

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD**

**BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

**Board Conference Room  
915 Capitol Mall, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814**

**January 17, 2007**

**Time:** 10:00 a.m.

**Members Present:** Chairwoman Raymundo, Members Shiroma and Rivera-Hernandez

**Members Absent:** None.

**Staff Present:** General Counsel Lee, Executive Secretary Barbosa, Board Counsels Wender, Murray and Heyck, and Analyst Massie.

**Staff Absent:** None.

**Others Present:** None.

**OPEN SESSION**

- 1. Approval of Minutes:** The Board minutes for January 11, 2006 were approved 3-0.
- 2. Public Comments:** None
- 3. Chair Budget Report:** Chairwoman Raymundo will review expenditures in the current budget. The possibility of forming a pool of volunteers for elections was discussed. Chairwoman Raymundo will research the options.
- 4. General Counsel Report:** The walkthrough date for the ALRB has been pushed back to January 29. The city will be performing their walkthrough on January 23<sup>rd</sup>. Analyst Barr is working on renewal of the lease for the El Centro Regional Office.
- 5. Executive Officer Report:**

**ELECTION REPORT**

## **NOTICE OF INTENT TO TAKE ACCESS (NA) AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO ORGANIZE (NO):**

### **PENDING ELECTION MATTERS:**

#### **Artesia Dairy, 06-RC-1-VI**

On February 28, 2006, the United Farm Workers filed a representation petition with the Visalia Regional Office seeking an election amongst the agricultural employees of Artesia Dairy Farms LLC in Corcoran, CA. The employer is a dairy with approximately 45 employees. The election was held on March 7, 2006. The tally of ballots showed the following:

UFW	25
No Union	24
Unresolved Challenged Ballots	<u>15</u>
Total	64

As the number of challenged ballots was outcome determinative, the Regional Director conducted an investigation to determine whether the challenges should be sustained or overruled. Election objections, if any, were due on March 14. No objections were filed. The Regional Director issued his Challenged Ballot Report on June 12, 2006. On June 22, 2006 the employer filed its exceptions to the Regional Director's report. The Board's decision in Artesia Dairy issued August 2, 2006. A telephone conference was held October 17, 2006. The hearing on challenged ballots was held from October 24-27, 2006 in Visalia, CA. The hearing transcripts were received on November 20, 2006 and the parties' post-hearing briefs were received December 22, 2006. The IHE's decision issued January 10, 2007. Exceptions are due January 23, 2007. Reply briefs are due February 2, 2007.

#### **Valley View Farms, 06-RD-3-VI**

On July 10, 2006, agricultural employee Sergio Ozuna Lopez filed a decertification petition with the Visalia Regional Office seeking to decertify the incumbent union, UFCW Local 1096, at Valley View Farms. The employer operates a dairy in Hanford, CA with approximately 41 employees. The election was held on July 17, 2006 and yielded the following results:

UFCW	17
No union	16
Unresolved Challenged Ballots	<u>5</u>
Total	38

The number of unresolved challenged ballots is sufficient to affect the outcome of the election. The Regional Director issued his challenged ballot report on September 5, 2006. Exceptions, if any, were due on September 15, 2006. No exceptions were filed. The Executive Secretary's issued his order making the Regional Director's Challenged Ballot Report final and directing that the ballots be processed as outlined in the report. The election case was originally to be held in abeyance pending resolution of three pending unfair labor practice (ULP) charges relating to three of the individuals whose ballots were challenged. On July 24, 2006, the employer filed objections to the election which also will be held in abeyance pending resolution of the three ULP charges. On December 5, 2006, the Executive Secretary received a notification from the Union's counsel indicating that the parties (the employer and union) had participated in the Board's mandatory mediation and conciliation process and had reached a collective bargaining agreement. As part of that agreement, the union agreed to withdraw all of its unfair labor practices, including presumably the three ULPs that were being investigated and that impacted on the election. Since the agreement, the union has withdrawn and/or dismissed the three charges in question. All that remains is the resolution of the single challenge inquiring whether Sanchez was eligible for Workers Compensation and, if so, whether there was a position he could return to. In accordance with his report on challenged ballot, the matter will be set for investigative hearing. On December 18, 2006 the Regional Director issued a supplemental challenged ballot report recommending that the ballots of three challenged voters not be opened and counted, and that the remaining challenged ballot be set for hearing. Exceptions are due December 26, 2006. No exceptions were filed and the Executive Secretary issued an order on January 10, 2007 making the report final and informing the parties that an investigative hearing will be held at a time and place to be noticed by the Executive Secretary.

