair labor practice prohibitions in the statute. And if they find that, then a hearing can be held before what we call an Administrative Law Judge.

And if the matter still cannot be resolved, at that point then it comes to us in Sacramento. That's how it would work insofar as the ALRB is concerned.

MR. AGUILAR: I would highly recommend that you would first clear your image before you go into the fields to disseminate information. There are so many cases pending that have not resolved, I would suggest that you resolve those cases, clear your image, and then go to the fields and disseminate information.

MS. SOTO: Well, I would love for you to go out and give us the information because the union has not provided it to us. Besides that, it will give us information on how to get a hold of you, if we have to.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: We have a Regional Office here, in Salinas. At the conclusion of this meeting here, someone -- we have a number of people from the region and they can come up and give you their address and telephone number. That's how you would get a hold of us most immediately.

Thank you.

MR. RAMIREZ: Well, yes, I'd like to -- good afternoon, again. I'd like to give you my point of view on your proposed visits to the fields.

Just like this amendment, when you go out to give information about the rights, I would also like to hear you go out and give us information about other things. Such as, let us know what our rights are when a union is working against us, how can we defend ourselves?

Well, I'd like to mention another charge that my fellow worker didn't mention. The (inaudible) -- where the union intimidated and threatened our employees and workers and nothing was done about that. What were the consequences?

So, yes, we need you to go out and give us information, let us know how we can defend ourselves. The union doesn't do as much as go out and admit that they made a mistake or even apologize to us.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, we cannot through this rule, or through any other mechanism, give legal advice to employees, whether they're for the union or against the union. And we cannot give advice in particular cases.

This is particularly true of us because we are supposed to hear these cases in a judicial capacity, once they come to Sacramento. And all that we're talking about here is to establish, to advise employees of what their rights are under the law, but not to give advice about particular complaints and particularly complaints that are pending before the Board.

THE INTERPRETER: He also said that when you go out and give -- or go out and respond to charges --

(Interpreter interrupted)

THE INTERPRETER: I know, but I'm trying to tell

him what --

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: He wanted to -- probably there's 2 more, yeah. 3 THE INTERPRETER: I wasn't done. CHAIRMAN GOULD: Go ahead. 4 5 THE INTERPRETER: When you go out to make sure 6 and be impartial -- I know he said partial, but I 7 understood what he said -- what he meant by what he said. 8 CHAIRMAN GOULD: What? 9 THE INTERPRETER: Be impartial. 10 CHAIRMAN GOULD: What did he -- what was your 11 last phrase, what he --12 THE INTERPRETER: Be impartial. 13 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Yeah, but before that? 14 THE INTERPRETER: Although he said partial, he 15 meant impartial. 16 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Uh-hum. 17 THE INTERPRETER: Don't make it seem you're on 18 the union side. 19 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Right, right, right. 20 THE INTERPRETER: Show us that you're on both 21 sides, that you're there for both of us. 22 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, our obligation, and this 23 goes both for the regions and us, in Sacramento, is to 24 objectively find the facts, find what the truth is about a 25 particular case so we can determine whether there is a

violation or not a violation of the law.

MR. RAMIREZ: Well, and it's great to go out in the fields and disseminate information on the rights. But before you do that, I urge you to develop teeth on these statutes --

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Develop what?

THE INTERPRETER: Develop teeth on these statutes. So that when you go out and tell someone you have right, but then there's a violation and nothing ever happens to that one. So, yes, I want you going out there, but I want you to please take into consideration that some of these workers may eventually join a union. And if that union doesn't comply with what they're supposed to comply, and someone complains, what are the consequences for the union?

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, the consequences are that an administrative proceeding, a hearing could take place where they are found to be unlawful, have engaged in unlawful conduct if, in fact, that is the fact. That has to be an objective inquiry.

And let me say that the remedies under our law, as they apply to anybody, employers as well as labor organizations, are not as strong as many people, including myself, believe that they should be.

But only the Legislature can provide stronger

remedies. That is the fact of it.

But we do have remedies it parties, I want to say, I want to use the word "flout", you know what I mean? If they refuse to abide by the law, then they are going to be in contempt of a court. Just as this lady in Kentucky has been in contempt of the court.

MR. RAMIREZ: When you show up to the fields, you're automatically telling the workers that they have the right to organize and join the union -- join a union.

Sadly, you do not tell them that they -- that, I don't know, they need to develop a plan. Tell them, let them know that when they decide, when they're not happy with a union anymore how to get rid of them, because they don't know. I urge you to develop a plan.

Before you do that, go out and do that, I urge you to clear your name. Many things happened at that company that I work for. Many people who worked there a long time left and went to work somewhere else, because they figured that the ALRB did not help them.

