

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

CORRALITOS FARMS, LLC,)	Case No.	2012-RC-004-SAL
)		
Employer,)		
)		
and)		
)		
UNITED FARM WORKERS)		
OF AMERICA,)		
)		
Petitioner.)		
<hr/>)		
CORRALITOS FARMS, LLC,)	Case Nos.	2012-CE-061-SAL
)		2012-CE-062-SAL
)		2012-CE-066-SAL
Respondent,)		
)		
and)	ORDER DENYING PROPOSED	
)	INTERVENOR JUAN CARLOS	
)	RAMIREZ' APPLICATION FOR	
)	SPECIAL PERMISSION TO FILE	
)	INTERIM APPEAL OF THE ALJ'S	
UNITED FARM WORKERS)	DENIAL OF RAMIREZ' MOTION	
OF AMERICA,)		
)		
)	Admin. Order No. 2012-28	
)		
<hr/>)		
Charging Party.)		

On November 6, 2012, proposed intervenor Juan Carlos Ramirez filed an application for special permission for an interim appeal of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Douglas Gallop's November 2, 2012, ruling denying Ramirez' motion to intervene in the above-captioned matter.

On November 7, 2012, pursuant to Title 8, California Code of Regulations section 20242, subsection (b), the Board issued an order allowing any party

to file a response to Mr. Ramirez' application no later than Thursday, November 8, 2012.

On November 8, 2012, both the United Farm Workers of America (UFW) and the General Counsel of the Agricultural Labor Relations Board filed responses opposing the proposed intervenor's application for special permission for an interim appeal of the ALJ's denial of the motion to intervene in the above-captioned matter.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the proposed intervenor's application for special permission for an interim appeal of the ALJ's ruling is DENIED for the following reasons.

To the extent that Mr. Ramirez is seeking to intervene in the election objections proceeding currently set for hearing, the ALJ properly denied his motion to intervene because Mr. Ramirez has not demonstrated that he has a "special interest in the outcome [of the election] that differentiates [him] from the interest of any other voter and thus [is] not entitled to assert an interest sufficient to challenge the conduct of the election or conduct affecting the election." (*Coastal Berry Farms* (1998) 24 ALRB No. 4, at p. 8.)

To the extent Mr. Ramirez seeks to intervene in the Unfair Labor Practice (ULP) proceeding that is consolidated with the election objections proceeding, the ALJ properly denied his motion to intervene because Mr. Ramirez has no interest in the ULP proceeding. Mr. Ramirez is not the charging party in any of the ULP matters set for

/////

hearing, he is not accused of any wrongdoing in any of the charges, nor is he mentioned in the complaint or amended complaint set for hearing.

By Direction of the Board.

Dated: November 9, 2012

J. ANTONIO BARBOSA
Executive Secretary, ALRB