### **Bayou Vista Dairy, 06-RD-4-VI**

On July 18, 2006, agricultural employee Alejandro Ayala filed a decertification petition with the Visalia Regional Office seeking to decertify the incumbent union, UFCW Local 1096, at Bayou Vista Dairy. The employer operates a dairy in Tipton, CA with approximately 80 employees. The election was held July 25, 2006. On August

2, 2006 the employer and then the union filed objections to the election which are pending before the Executive Secretary on review. On July 24, 2006, an unfair labor practice (ULP) charge alleging conduct that may impact the election was filed against the employer. Immediately following the election, the Regional Director of the Visalia Regional Office informed all parties that due to the filing of the ULP charge, he would impound the ballots cast in the election until he completes his expedited investigation of the ULP charge. On August 14, 2006 the Regional Director completed his investigation of the pending ULP and issued a complaint in this matter. On September 11, 2006 the Regional Director dismissed the decertification petition finding that employer threats made one day prior to the election created an atmosphere where it became impossible to conduct the election where employees could exercise their choice in a free and uncoerced manner. Any request for review was due September 21, 2006. No request for review was timely filed. On October 5, 2006 the Board issued an administrative order (Admin Order No. 2006-07) requesting briefing on the question whether the Regional Director exceeded his authority by dismissing the underlying election petition after the election had been conducted. The regional director filed his responsive brief on October 20, 2006. Replies, if any, were due October 27, 2006. No replies were received. The Board issued its decision on this matter on November 14, 2006 reversing the Regional Director's decision to dismiss the petition, reinstating the petition and ordering the Regional Director to proceed with the opening and counting of the ballots. On November 29, 2006 the Regional Director opened and counted the ballots and issued a final tally:

UFCW Local 1096	34
No Union	42
Unresolved Challenged Ballots	<u>5</u>
Total	81

As the unresolved challenged ballots were insufficient to affect the results of the election, the Executive Secretary screened the Employer's and Union's objections and issued his order on November 30, 2006 setting one election objection for hearing. The investigative hearing is scheduled for January 9, 2007. On December 29, 2006 the Employer filed a motion for a six-week continuance of the hearing that was denied by the Executive Secretary on January 2, 2007. A one-day hearing was held on January 9, 2007. No post-hearing briefs are required. The matter is now pending the issuance of the IHE decision.

## **COMPLAINT REPORTS**

### **PREHEARING OR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES SCHEDULED**

None.

### **HEARINGS HELD:**

None.

### **ONE CASE ON CALENDAR:**

#### **Hess Collection Winery, 01-CE-08-SAL**

Pre-Hearing Conference held December 4, 2006. A second prehearing conference was held December 21, 2006. A third prehearing conference was held January 16, 2007. The employer's request to take the hearing off calendar was denied. A fourth prehearing conference is scheduled February 13, 2007.

### **ONE CASE PENDING ALJ/IHE DECISION:**

#### **Bayou Vista Dairy, 06-RD-4-VI**

Hearing held January 9, 2007

Matter is pending IHE decision.

### **ALJ/IHE DECISIONS ISSUED:**

None.

### **PENDING EXCEPTIONS OR REPLY:**

#### **UFW (Virgen/Mendoza), 04-CL-1-VI (OX)**

Exceptions received December 31, 2006

UFW and Charging Parties Replies received January 16, 2007

#### **Artesia Dairy, 06-RC-1-VI**

IHE decision issued January 10, 2007

Exceptions due January 23, 2007

Replies due February 2, 2007

### **CASES PENDING BOARD DECISION:**

#### **UFW (Virgen/Mendoza), 04-CL-1-VI (OX)**

General Counsel filed exceptions December 31, 2006

Reply due January 16, 2007

**CASES PENDING SETTLEMENT:**

None.