And let me tell you, the word got out everywhere because it spread out from word to word. So, people don't trust you very much.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, the basic point that he makes is a good one. And that is that there is a way to -- if workers are dissatisfied with the union and they want to

do more than file an unfair labor practice, they can file a so-called decertification petition. And that is part of our statute and that information should be made available, just as the right to file a certification petition is available.

Okay, I think we have four more people that we want to hear from tonight. And I'm very grateful to have heard from this gentleman here. Thank you.

(Off-the-record conversations)

MR. BLANCO: Okay, so Sandra Olvera, Edith Ruiz and Armando Lopez. Oh, gracias.

Oh, separate. Okay.

MS. OLIVERA: (Through Interpreter) Good evening. My name is Sandra Olvera and I work for Monterey Mushrooms. And I'm here to give my opinion on the amendment that you're seeking from us.

Well, it's great that you -- well, I support that you're contemplating going out to the worksites and tell workers their rights. A lot of people know their rights. They hear them from other people. But if it came from you, that would help get rid of their fears.

Well, I thank you -- I am in support and I thank you for taking the initiative to come up and listen to each one of us workers from this side of the State.

And, personally, I'd like to express my

gratitude. I am happy and satisfied by the work that has been done by ALRB locally. Although the process, they have been a little slow.

MS. RUIZ: I have worked in this area for -well, in the fields of California since 1980. Many years
with Monterey Mushroom. Well, I worked under contract for
many different unions. And for many years I have worked
with Monterey Mushroom, and that has been the worst
experience of my life. That is a place where the rights of
employees are not respected.

I have known about ALRB for a long time, but I never had a need to seek help. I, at work, have told other workers, coworkers of mine about our rights.

I'm going to give mention to our -- like I was going to mention my coworkers about their rights, so that they can stand up and complain about these -- the way they're being treated. Although, some of them don't want to do it because the ALRB does not have much credibility with them. Why? Because the cases take too long to get resolved and when they are resolved, they're dismissed either because of lack of information or because the witnesses are no longer around.

And we also need information on how we could be protected from the unions, specifically the UFW.

Like I mentioned earlier, I have experiences with

other unions. My only bad experience is with the UFW. I don't believe their practice is to defend or promote the rights of the workers.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Thank you very much.

All right, now, let's see, we have one more?

MR. BLANCO: We have Armando Lopez and then Mr.

Bautista. So, that's it.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Oh, are they together or --

MR. BLANCO: I don't think they're together, no.

MR. LOPEZ: Buenos noches.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Buenos noches.

MR. LOPEZ: (Through Interpreter) Thank you for coming out to this area and bringing this information. I believe it's a great idea for someone, like you, go out to the fields and give information. But also, informally, you should let them know more about you.

It would also be good if you gave them information or people found out what each agency is responsible for. We have heard, earlier, that they didn't get any gloves or there was no water provided. So, they'd know which agency would they need to get a hold of.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Thank you. Thank you very much.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you very much. And I am in support of what you're seeking.

1 CHAIRMAN GOULD: Okay.

MR. BLANCO: The last speaker, Gregorio Bautista.

MR. BAUTISTA: (Through Interpreter) Good

evening. My name is Gregorio Bautista. I am from Oaxaca.

I am glad that you are considering going out in the fields and I support that. I have been here since this morning and I have heard many opinions. And I agree that during the break, lunch, or even after work would be good. Or, even during work. I work by piece rate and if I don't produce anything, I'm not going to collect anything, anyway.

I have so many people come up here and tell you that TV's a good idea or the cell phones, but I don't believe so. Because when we get home, after working 10 hours, we're so tired the first thing we want to do is take a shower, eat and go to bed. We have no time to watch TV.

So, I support your idea of going out to the fields and give that information.

And then, also, it will let the foremen know that there is a law.

I have heard people say that who's going to pay for the -- who's going to pay for the time that you're talking to the workers? Well, our bosses, if we produce 2,000 boxes, he's going to pay us for 2,000 boxes.

Now, we work by the piece rate. I know there are

people who work by the hour. But perhaps their option, better often for them would be during a break.

It would also be good for the foremen to know that there's a law. There's one time when ALRB came out to the field -- well, before that. He says, they place the bathrooms eight minutes away from us. I complained, I told the foreman they were too far. And he told me that the law says that they have to be a mile away.

Then the ALRB came to our work and I told them about the bathrooms. And I told the foreman again and he says, okay, okay, okay, I'll go move them right now. I'll bring them closer.

That is all. Thank you for your time and for taking us into account. We need your help. God bless you.

CHAIRMAN GOULD: Well, thank you very much. And thank you all very much. And I appreciate your time and input.

(Interpreter Translating)

And this concludes the Salinas aspect of our proceeding.

(Off the record at 8:14 p.m.)

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I do hereby certify that the testimony in

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of September, 2015.

PETER PETTY CER\*\*D-493 Notary Public

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of September, 2015.

Barbara Little Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET\*\*D-520