BEFORE THE AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD 1 2 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 MELCO VINEYARDS aka 4 MELIKIAN & SONS RANCH, 5 Employer, No. 75-RC-62-F 6 And 1 ALRB No. 14 7 WESTERN CONFERENCE OF TEAMSTERS AGRICULTURAL DIVISION, I.B.T., 8 9 Petitioner, 10 and UNITED FARM WORKERS OF 11 AMERICA, AFL-CIO 12 Intervener. 13 14 The Western Conference of Teamsters, Agricultural 15 Division, I.B.T. (Teamsters) filed a Petition for Certification 16 And the United Farm Workers of America (UFW) intervened. Pursuant To a Direction and Notice of Election, the election was held on 17 September 19, 1975,¹ and a majority of the valid ballots was cast 18 19 for the Teamsters. 20 The UFW timely filed a Petition of Objections (Labor Code Section 1156.3(c)), and a hearing was held on October 21, 21 22 1975. Although the petition raised several objections, the only one on which evidence was introduced at the hearing is the 23 24 ¹The record does not disclose the actual starting time of the 25 election although there is no indication that the starting time differed from that set in the Direction and Notice of Election. 26 Presumably, the election did not begin earlier than 7:00 a.m.27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8.72) allegation that the Board Agent in charge of the election failed to
consider the request made by the UFW to begin the election earlier
than 7:00 a.m. so that economic strikers would have an opportunity
to vote.

The only evidence presented in support of this objection 5 was the testimony of Mr. Frank Ortiz, an organizer for the UFW. Mr. б 7 Ortiz testified that he had requested, at the preelection conference, that an earlier starting time be set for the election 8 "because possibly people from the outside would have an opportunity 9 to vote, also, if they were working someplace else." Mr. Ortiz also 10 testified that at the preelection conference "We spent a considerable 11 amount of time . . . to decide where and when it (the election) was 12 going to be at and we argued about ... the possibility of economic 13 strikers and the times." It appears, therefore, that the UFW 14 request for an earlier starting time was, in fact, considered by the 15 Board Agent in charge of the election although the record is not 16 clear on this point. 17

In any event, we note that under Section 20350 (a) of the Emergency Regulations the Board Agent supervising an election is allowed "reasonable discretion" in setting the exact time of that election. There is no evidence in the record which would support a claim that the Board Agent here abused that discretion.

Moreover, we note that apart from Mr. Ortiz' testimony raising the mere possibility that there were economic strikers who were eligible to vote, the UFW presented no evidence to support the claim that economic strikers were disenfranchised as a result of the time set for the election.

-2-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STE 113 (REV. 8.72

1 ALRB No. 14

The objection raised by the UFW to this election is not supported by the evidence. The election is hereby certified, Certification issued. DATED: November 28, 1975 Roger Roger M. Mahony, Chairman LeRoy Chatfield Joseph R. Grodin Richard Johnsen, Jr. Joe C. Ortega COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8.72) OSP -3-1 ALRB NO. 14