**CASES SETTLED OR RESOLVED:**

**Milky Way Dairy, 03-CE-74-VI**

The Regional Director withdrew the complaint and dismissed the case as the Respondent had fully complied with the informal bilateral settlement agreement.

**COMPLIANCE CASES CLOSED:**

None.

**CASES TRANSFERRED TO BOARD FOR DECISION:**

None.

**BOARD DECISIONS:**

None.

**REQUESTS UNDER MANDATORY MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION LAW:**

**Bayou Vista Dairy and Bayou Vista Farms West, 2006-MMC-01**

The Board issued an order directing the parties to mandatory mediation and conciliation on October 19, 2006. (Admin Order No. 2006-09)

**D'Arrigo Bros. Co. of California, 2007-MMC-01**

UFW filed a requested mandatory mediation and conciliation via fax on January 11, 2007. Also on January 11, 2007, the Executive Secretary sent the employer acknowledging receipt of the petition and setting a response due date of January 17, 2007.

**COURT LITIGATION:**

**Western Growers Association, et al., 03AS00987**

This lawsuit, which challenges the constitutionality of the mandatory mediation and

conciliation law (SB 1156 and AB 2596, codified as Labor Code sections 1164 to 1164.14), was originally filed on February 24, 2002 in the Sacramento County Superior Court. Initially the court ruled that the matter was not ripe for adjudication. Following the issuance of a decision fixing the terms of a collective bargaining agreement in the Hess Collection Winery matter (see below), the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in the Sacramento County Superior Court. On December 22, 2003, a demurrer and request for a stay of the matter pending the resolution of a related case (Hess) was filed on behalf of the Board. On February 18, 2004, the superior court issued a tentative ruling granting the request for a stay, which became final when no party requested to appear at the scheduled hearing. Any further action on this case will await resolution of the Hess Collection Winery v. ALRB case below.

#### **D'Arrigo Bros. of California, D048904**

On June 29, 2006 the petitioner D'Arrigo Bros. of California filed a petition for writ of review with the Fourth Appellate District, Division One. On July 7, 2006 the court sent a letter to the parties directing that the record be prepared within ten (10) of the notice. On July 11, 2006, the ALRB filed a motion for extension of time to file the certified record to August 16, 2006, which was granted by the court on July 18, 2006. The record was filed with the court on August 16, 2006. The petitioner filed its opening brief on December 14, 2006. On January 3, 2007 the ALRB filed an application for a 35-day extension of time to file its response brief. The application was granted on January 9, 2007. The board's brief is now due February 22, 2007.

## **6. Special:**

**Case Statistics Tracking System/Website Update** – Member Shiroma and Analyst Massie met with Steve Guida and Chuck Czajkowski. Mr. Guida will be making further refinements to the case tracking system to make it more user friendly. He will be presenting the new templates on January 23 at 10 a.m. He will also make recommendations for training and standardized submission of data. Mr. Guida is still comparing data ensure that all data is transferred to the new system. The eServices Office received official word that they have approval to release California's web site ([www.ca.gov](http://www.ca.gov)). How to proceed with the new website standards will also be discussed at the January 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting.

**Legislation** – Nothing new to report.

**Distribution of AERF Checks to Recipients in Mexico** – The Board approved the recommendation to utilize the assistance of the Mexican Consulate in distributing checks to claimants in Mexico. The Board agrees the procedure outlined, which includes the submission of official identifying documents such as death, marriage, and birth certificates, reasonably assures that the checks will be delivered to the proper claimants without the use of a power of attorney that would incur great cost to the claimants.

**Travel Reimbursement Process** – Member Rivera-Hernandez met with Accounting Officer Davis regarding the California Automated Travel Expense Reimbursement System. After review of the travel claims incurred during last year, the cost of the system and the turn-around time for claims appears to be within reason.

## **7. Roundtable:**

Commencing February 1, 2007, ALRB public meetings will be held twice a month on the first and third Wednesdays of the month. Other public meetings may be scheduled according to need. The next regularly scheduled Board meeting will be held on Thursday, January 25, 2007, at 10 a.m.

The public meeting adjourned at 11:50 a.m.

**WHEREUPON THE BOARD ENTERED INTO CLOSED SESSION.**